

# Interreg

## CENTRAL EUROPE



Co-funded by  
the European Union

CE0100331

### CE-Spaces4All

Application Form Export

Downloaded on 15.02.2023, 18:14

Version 2.0

Form language: EN

Input language: EN

Currency: EUR

## A - Project identification

### A.1 Project identification

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Project ID (automatically created)</b>                          | CE0100331  |
| <b>Name of the lead partner organisation</b>                       | Geodetski inštitut Slovenije   |
| <b>Name of the lead partner organisation (in English language)</b> | Geodetic Institute of Slovenia   |
| <b>Project title</b>   | Accessible Spaces for All – Improving Accessibility of Tourism for Persons with Disabilities in Central Europe |
| <b>Project acronym</b>   | CE-Spaces4All  |
| <b>Programme priority</b>  | A better cooperation governance  |
| <b>Programme priority specific objective</b>                       | SO4.1: Strengthening governance for integrated territorial development in central Europe                       |
| <b>Project duration (nr. of months)</b>                            | 36   |

## A.2 Project summary

Please give a short overview of the project and describe:

- the common challenge of the programme area your project is tackling;
- the overall project objective and the expected change your project will make to the current situation;
- what is innovative about your project;
- the main outputs and results your project will develop and who will benefit from them;
- the implementation approach you plan to take and why transnational cooperation is needed.

Accessible tourism can enhance post-covid tourism transformation, especially in rural areas where safe travel away from crowds can be ensured. However, its development is challenged by lack of territorial planning which would take into account the needs of persons with disabilities (PWD) to move and travel independently, especially in rural regions lacking in transport, health, social and other services.

Good governance can improve conditions to utilise accessible tourism potential by removing barriers to its development. CE-Spaces4All will address key ones: lack of awareness, capacities and cooperation of multi-level cross-sectoral stakeholders for territorial planning for accessible tourism and lack of involvement of PWD, lack of standardised data for evidence-based accessibility planning, and lack of proper services for PWD for independent tourism travel.

Public authorities, tourism development stakeholders and PWD from 7 countries will thus work together in 3 Central Europe rural regions through a participatory co-creation and capacity building process that will improve conditions for accessible tourism development.

Firstly, we will develop the Web Accessibility Viewer tool for policy planners for mapping and visualising barriers to accessibility; its application in pilot regions will result in a catalogue of barriers. This will be a basis for developing independent travel support services and tools for PWD like accessibility maps and itineraries, as well as for action plans for long-term territorial cooperation on improving accessibility of the 3 regions. A joint strategy will facilitate wider CE mainstreaming of solutions.

As a result, raised capacities and improved cooperation of stakeholders will lead to better governance for policy and territorial planning of accessible tourism, as well as to improved public services for PWD. This will remove accessible tourism development barriers in the 3 regions, and improve their territorial and social cohesion.

### A.3 Project partner overview

| Associated partner number | Status | Name of the organisation in English                              | Partner role in the project | Country (NUTS 0)     | Partner total eligible budget |
|---------------------------|--------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1                         | Active | Geodetic Institute of Slovenia                                   | LP                          | Slovenija (SI)       | 423,990.00                    |
| 2                         | Active | National Council of Disability Organizations of Slovenia         | PP                          | Slovenija (SI)       | 154,224.00                    |
| 3                         | Active | Development Centre Murska Sobota                                 | PP                          | Slovenija (SI)       | 171,612.00                    |
| 4                         | Active | University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna        | PP                          | Österreich (AT)      | 337,932.00                    |
| 5                         | Active | Weinviertel Tourismus GmbH.                                      | PP                          | Österreich (AT)      | 238,140.00                    |
| 6                         | Active | Tourism Board of Međimurje County                                | PP                          | Hrvatska (HR)        | 170,100.00                    |
| 7                         | Active | Public Institution for the Development of Međimurje County REDEA | PP                          | Hrvatska (HR)        | 170,100.00                    |
| 8                         | Active | Czech Environmental Information Agency                           | PP                          | Česko (CZ)           | 224,910.00                    |
| 9                         | Active | Czech paraplegic association                                     | PP                          | Česko (CZ)           | 127,008.00                    |
| 10                        | Active | Zala County Self-Government                                      | PP                          | Magyarország (HU)    | 234,360.00                    |
| 11                        | Active | Rzeszow Regional Development Agency                              | PP                          | Polska (PL)          | 211,680.00                    |
| 12                        | Active | European Disability Forum  | PP                          | Belgique/België (BE) | 181,440.00                    |

## A.4 Project budget overview

| Programme funding     |                |                       | Contribution                  |                     |                           |                      |                            | Total eligible budget |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Funding source        | Funding amount | Co-financing rate (%) | Automatic public contribution | Public contribution | Total public contribution | Private contribution | Total partner contribution |                       |
| ERDF                  | 2,116,396.80   | 80.00 %               | 0.00                          | 402,544.80          | 402,544.80                | 126,554.40           | 529,099.20                 | 2,645,496.00          |
| Total EU funds        | 2,116,396.80   | 80.00 %               | 0.00                          | 402,544.80          | 402,544.80                | 126,554.40           | 529,099.20                 | 2,645,496.00          |
| Total eligible budget | 2,116,396.80   | 80.00 %               | 0.00                          | 402,544.80          | 402,544.80                | 126,554.40           | 529,099.20                 | 2,645,496.00          |

## A.5 Project outputs and result overview

| Programme output indicator                    | Aggregated value per Programme output indicator | Measurement unit      | Output number | Output title  | Output target value | Programme result indicator                                  | Baseline | Result indicator target value | Measurement unit            |
|---|---|-----------------------|---------------|---|---------------------|---|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Strategies and action plans jointly developed | 4.00  | strategy /action plan | Output 3.1    | Territorial Planning for Accessible Tourism in Rural Regions of Central Europe – A Joint Strategy   | 1.00                | Joint strategies and action plans taken up by organisations | 0.00     | 4.00                          | joint strategy /action plan |
|   |   |                       | Output 3.2    | Action Plan for Improving Accessibility of Tourism in the Transborder Tourism Region of Pomurje-Međimurje and Zala County in Slovenia, Croatia and Hungary            | 1.00                |   |          |                               |                             |
|   |   |                       | Output 3.3    | Action Plan for Improving Accessibility of Tourism in the Cross-Border Tourism Region of Lower Austria Weinviertel-Southern Moravia in Austria and the Czech Republic | 1.00                |   |          |                               |                             |
|   |   |                       |               |   |                     |   |          |                               |                             |

| Programme output indicator               | Aggregated value per Programme output indicator | Measurement unit | Output number | Output title  | Output target value | Programme result indicator  | Baseline | Result indicator target value | Measurement unit |
|--|---|------------------|---------------|---|---------------------|---|----------|-------------------------------|------------------|
|  |   |                  | Output 3.4    | Action Plan for Improving the Accessibility of rural Tourism in the Podkarpackie Region in Poland           | 1.00                |   |          |                               |                  |
| Organisations cooperating across borders | 28.00   | organisations    | Output 1.1    | Organisations cooperating across borders: the CE-Spaces4All project partnership                             | 28.00               | Organisations cooperating across borders after project completion | 0.00     | 23.00                         | organisations    |
| Jointly developed solutions              | 4.00  | solutions        | Output 1.3    | Web Accessibility Viewer  | 1.00                | Solutions taken up or up-scaled by organisations                  | 0.00     | 4.00                          | solutions        |
|  |   |                  | Output 2.4    | Model for improving mobility of PWD for independent tourism travel in the transborder tourism area SI-HR-HU | 1.00                |   |          |                               |                  |
|  |   |                  | Output 2.5    | Model for improving services and tools for independent tourism travel of blind and paraplegic persons in    | 1.00                |   |          |                               |                  |

| Programme output indicator                                  | Aggregated value per Programme output indicator | Measurement unit | Output number | Output title  | Output target value | Programme result indicator | Baseline | Result indicator target value | Measurement unit |
|---|---|------------------|---------------|---|---------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|------------------|
|   |   |                  |               | the AT-CZ cross-border tourism area   |                     |                            |          |                               |                  |
|   |   |                  | Output 2.6    | Model for improving services and tools for PWD for accessible rural tourism in the Podkarpackie pilot area in Poland                                  | 1.00                |                            |          |                               |                  |
| Pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects | 4.00  | pilot actions    | Output 1.2    | Joint pilot testing of the Web Accessibility Viewer   | 1.00                |                            |          |                               |                  |
|   |   |                  | Output 2.1    | Pilot action to jointly develop and test solutions for accessibility of tourism in the transborder tourism region Slovenia-Croatia-Hungary (SI-HR-HU) | 1.00                |                            |          |                               |                  |
|   |   |                  | Output 2.2    | Pilot action to jointly develop and test solutions for accessibility of tourism in the cross-border   | 1.00                |                            |          |                               |                  |



| Programme output indicator | Aggregated value per Programme output indicator | Measurement unit | Output number | Output title   | Output target value | Programme result indicator | Baseline | Result indicator target value | Measurement unit |
|----------------------------|---|------------------|---------------|--|---------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|------------------|
|                            |   |                  |               | region Austria-Czech Republic (AT-CZ)  |                     |                            |          |                               |                  |
|                            |   |                  | Output 2.3    | Pilot action to jointly develop and test solutions for accessibility of tourism in the Podkarpackie pilot area in Poland | 1.00                |                            |          |                               |                  |

## B - Project partners

### B.0 Partners overview

| Associated partner number | Status | Name of the organisation in English                              | Country (NUTS 0) | Abbreviated name of organisation | Partner role in the project | B.2 Associated partners   | Partner total eligible budget |
|---------------------------|--------|--|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 1                         | Active | Geodetic Institute of Slovenia                                   | Slovenija (SI)   | GIS                              | LP                          | Ministrstvo za infrastrukturo   | 423,990.00                    |
| 2                         | Active | National Council of Disability Organizations of Slovenia         | Slovenija (SI)   | NSIOS                            | PP                          | Ministrstvo za delo, družino, socialne zadeve in enake možnosti   | 154,224.00                    |
| 3                         | Active | Development Centre Murska Sobota                                 | Slovenija (SI)   | RCMS                             | PP                          | Slovenska turistična organizacija   | 171,612.00                    |
| 4                         | Active | University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna        | Österreich (AT)  | BOKU                             | PP                          | Hilfsgemeinschaft der Blinden und Sehschwachen Österreichs  | 337,932.00                    |
| 5                         | Active | Weinviertel Tourismus GmbH.                                      | Österreich (AT)  | WVT                              | PP                          | Österreichischer Behindertenrat Stadtgemeinde Retz  | 238,140.00                    |
| 6                         | Active | Tourism Board of Međimurje County                                | Hrvatska (HR)    | TZMZ                             | PP                          | Društvo osoba s tjelesnim invaliditetom Međimurske županije Međimurska županija Hrvatska turistička zajednica | 170,100.00                    |
| 7                         | Active | Public Institution for the Development of Međimurje County REDEA | Hrvatska (HR)    | REDEA                            | PP                          |   | 170,100.00                    |

| Associated partner number | Status | Name of the organisation in English    | Country (NUTS 0)      | Abbreviated name of organisation | Partner role in the project | B.2 Associated partners  | Partner total eligible budget |
|---------------------------|--------|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 8                         | Active | Czech Environmental Information Agency | Česko (CZ)            | CENIA                            | PP                          | Pálava a Lednicko-valtický areál, z.s.<br>Město Znojmo   | 224,910.00                    |
| 9                         | Active | Czech paraplegic association           | Česko (CZ)            | CZEPA                            | PP                          |  | 127,008.00                    |
| 10                        | Active | Zala County Self-Government            | Magyarország (HU)     | ZALA                             | PP                          | Nyugat-Pannon Terület- és Gazdaságfejlesztési Szolgáltató<br>Közhasznú Nonprofit Kft.<br>Mozgáskorlátozottak Egyesületeinek Országos Szövetsége<br>Aktív- és Ökoturisztikai Fejlesztési Központ Nonprofit Kft. | 234,360.00                    |
| 11                        | Active | Rzeszow Regional Development Agency    | Polska (PL)           | RRDA                             | PP                          | Stowarzyszenie "Dobry Dom"<br>Urząd Marszałkowski Województwa Podkarpackiego   | 211,680.00                    |
| 12                        | Active | European Disability Forum              | Belgique /België (BE) | EDF                              | PP                          |  | 181,440.00                    |

**B.1 Project partner 1**

|  |                                  |
|--|----------------------------------|
| <b>B.1.1 Partner Identity</b>                                  |                                  |
| Partner number   | 1                                |
| Partner role   | LP                               |
| Name of the organisation in original language                  | Geodetski inštitut Slovenije     |
| Name of the organisation in English                            | Geodetic Institute of Slovenia   |
| Abbreviated name of organisation                               | GIS                              |
| Department / unit / division                                   |                                  |
| <b>B.1.2 Partner main address</b>                              |                                  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Slovenija (SI)                   |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Zahodna Slovenija (SI04)         |
| NUTS 3   | Osrednjeslovenska (SI041)        |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Jamova cesta 2<br>1000 Ljubljana |
| Homepage   | www.gis.si                       |
| <b>Address of department / unit / division (if applicable)</b> |                                  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   |                                  |
| Region (NUTS 2)  |                                  |
| NUTS 3   |                                  |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        |                                  |
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>                   |                                  |
| Type of partner  | Sectoral agency                  |
| Subtype of partner   |                                  |
| Legal status   | Public                           |
| Sector of activity at NACE group level                         | M.72.19                          |
| Co-financing rate (%)  | 80                               |
| VAT number (if applicable)                                     | SI81498756                       |
| Other identifier number (if VAT number is not                  |                                  |

|  |                   |
|--|-------------------|
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>                           |                   |
| available, some other organisation identifier should be used)          |                   |
| Other identifier description (specification of the type of identifier) |                   |
| PIC (from EC Participant Register), if available                       | 970300313         |
| <b>B.1.4 Legal Representative</b>                                      |                   |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)            | Mr. Milan Brajnik |
| <b>B.1.5 Contact person</b>  |                   |
| Contact person   | Mr. Blaž Barborič |

**B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution**

Please describe the organisation's thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project. Please also describe what is the main business of the organisation and if the organisation is normally performing economic activities on the market.

GIS is a national public service body, a leading Slovenian public institution for geodetic, geoinformatics, cartographic and hydrographic research and development activity, supporting national and local authorities in territorial and spatial planning processes, established in 1953. Significant amount of work is done for the Surveying & Mapping Authority and for national ministries and bodies responsible for environment, spatial planning, regional development, infrastructure, science, transport, agriculture, education, cultural heritage and humanities.

GIS is the SI representative in EU platform EuroSDR and national contact point for land cover mapping. CE-Spaces4All project manager is also member of The Danube Local Actors Platform (D-LAP) for EUSDR.

GIS has rich experience in leading governance strengthening projects and processes, as well as experience in participatory multi-level cross-sectoral stakeholder policy processes. Currently, GIS is coordinating a project by the SI Ministry of the Environment to test the preparation of regional development plans, coordinating Slovenian regional development agencies. The project aims at systematic introduction of regional spatial planning in Slovenia; experiences will be used in the CE-Spaces4All project for better coordinated spatial and policy planning at regional level.

Together with the Ministry of Infrastructure, GIS implemented Multimodal Mobility of Persons with Disabilities, a project aimed at improving the accessibility of public spaces for PWD that set up a line of data, services and IT solutions for improving independent movement of PWD. The project received very positive feedback from EU-level stakeholders, including DG for Mobility and Transport, which have encouraged the upgrading and transferring of the approach to other EU countries.

GIS do not carry out economic activities on the market.

What is the role and involvement (contribution and main activities) of your organisation in the project?

### B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution

As an experienced manager of EU project and skilled and competent in the project topic, GIS will be the project lead partner. As the LP, it will be responsible for the overall thematic and financial management of the project, as well as for setting up efficient internal project cooperation and communication. It will appoint the Project Manager, as well as the Financial Manager.

GIS is also an important knowledge partner in territorial planning for accessibility and will lead WP1, where the Web Accessibility Viewer (O1.3) will be tested and upgraded via a participatory process. GIS will set the WP working methodology, steer the activities and support partners in their pilot actions and activities. It will also implement a training for pilot area partners and key stakeholders on how to map accessibility barriers (A1.2), as well as be responsible for the final WP1 deliverables and outputs, and lead the preparation capacity building activities to present the usability of results in A1.4. It will also be responsible for sustainability of WAV.

As WP1 leader, GIS will work tightly together with other WP leaders BOKU and ZALA, with communication manager CENIA and Quality Manager EDF in the Project Management Board, key project body for thematic project steering. GIS will support WP2 and WP3 leaders BOKU and ZALA in all activities, and particularly provide support in WP2 pilot actions to all pilot areas partners. Together with BOKU it will also co-design and support the PL pilot action (A2.4). In WP3, GIS will support the policy uptake and mainstreaming of results, facilitated through their own networks in Slovenia and transnationally.

Through the project, GIS aims to gain new knowledge and competences on planning for accessible tourism and upgrade the usability and database of WAV for further improvement of evidence-based territorial planning for accessibility of PWD, ensuring it is integrated into every aspect of territorial planning on all levels.

**If you are the project lead partner, please describe here your organisation's capacity and experience in managing and coordinating EU co-financed projects or other international projects. If you are the project partner that will coordinate communication (i.e. taking over the role of project communication manager), please describe here what are your organisation's relevant communication competences and experiences.**

GIS has many years of experience in EU-co-financed and national projects through which it gained rich knowledge and skills for management of large international consortiums.

From 2012-2014 GI was LP ATTRACT-SEE project funded by SEE Cooperation Programme on assessment of territorial attractiveness, resulting in establishment of common territorial monitoring system framework and improvement of policy coordination process in SEE.

From 2017-2019 GIS also led the follow-up project ATTRACTIVE DANUBE, funded by the Interreg Danube Programme, aimed to strengthen multilevel and transnational governance and institutional capacities of policy planners involved in territorial development of the Danube Region, based on a permanent common transnational platform for monitoring territorial attractiveness (CO-TAMP).

Another recent project where GIS was LP is SI-AT CCB project INTERREG HARMO-DATA (2017-2019) on harmonization for cross-border land management. GIS was also LP of above-mentioned national project Multimodal Mobility of People with Disabilities (2019-2021). It also had LP role in four projects financed by the European Social Fund, for the Ministry of education and sport of Slovenia, Cartographic e-content for education in geography and history for primary and secondary schools (4 separated projects), 2009-2010.

As lead partner in transnational projects, GIS gained project financial and administrative management experiences on transnational level at the organisational and project staff level.

GIS has substantial capacities for financial and administrative management of international partnership. Experienced team is capable of solving problems concerning management, budget, partnership and content-related issues. Experiences of successfully leading ATTRACT-SEE and

|  |                     |               |                                  |
|--|---------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution</b>  |                     |               |                                  |
| ATTRACTIVE DANUE gives confirmation for GIS' competences for managing such complex international partnerships.   |                     |               |                                  |
| <b>B.1.7 Budget</b>  |                     |               |                                  |
| <b>Partner budget options</b>  |                     |               | <b>Percentage</b>                |
| Other costs Flat Rate  |                     |               | 40%                              |
| <b>The partner budgets overview table can be separately exported as an Excel file</b>  |                     |               |                                  |
| <b>B.1.8 Cofinancing</b>   |                     |               |                                  |
| <b>Source</b>  |                     | <b>Amount</b> | <b>Percentage</b>                |
| ERDF   |                     | 339,192.00    | 80.00 %                          |
| Partner contribution   |                     | 84,798.00     | 20.00 %                          |
| Partner total eligible budget  |                     | 423,990.00    | 100.00 %                         |
| <b>Origin of partner contribution</b>  |                     |               |                                  |
| <b>Source of contribution</b>  | <b>Legal status</b> | <b>Amount</b> | <b>% of total partner budget</b> |
| GIS  | Public              | 84,798.00     | 20.00 %                          |
| <b>Contribution</b>  |                     |               |                                  |
| <b>Sub-total public contribution</b>   |                     | 84,798.00     | 20.00 %                          |
| <b>Sub-total automatic public contribution</b>   |                     | 0.00          | 0.00 %                           |
| <b>Total</b>   |                     | 0.00          | 0.00 %                           |
| <b>Total eligible budget</b>   |                     | 84,798.00     | 20.00 %                          |
| <b>State Aid</b>   |                     |               |                                  |
| <b>B.1.9 State Aid information (Partner self-check)</b>  |                     |               |                                  |
| A. Is the partner involved in economic activities within the project?  |                     |               |                                  |
| <b>1. Will the partner implement activities and/or offer goods/services for which a market exists?</b>   |                     | No            |                                  |
| <b>2. Are there activities/goods/services that could have been undertaken by an operator with the view of making profit (even if this is not the partner's intention)?</b> |                     | No            |                                  |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <b>B. Does the partner and/or any third party receive a selective advantage within the project?</b>   |    |
| <b>1. Does the partner gain any benefits (or is relieved of any costs) from the economic activities mentioned under section A, which it would not have received in the normal course of business, i.e. in the absence of funding granted through the project?</b> | No |
| <b>2. Does any economic operator (e.g. SMEs) that is outside the partnership (i.e. not listed as partner in the application form) receive an advantage through activities carried out by the partner within the project?</b>                                      | No |
| <b>C. State aid relevant activities (select from drop-down menu based on C.4 entries)</b>   |    |
| <b>D. Direct State aid regime as in Subsidy Contract (to be filled in ONLY after project selection)</b>   |    |



**B.1 Project partner 2**

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>B.1.1 Partner Identity</b>                                  |  |
| Partner number   | 2  |
| Partner role   | PP   |
| Name of the organisation in original language                  | Nacionalni svet invalidskih organizacij Slovenije        |
| Name of the organisation in English                            | National Council of Disability Organizations of Slovenia |
| Abbreviated name of organisation                               | NSIOS  |
| Department / unit / division                                   |  |
| <b>B.1.2 Partner main address</b>                              |  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Slovenija (SI)   |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Zahodna Slovenija (SI04)                                 |
| NUTS 3   | Osrednjeslovenska (SI041)                                |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Linhartova cesta 1<br>1000 Ljubljana                     |
| Homepage   | www.nsios.si   |
| <b>Address of department / unit / division (if applicable)</b> |  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   |  |
| Region (NUTS 2)  |  |
| NUTS 3   |  |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        |  |
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>                   |  |
| Type of partner  | Interest groups including NGOs                           |
| Subtype of partner   |  |
| Legal status   | Private  |
| Sector of activity at NACE group level                         | S  |
| Co-financing rate (%)  | 80   |
| VAT number (if applicable)                                     | SI69011427   |

|   |                    |
|---|--------------------|
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>  |                    |
| Other identifier number (if VAT number is not available, some other organisation identifier should be used)   |                    |
| Other identifier description (specification of the type of identifier)  |                    |
| PIC (from EC Participant Register), if available  |                    |
| <b>B.1.4 Legal Representative</b>   |                    |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)   | Mr. Borut Sever    |
| <b>B.1.5 Contact person</b>   |                    |
| Contact person  | Ms. Mojca Vaupotič |
|   |                    |
| <b>B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution</b>   |                    |
| <p>Please describe the organisation's thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project. Please also describe what is the main business of the organisation and if the organisation is normally performing economic activities on the market.</p>   |                    |
| <p>NSIOS is a national public council of Disability Organisations, established in line the Disability Organizations Act, and represents the interests of 220 local associations and over 100.000 or 98,5% of Slovenian PWD, NSIOS ensures joint representation of PWD to bodies of state and other public institutions on national and international level.</p> <p>NSIOS is actively involved in the preparation of new sectoral legislation, as well in changes to existing regulations that have a significant impact on the lives of people with disabilities. NSIOS works in the field of education and awareness of all Slovenian disabled people, NSIOS, together with its members, strives to enable people with disabilities to participate and be involved in cultural and other fields. Sports and recreations mean a shift for PWD towards a positive self-image of the individual, his greater self-confidence and improved contact with the environment. It is therefore important to ensure that people with disabilities have access to recreational and sports facilities, beaches, stadiums and gyms, which is also NSIOS strives for.</p> <p>NSIOS is the SI national coordinator of the EC pilot project European Disability Card, aimed at mutual recognition of disability status, enabling benefits in access to sports, culture, tourism, transports etc. The project is implemented in cooperation with Ministry of Labour, Family, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities (AS MDDSZ). The project will be mainstreamed by the EC on the EU level in 2023 and the CE-Spaces4All project can help this process.</p> <p>NSIOS is also a full member of the European Disability Forum (EDF). This means that NSIOS summarises all modern European disability policies and at the same time represents specific Slovenian disability interests and current content for the creation of new systemic solutions</p> <p>NSIOS also runs communication and awareness-raising campaigns to support PWD advocacy. NSIOS does not carry economic activities on the market.</p> |                    |

### B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution

#### What is the role and involvement (contribution and main activities) of your organisation in the project?

NSIOS role and involvement will have a big impact, because the project will follow participatory approach, based on the persons with disabilities as drivers of change. NSIOS will be involved in every step of the project, from developing and testing the approach, as well as advocating for the solutions to be taken up. NSIOS main activities will be:

- in WP1: participate all in capacity building activities, leading SI communication actions in the SI-HR-HU pilot area, mapping barriers in SI pilot area, participating in developing WAV, participation and implementation of regional workshops and capacity building events;
- in WP2: participate in trainings and workshops on capacity building for accessible tourism supporting tools and services; participation in partner exchange and pilot evaluation; leading the SI communication activities in SI-HR-HU pilot area, developing solutions, co-organising workshops for stakeholders;
- in WP3: cooperating on the development of Action Plan in SI for SI-HR-HU area, leading communication activities in SI area, cooperating in developing the Strategy (O3.1), specifically in developing and mainstreaming the Accessibility Consultants and promoting the European Disability Card, participation at workshops, participation in all other project activities, mainstream outputs through capacity building events on regional, national and EU levels and through partnership networks, particularly cooperating with MDDSZ in planning for social policies integration.

Through the project, NSIOS will gain important knowledge, capacities and international connection to strengthen their role in the policy planning for accessibility for PWD not only in tourism but in other leisure activities. The project will directly contribute to improving the lives its members and will strengthen their participation in democratic processes on regional, national and EU levels.

If you are the project lead partner, please describe here your organisation's capacity and experience in managing and coordinating EU co-financed projects or other international projects. If you are the project partner that will coordinate communication (i.e. taking over the role of project communication manager), please describe here what are your organisation's relevant communication competences and experiences.

### B.1.7 Budget

| Partner budget options | Percentage |
|------------------------|------------|
| Other costs Flat Rate  | 40%        |

The partner budgets overview table can be separately exported as an Excel file

### B.1.8 Cofinancing

| Source                        | Amount     | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|
| ERDF                          | 123,379.20 | 80.00 %    |
| Partner contribution          | 30,844.80  | 20.00 %    |
| Partner total eligible budget | 154,224.00 | 100.00 %   |

| <b>Origin of partner contribution</b>  |              |                  |                           |
|--|--------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Source of contribution   | Legal status | Amount           | % of total partner budget |
| NSIOS  | Private      | 30,844.80        | 20.00 %                   |
| <b>Contribution</b>  |              |                  |                           |
| Sub-total public contribution  |              | 0.00             | 0.00 %                    |
| Sub-total automatic public contribution  |              | 0.00             | 0.00 %                    |
| <b>Total</b>   |              | <b>30,844.80</b> | <b>20.00 %</b>            |
| <b>Total eligible budget</b>   |              | <b>30,844.80</b> | <b>20.00 %</b>            |
| <b>State Aid</b>   |              |                  |                           |
| <b>B.1.9 State Aid information (Partner self-check)</b>  |              |                  |                           |
| A. Is the partner involved in economic activities within the project?  |              |                  |                           |
| 1. Will the partner implement activities and/or offer goods/services for which a market exists?  | No           |                  |                           |
| 2. Are there activities/goods/services that could have been undertaken by an operator with the view of making profit (even if this is not the partner's intention)?  | No           |                  |                           |
| B. Does the partner and/or any third party receive a selective advantage within the project?   |              |                  |                           |
| 1. Does the partner gain any benefits (or is relieved of any costs) from the economic activities mentioned under section A, which it would not have received in the normal course of business, i.e. in the absence of funding granted through the project? | No           |                  |                           |
| 2. Does any economic operator (e.g. SMEs) that is outside the partnership (i.e. not listed as partner in the application form) receive an advantage through activities carried out by the partner within the project?                                      | No           |                  |                           |
| C. State aid relevant activities (select from drop-down menu based on C.4 entries)   |              |                  |                           |
| D. Direct State aid regime as in Subsidy Contract (to be filled in ONLY after project selection)   |              |                  |                           |

**B.1 Project partner 3**

|  |                                   |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| <b>B.1.1 Partner Identity</b>                                  |                                   |
| Partner number   | 3                                 |
| Partner role   | PP                                |
| Name of the organisation in original language                  | Razvojni center Murska Sobota     |
| Name of the organisation in English                            | Development Centre Murska Sobota  |
| Abbreviated name of organisation                               | RCMS                              |
| Department / unit / division                                   |                                   |
| <b>B.1.2 Partner main address</b>                              |                                   |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Slovenija (SI)                    |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Vzhodna Slovenija (SI03)          |
| NUTS 3   | Pomurska (SI031)                  |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Kardoševa 2<br>9000 Murska Sobota |
| Homepage   | www.rcms.si                       |
| <b>Address of department / unit / division (if applicable)</b> |                                   |
| Country (NUTS 0)   |                                   |
| Region (NUTS 2)  |                                   |
| NUTS 3   |                                   |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        |                                   |
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>                   |                                   |
| Type of partner  | Sectoral agency                   |
| Subtype of partner   |                                   |
| Legal status   | Public                            |
| Sector of activity at NACE group level                         | M.70.22                           |
| Co-financing rate (%)  | 80                                |
| VAT number (if applicable)                                     | SI19252048                        |
| Other identifier number (if VAT number is not                  |                                   |

|   |                |
|---|----------------|
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>  |                |
| available, some other organisation identifier should be used)   |                |
| Other identifier description (specification of the type of identifier)  |                |
| PIC (from EC Participant Register), if available  | 950034976      |
| <b>B.1.4 Legal Representative</b>   |                |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)   | Mr. Bojan Kar  |
| <b>B.1.5 Contact person</b>   |                |
| Contact person  | Mr. Tadej Pirc |
| <b>B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution</b>   |                |
| <p>Please describe the organisation's thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project. Please also describe what is the main business of the organisation and if the organisation is normally performing economic activities on the market.</p>   |                |
| <p>RCMS is a public body, the regional development agency for the Pomurje region, covering 27 municipalities and acting as intermediary institution between the state and regional stakeholders. RCMS supports and coordinates the Regional Council and the Regional Development Council. Moreover, RCMS is the organisation responsible for the Regional Development Programme creation and in part also its implementation. RCMS have the capacity to influence the above policy instrument – and other sectoral policy and strategic documents at the regional level – through direct intervention with the above mentioned state authorities and indirectly through the regional development bodies. Furthermore, RCMS manage the Regional Promotion Centre (Expano) which is the main entry point for visitors to the region and acts as the generator, promotor, and a de facto regional destination management organisation. RCMS have experience with PWD related topics, recently, they conducted a study an assessment of accessibility of premises and services of the Murska Sobota Medical Centre ( 11 locations spread around the region). RCMS also gained experience with accessibility planning in tourism while developing and building the Expano Regional Promotion Centre, and during the revitalisation of the Lake Sobota destination.</p> <p>RCMS has vast experience in managing projects of cross-border, international, and trans-national cooperation. Some of the more recent ones are: Horizon 2020 (Cities2030), Interreg Alpine Space (Healps2), Interreg Adrion (ADRILINK), Interreg Central Europe (SMACKER), Interreg Danube (Dream Road), Interreg IVC (ZEN), COSME (Garden Route), Interreg Slovenia-Austria (City Cooperation I and II, E-SME, Hiking &amp; Biking), Interreg Slovenia-Hungary (Save together!, Right Profession I and II, Reg-Net, Dual Transfer, GreenReg), Interreg Slovenia-Croatia (Sprint, Maraton), several Erasmus+ projects etc. RCMS also performs economic activities on the market (studies, research, business support).</p> |                |
| <p>What is the role and involvement (contribution and main activities) of your organisation in the project?</p>   |                |

### B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution

RCMS has experiences on how to transfer information and knowledge to the relevant regional stakeholders and to the end users of public services, i.e., local authorities and tourism operators, as well as how to develop and impact regional policies. Based on that, RCMS will be actively involved in all project activities, but main activities will be:

- in WP1: participate all in capacity building activities, leading the SI actions in the SI-HR-HU pilot area, participating in developing WAV, participation and implementation of regional workshops and capacity building events;
- in WP2: participate in trainings and workshops on capacity building for accessible tourism supporting tools and services; participation in partner exchange and pilot evaluation; leading the SI activities in SI-HR-HU pilot area, developing solutions, organising workshops for stakeholders;
- in WP3: leading the development of Action Plan in SI for SI-HR-HU area, organisation of workshops, participation in all other project activities, mainstream outputs through capacity building events on regional, national and EU levels and through partnership networks.

Through project, RCMS will acquire new knowledge and skills on how to plan and manage tourism in a more inclusive and accessible way. Through the pilot action, RCMS will establish a common understanding of the geographical, mobility, and social specifics of our shared space, and thus develop deeper connections in the cross-border area. RCMS will mainstream the project results in the upcoming regional development and regional tourism strategies, as well as to Local Action Groups development programmes.

If you are the project lead partner, please describe here your organisation's capacity and experience in managing and coordinating EU co-financed projects or other international projects. If you are the project partner that will coordinate communication (i.e. taking over the role of project communication manager), please describe here what are your organisation's relevant communication competences and experiences.

### B.1.7 Budget

| Partner budget options | Percentage |
|------------------------|------------|
| Other costs Flat Rate  | 40%        |

The partner budgets overview table can be separately exported as an Excel file

### B.1.8 Cofinancing

| Source                        | Amount     | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|
| ERDF                          | 137,289.60 | 80.00 %    |
| Partner contribution          | 34,322.40  | 20.00 %    |
| Partner total eligible budget | 171,612.00 | 100.00 %   |

#### Origin of partner contribution

| Source of contribution | Legal status | Amount    | % of total partner budget |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| RCMS                   | Public       | 34,322.40 | 20.00 %                   |

| <b>Contribution</b>  |           |         |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Sub-total public contribution  | 34,322.40 | 20.00 % |
| Sub-total automatic public contribution  | 0.00      | 0.00 %  |
| Total  | 0.00      | 0.00 %  |
| Total eligible budget  | 34,322.40 | 20.00 % |
| <b>State Aid</b>   |           |         |
| <b>B.1.9 State Aid information (Partner self-check)</b>  |           |         |
| A. Is the partner involved in economic activities within the project?  |           |         |
| 1. Will the partner implement activities and/or offer goods/services for which a market exists?  | No        |         |
| 2. Are there activities/goods/services that could have been undertaken by an operator with the view of making profit (even if this is not the partner's intention)?  | No        |         |
| B. Does the partner and/or any third party receive a selective advantage within the project?   |           |         |
| 1. Does the partner gain any benefits (or is relieved of any costs) from the economic activities mentioned under section A, which it would not have received in the normal course of business, i.e. in the absence of funding granted through the project? | No        |         |
| 2. Does any economic operator (e.g. SMEs) that is outside the partnership (i.e. not listed as partner in the application form) receive an advantage through activities carried out by the partner within the project?                                      | No        |         |
| C. State aid relevant activities (select from drop-down menu based on C.4 entries)   |           |         |
| D. Direct State aid regime as in Subsidy Contract (to be filled in ONLY after project selection)   |           |         |



**B.1 Project partner 4**

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>B.1.1 Partner Identity</b>                                  |  |
| Partner number   | 4  |
| Partner role   | PP   |
| Name of the organisation in original language                  | Universität für Bodenkultur Wien   |
| Name of the organisation in English                            | University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences,<br>Vienna   |
| Abbreviated name of organisation                               | BOKU   |
| Department / unit / division                                   | Department of Landscape, Spatial and Infrastructure<br>Sciences, Institute of Spatial Planning,<br>Environmental Planning and Land Rearrangement |
| <b>B.1.2 Partner main address</b>                              |  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Österreich (AT)  |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Wien (AT13)  |
| NUTS 3   | Wien (AT130)   |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Gregor Mendel Strasse 33<br>1180 Vienna  |
| Homepage   | <a href="https://boku.ac.at/">https://boku.ac.at/</a>  |
| <b>Address of department / unit / division (if applicable)</b> |  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Österreich (AT)  |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Wien (AT13)  |
| NUTS 3   | Wien (AT130)   |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Peter-Jordan-Strasse 82<br>1190 Vienna   |
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>                   |  |
| Type of partner  | Higher education and research organisations  |
| Subtype of partner   |  |
| Legal status   | Public   |
| Sector of activity at NACE group level                         | M  |

|  |                                    |
|--|------------------------------------|
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>   |                                    |
| Co-financing rate (%)  | 80                                 |
| VAT number (if applicable)   | ATU16285008                        |
| Other identifier number (if VAT number is not available, some other organisation identifier should be used)  |                                    |
| Other identifier description (specification of the type of identifier)   |                                    |
| PIC (from EC Participant Register), if available   | 999987357                          |
| <b>B.1.4 Legal Representative</b>  |                                    |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)  | Univ. Prof. Dr. Gernot Stoeglehner |
| <b>B.1.5 Contact person</b>  |                                    |
| Contact person   | Dr. Georg Neugebauer               |
|  |                                    |
| <b>B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution</b>  |                                    |
| <p>Please describe the organisation's thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project. Please also describe what is the main business of the organisation and if the organisation is normally performing economic activities on the market.</p>  |                                    |
| <p>BOKU is only university in Austria that comprehensively addresses the sustainable protection and use of natural resources as well as the design of living spaces in research and teaching. With 2.900 employees and 11,500 students, it is one of leading life sciences universities in EU. The Institute of Spatial Planning, Environmental Planning and Land Rearrangement (IRUB) is part of Department of Landscape, Spatial and Infrastructure Sciences. IRUB work is oriented not only at describing and interpreting spatial phenomena and developments, but also at supporting planning and decision-making processes with spatial impact. Team has experience in spatial planning, regional development, mobility, geoinformation processing, barrier free environments, cross-border-data and information platforms, as well as mobility of disabled and elderly people.</p> <p>BOKU has extensive experience in EU projects related to the topic of the CE-Spaces4All project, including Central Europe projects VITAL LANDSCAPES, GREEN-NET, REEF-2W. CENTROPE-MAP &amp; CENTROPE STATISTICS dealt with AT-CZ-SK-HU with Cross-Border-Geo-Information-and statistics application.</p> <p>AmauroMap is an interactive online map to help for blind or visually impaired people in preparing for trips. Project Bus Stop 3.0 represents the future of public transportation stops serving as barrier-free multi-functional centres for innovative urban and regional development; SEN-TOUR focuses on barrier-free access for elderly and handicapped people. These will be used as knowledge basis for project. BOKU's networking focuses on interdisciplinary collaboration. Cooperation with public institutions,</p> |                                    |

### B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution

including federal government, provinces, municipalities as well as members of the private sector highlight the application-oriented approach and the societal relevance of the institute's research. BOKU is also member of ISOCARP – International Society of City and Regional Planning. BOKU also carries out economic activities (studies, research).

#### What is the role and involvement (contribution and main activities) of your organisation in the project?

BOKU will provide knowledge about integrated spatial and territorial development, and knowledge on accessibility and mobility planning. They are experienced in integrating and processing geo-data, actively participating and organising trainings and workshops and in dissemination of project results.

As important knowledge provider BOKU will lead capacity building for territorial planning for accessibility and will lead WP2. The role will be:

- in WP1: leading capacity building activities, leading the AT actions in the AT-CZ pilot area, participating in developing WAV, participation and implementation of regional workshops and capacity building events;
- in WP2: leading the WP, supporting all partners in development of solutions, lead activities for capacity building for accessible tourism supporting tools and services; participation in partner exchange and pilot evaluation; leading the AT activities in AT-CZ pilot area, developing solutions, organising workshops for stakeholders; cooperating with RDDA and GIS in PL pilot area;
- in WP3: cooperating in developing the Strategy, leading the development of Action Plan in AT for CZ-AT area, organisation of workshops, participation in all other project activities, mainstream outputs through capacity building events on regional, national and EU levels and through partnership networks, as well as through their educational work at BOKU, mainstreaming accessibility to new generations of students.

BOKU will also be member of the Project Management Body, steering thematic activities. GIS and BOKU have experience in working together, ensuring smooth project implementation.

BOKU is aware that barrier-free environments are important in many contexts of urban and regional development and the proposed project can help to gain extensive knowledge in that field by working on the one hand locally in a pilot action and on the other hand co-operating with very experienced partners across Europe.

If you are the project lead partner, please describe here your organisation's capacity and experience in managing and coordinating EU co-financed projects or other international projects. If you are the project partner that will coordinate communication (i.e. taking over the role of project communication manager), please describe here what are your organisation's relevant communication competences and experiences.

### B.1.7 Budget

| Partner budget options | Percentage |
|------------------------|------------|
| Other costs Flat Rate  | 40%        |

The partner budgets overview table can be separately exported as an Excel file

| <b>B.1.8 Cofinancing</b>   |                     |               |                                  |
|--|---------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>Source</b>  |                     | <b>Amount</b> | <b>Percentage</b>                |
| ERDF   |                     | 270,345.60    | 80.00 %                          |
| Partner contribution   |                     | 67,586.40     | 20.00 %                          |
| Partner total eligible budget  |                     | 337,932.00    | 100.00 %                         |
| <b>Origin of partner contribution</b>  |                     |               |                                  |
| <b>Source of contribution</b>  | <b>Legal status</b> | <b>Amount</b> | <b>% of total partner budget</b> |
| BOKU   | Public              | 67,586.40     | 20.00 %                          |
| <b>Contribution</b>  |                     |               |                                  |
| <b>Sub-total public contribution</b>   |                     | 67,586.40     | 20.00 %                          |
| <b>Sub-total automatic public contribution</b>   |                     | 0.00          | 0.00 %                           |
| <b>Total</b>   |                     | 0.00          | 0.00 %                           |
| <b>Total eligible budget</b>   |                     | 67,586.40     | 20.00 %                          |
| <b>State Aid</b>   |                     |               |                                  |
| <b>B.1.9 State Aid information (Partner self-check)</b>  |                     |               |                                  |
| A. Is the partner involved in economic activities within the project?  |                     |               |                                  |
| 1. Will the partner implement activities and/or offer goods/services for which a market exists?  |                     | No            |                                  |
| 2. Are there activities/goods/services that could have been undertaken by an operator with the view of making profit (even if this is not the partner's intention)?  |                     | No            |                                  |
| B. Does the partner and/or any third party receive a selective advantage within the project?   |                     |               |                                  |
| 1. Does the partner gain any benefits (or is relieved of any costs) from the economic activities mentioned under section A, which it would not have received in the normal course of business, i.e. in the absence of funding granted through the project? |                     | No            |                                  |
| 2. Does any economic operator (e.g. SMEs) that is outside the partnership (i.e. not listed as partner in the application form) receive an  |                     | No            |                                  |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>B. Does the partner and/or any third party receive a selective advantage within the project?</b>     |  |
| <b>advantage through activities carried out by the partner within the project?</b>                      |  |
| <b>C. State aid relevant activities (select from drop-down menu based on C.4 entries)</b>               |  |
| <b>D. Direct State aid regime as in Subsidy Contract (to be filled in ONLY after project selection)</b> |  |

**B.1 Project partner 5**

|  |                                  |
|--|----------------------------------|
| <b>B.1.1 Partner Identity</b>                                  |                                  |
| Partner number   | 5                                |
| Partner role   | PP                               |
| Name of the organisation in original language                  | Weinviertel Tourismus GmbH.      |
| Name of the organisation in English                            | Weinviertel Tourismus GmbH.      |
| Abbreviated name of organisation                               | WVT                              |
| Department / unit / division                                   |                                  |
| <b>B.1.2 Partner main address</b>                              |                                  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Österreich (AT)                  |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Niederösterreich (AT12)          |
| NUTS 3   | Weinviertel (AT125)              |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Wiener Straße 1<br>2170 Poysdorf |
| Homepage   | www.weinviertel.at               |
| <b>Address of department / unit / division (if applicable)</b> |                                  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   |                                  |
| Region (NUTS 2)  |                                  |
| NUTS 3   |                                  |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        |                                  |
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>                   |                                  |
| Type of partner  | SME                              |
| Subtype of partner   | Small enterprise                 |
| Legal status   | Public                           |
| Sector of activity at NACE group level                         | R                                |
| Co-financing rate (%)  | 80                               |
| VAT number (if applicable)                                     | ATU53440600                      |
| Other identifier number (if VAT number is not                  |                                  |

|  |                       |
|--|-----------------------|
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>   |                       |
| available, some other organisation identifier should be used)  |                       |
| Other identifier description (specification of the type of identifier)   |                       |
| PIC (from EC Participant Register), if available   |                       |
| <b>B.1.4 Legal Representative</b>  |                       |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)  | Mr. Hannes Steinacker |
| <b>B.1.5 Contact person</b>  |                       |
| Contact person   | Mr. Hannes Steinacker |
|  |                       |
| <b>B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution</b>  |                       |
| <p>Please describe the organisation's thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project. Please also describe what is the main business of the organisation and if the organisation is normally performing economic activities on the market.</p>  |                       |
| <p>WVT is a public company, established by Lower Austria Tourist Board of the Lower Austria Regional Authority, tourist associations and representatives of the tourism industry, and is the official regional institution for the development and promotion of tourism in the Weinviertel. The Weinviertel borders the Czech Republic to the north, Slovakia to the east, the Danube and Vienna to the south and the Waldviertel to the west. The working area includes 97 municipalities.</p> <p>WVT has 20 employees that work in the areas of product development, marketing, press relations, information, incoming and project development. The main focus is on cycling, culinary delights and wine. WVT is active at local, regional, national and international level. The region is committed to developing sustainable and responsible tourism based on local potential and bringing benefit to the local communities. Accessibility of all is one of its development goals.</p> <p>It has experience with Interreg projects in the fields of cycling, ecology or pilgrimage with concept development and dissemination, as well as with the development, construction and marketing of cycle paths and tourist offers in the region. Weinviertel Tourismus acted as lead partner during the implementation of 2 Interreg projects Experience the cultural and natural heritage of the border region on the European Green Belt along the Iron Curtain by bike" (EV13-Iron Curtain Trail, 2016-2019 cycle route section AT-CZ, 2018-2022 cycle route section SK-AT). In 2021, the EV13 cycle route in the section Gmünd/Ceske Velenice - Hohenau - Bratislava was named "Cycle Route of the Year.</p> <p>Weinveiertel Tourism therefore employs staff with many years of experience in the development, implementation and accounting of cross-border and EU-funded projects, and has extensive experience in designing and leading communication campaigns</p> <p>WVT also carries out economic activities (operating a limited travel agency and advertising agency).</p> |                       |
| <p>What is the role and involvement (contribution and main activities) of your organisation in the project?</p>  |                       |

### B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution

WVT will together with TZMZ be leading tourism development and promotion knowledge partners, representing the tourism development stakeholders as one of the project target groups. With its vast experience in communication and promotion campaigns, they will also support Communication Manager CENIA in drafting the joint communication approach and strategy to properly address the tourism stakeholders.

Weinviertel Tourismus will be actively involved in all project activities:

- in WP1: participate all in capacity building activities, coordinating AT-CZ pilot area communication activities and leading AT communication actions, participating in developing WAV, participation and implementation of regional workshops and capacity building events;
- in WP2: participate in trainings and workshops on capacity building for accessible tourism supporting tools and services; participation in partner exchange and pilot evaluation; coordinating AT-CZ pilot area communication activities and leading AT communication actions, cooperate in developing solutions, co-organising workshops for stakeholders;
- in WP3: cooperating on the development of Action Plan in At for At-CZ area, leading communication activities in AT and AT-CZ area, cooperating in developing the Strategy (O3.1), specifically - participate in capacity building activities and in trainings for accessible tourism supporting tools and services for tourism developers and other stakeholders.

WVT will also be able to mainstream the developed solutions and action plan in order to achieve its integration into the regional tourism development strategy.

The development and integration of knowledge transfer in different ways, identity creation and the creation of services that will help PWD independently enjoy the Weinviertel will be the main benefits from project implementation. The project will contribute to the Lower Austrian Tourism Strategy 2025 and thus make a valuable contribution to the national tourism strategy.

If you are the project lead partner, please describe here your organisation's capacity and experience in managing and coordinating EU co-financed projects or other international projects. If you are the project partner that will coordinate communication (i.e. taking over the role of project communication manager), please describe here what are your organisation's relevant communication competences and experiences.

### B.1.7 Budget

| Partner budget options | Percentage |
|------------------------|------------|
| Other costs Flat Rate  | 40%        |

The partner budgets overview table can be separately exported as an Excel file

### B.1.8 Cofinancing

| Source                        | Amount     | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|
| ERDF                          | 190,512.00 | 80.00 %    |
| Partner contribution          | 47,628.00  | 20.00 %    |
| Partner total eligible budget | 238,140.00 | 100.00 %   |



| <b>Origin of partner contribution</b>  |              |           |                           |
|--|--------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Source of contribution   | Legal status | Amount    | % of total partner budget |
| WVT  | Public       | 47,628.00 | 20.00 %                   |
| <b>Contribution</b>  |              |           |                           |
| Sub-total public contribution  |              | 47,628.00 | 20.00 %                   |
| Sub-total automatic public contribution  |              | 0.00      | 0.00 %                    |
| Total  |              | 0.00      | 0.00 %                    |
| Total eligible budget  |              | 47,628.00 | 20.00 %                   |
| <b>State Aid</b>   |              |           |                           |
| <b>B.1.9 State Aid information (Partner self-check)</b>  |              |           |                           |
| A. Is the partner involved in economic activities within the project?  |              |           |                           |
| 1. Will the partner implement activities and/or offer goods/services for which a market exists?  | No           |           |                           |
| 2. Are there activities/goods/services that could have been undertaken by an operator with the view of making profit (even if this is not the partner's intention)?  | No           |           |                           |
| B. Does the partner and/or any third party receive a selective advantage within the project?   |              |           |                           |
| 1. Does the partner gain any benefits (or is relieved of any costs) from the economic activities mentioned under section A, which it would not have received in the normal course of business, i.e. in the absence of funding granted through the project? | No           |           |                           |
| 2. Does any economic operator (e.g. SMEs) that is outside the partnership (i.e. not listed as partner in the application form) receive an advantage through activities carried out by the partner within the project?                                      | No           |           |                           |
| C. State aid relevant activities (select from drop-down menu based on C.4 entries)   |              |           |                           |
| D. Direct State aid regime as in Subsidy Contract (to be filled in ONLY after project)   |              |           |                           |

selection)

**B.1 Project partner 6**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>B.1.1 Partner Identity</b>                                  |   |
| Partner number   | 6   |
| Partner role   | PP  |
| Name of the organisation in original language                  | Turistička zajednica Međimurske županije  |
| Name of the organisation in English                            | Tourism Board of Međimurje County         |
| Abbreviated name of organisation                               | TZMZ                                      |
| Department / unit / division                                   |   |
| <b>B.1.2 Partner main address</b>                              |   |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Hrvatska (HR)                             |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Sjeverna Hrvatska (HR06)                  |
| NUTS 3   | Međimurska županija (HR061)               |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Bana Josipa Jelačića 22E<br>40000 Čakovec |
| Homepage   | www.visitmedimurje.com                    |
| <b>Address of department / unit / division (if applicable)</b> |   |
| Country (NUTS 0)   |   |
| Region (NUTS 2)  |   |
| NUTS 3   |   |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        |   |
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>                   |   |
| Type of partner  | Sectoral agency                           |
| Subtype of partner   |   |
| Legal status   | Private                                   |
| Sector of activity at NACE group level                         | 0   |
| Co-financing rate (%)  | 80  |
| VAT number (if applicable)                                     | HR82613951376                             |
| Other identifier number (if VAT number is not                  |   |

|   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>  |                          |
| available, some other organisation identifier should be used)   |                          |
| Other identifier description (specification of the type of identifier)  |                          |
| PIC (from EC Participant Register), if available  |                          |
| <b>B.1.4 Legal Representative</b>   |                          |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)   | Mr. Rudi Grula           |
| <b>B.1.5 Contact person</b>   |                          |
| Contact person  | Mrs. Iva Vurušić Mađarić |
| <b>B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution</b>   |                          |
| <p>Please describe the organisation's thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project. Please also describe what is the main business of the organisation and if the organisation is normally performing economic activities on the market.</p>   |                          |
| <p>TZMZ is a public body, performing public service in the field of tourism and focuses on the promotion of Međimurje as a tourist destination at the county level independently and through joint advertising. TZMZ is the main regional body in charge of development of regional tourism offer. TZMZ has impact on development the county tourism development strategy, promotion of the county in Croatia and abroad, and on creation of new products of the tourist region, especially in selective forms of tourism (rural, health, accessible tourism etc.).</p> <p>Broad management and policy importance of TZMZ is shown by their focus on management of the public tourist infrastructure given to management by the county, participation in defining objectives and tourism development policy at the county, and encouraging, preserving, improving and promoting all existing tourism resources and potentials, and tourist supply of the county.</p> <p>TZMZ administration is very experienced in coordinating national projects, cooperating in international projects and organising numerous events of different sizes and themes, aimed at local, regional, and international visitors. It is also skilled in designing and implementing communication and awareness-raising campaigns.</p> <p>TZMZ also has rich experience in EU projects, including cross-border and transnational projects with partners in the Pomurje region and Zala county. Most relevant projects are: - MURA DRAVA BIKE IPA SI-HR (2010 - 2012);, CYCLE IN A NETWORK IPA HU-HR (2013 - 2014), JOINT PLANNING CODE IPA SI-HR (2013 - 2015); ATTRACTOUR - INTERREG HU-HR (2017 – 2019); -CYCLE IN A NETWORK 2.0- INTERREG HU-HR (2017 – 2019);- AMAZON OF EUROPE BIKE TRAIL- INTERREG DTS (2018 - 2021); AMAZING Amazon of Europe – Interreg DTP (2019-2022).</p> <p>TZMZ is a member of UNWTO and will use the network to mainstream project results.</p> <p>TZMZ does not carry out economic activities on the market.</p> |                          |

### B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution

#### What is the role and involvement (contribution and main activities) of your organisation in the project?

TZMZ is focused on tourism development, so they will contribute to specific objectives for setting up services and tools for persons with disabilities for independent tourism travel.

TZMZ will together with WVT be leading tourism development and promotion knowledge partners, representing the tourism development stakeholders as one of the project target groups. With its vast experience in communication and promotion campaigns, they will also support Communication Manager CENIA in drafting the joint communication approach and strategy to properly address the tourism stakeholders.

TZMZ will be actively involved in all project activities:

- in WP1: participate in all in capacity building activities, coordinating SI-HR-HU pilot area communication activities and leading HR communication actions, participating in developing WAV, participation and implementation of regional workshops and capacity building events;
- in WP2: participate in trainings and workshops on capacity building for accessible tourism supporting tools and services; participation in partner exchange and pilot evaluation; coordinating SI-HR-HU pilot area communication activities and leading HR communication actions, lead HR activities in developing solutions, organising workshops for stakeholders;
- in WP3: cooperating on the development of Action Plan in HR for SI-HR-HU area, leading communication activities in HR and SI-HR-HU area, cooperating in developing the Strategy (03.1), specifically - participate in capacity building activities and in trainings for accessible tourism supporting tools and services for tourism developers and other stakeholders.

TZMZ will also be able to mainstream the developed solutions and action plan in order to achieve its integration into the regional tourism development strategy and mainstream the results nationally through cooperation with CNTB and internationally via UNWTO.

If you are the project lead partner, please describe here your organisation's capacity and experience in managing and coordinating EU co-financed projects or other international projects. If you are the project partner that will coordinate communication (i.e. taking over the role of project communication manager), please describe here what are your organisation's relevant communication competences and experiences.

### B.1.7 Budget

| Partner budget options | Percentage |
|------------------------|------------|
| Other costs Flat Rate  | 40%        |

The partner budgets overview table can be separately exported as an Excel file

### B.1.8 Cofinancing

| Source                        | Amount     | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|
| ERDF                          | 136,080.00 | 80.00 %    |
| Partner contribution          | 34,020.00  | 20.00 %    |
| Partner total eligible budget | 170,100.00 | 100.00 %   |

| <b>Origin of partner contribution</b>  |              |           |                           |
|--|--------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Source of contribution   | Legal status | Amount    | % of total partner budget |
| TZMZ   | Private      | 34,020.00 | 20.00 %                   |
| <b>Contribution</b>  |              |           |                           |
| Sub-total public contribution  |              | 0.00      | 0.00 %                    |
| Sub-total automatic public contribution  |              | 0.00      | 0.00 %                    |
| Total  |              | 34,020.00 | 20.00 %                   |
| Total eligible budget  |              | 34,020.00 | 20.00 %                   |
| <b>State Aid</b>   |              |           |                           |
| <b>B.1.9 State Aid information (Partner self-check)</b>  |              |           |                           |
| A. Is the partner involved in economic activities within the project?  |              |           |                           |
| 1. Will the partner implement activities and/or offer goods/services for which a market exists?  |              | No        |                           |
| 2. Are there activities/goods/services that could have been undertaken by an operator with the view of making profit (even if this is not the partner's intention)?  |              | No        |                           |
| B. Does the partner and/or any third party receive a selective advantage within the project?   |              |           |                           |
| 1. Does the partner gain any benefits (or is relieved of any costs) from the economic activities mentioned under section A, which it would not have received in the normal course of business, i.e. in the absence of funding granted through the project? |              | No        |                           |
| 2. Does any economic operator (e.g. SMEs) that is outside the partnership (i.e. not listed as partner in the application form) receive an advantage through activities carried out by the partner within the project?                                      |              | No        |                           |
| C. State aid relevant activities (select from drop-down menu based on C.4 entries)   |              |           |                           |
| D. Direct State aid regime as in Subsidy Contract (to be filled in ONLY after project selection)   |              |           |                           |

**B.1 Project partner 7**

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>B.1.1 Partner Identity</b>                                  |  |
| Partner number   | 7  |
| Partner role   | PP   |
| Name of the organisation in original language                  | Javna ustanova za razvoj Međimurske županije REDEA               |
| Name of the organisation in English                            | Public Institution for the Development of Međimurje County REDEA |
| Abbreviated name of organisation                               | REDEA  |
| Department / unit / division                                   | Department for social activity projects                          |
| <b>B.1.2 Partner main address</b>                              |  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Hrvatska (HR)  |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Sjeverna Hrvatska (HR06)   |
| NUTS 3   | Međimurska županija (HR061)                                      |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Bana Josipa Jelačića 22<br>40000 Čakovec                         |
| Homepage   | www.redea.hr   |
| <b>Address of department / unit / division (if applicable)</b> |  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Hrvatska (HR)  |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Sjeverna Hrvatska (HR06)   |
| NUTS 3   | Međimurska županija (HR061)                                      |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Bana Josipa Jelačića 22<br>40000 Čakovec                         |
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>                   |  |
| Type of partner  | Regional public authority  |
| Subtype of partner   |  |
| Legal status   | Public   |
| Sector of activity at NACE group level                         | 0.84.11  |
| Co-financing rate (%)  | 80   |

|   |                              |
|---|------------------------------|
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>  |                              |
| VAT number (if applicable)  | HR86547803101                |
| Other identifier number (if VAT number is not available, some other organisation identifier should be used)   |                              |
| Other identifier description (specification of the type of identifier)  |                              |
| PIC (from EC Participant Register), if available  | 904482903                    |
| <b>B.1.4 Legal Representative</b>   |                              |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)   | Ms. Sandra Polanec Marinović |
| <b>B.1.5 Contact person</b>   |                              |
| Contact person  | Ms. Petra Pavičić            |
|   |                              |
| <b>B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution</b>   |                              |
| <p>Please describe the organisation's thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project. Please also describe what is the main business of the organisation and if the organisation is normally performing economic activities on the market.</p>   |                              |
| <p>Public institution for the Development of the Međimurje County (REDEA) is a public institution established by the Međimurje County according to the Regional Development Act. Main fields of work are economic development, tourism and rural development, social projects and human resources development. The Ministry of regional development and EU funds appointed REDEA as a regional coordinator which means REDEA is accredited to support and direct the development at the county level, leading regional development strategies preparation processes. REDEA, among others, prepares and implements projects in the field of social activities and tourism, and activities adapted for persons with disabilities are always considered during the preparation of the project proposal. One of the tasks of the regional coordinator is strategic planning at the county and local level, and initiation and implementation of strategic projects and therefore for Međimurje County, as a tourist destination, it is important that the public tourist infrastructures are adapted to all visitors and tourists, and planning and implementation of accessible tourism is part of every project in field of tourism.</p> <p>REDEA has 15 years of hands-on experience in preparation and implementation of EU projects (Interreg Danube, Central and Adrion included) in various fields. The most relevant are: TREASURY OF THE MEĐIMURJE COUNTY project (co-financed by ERDF): reconstruction of fortification of the Old town in Cakovec and revitalisation as Museum of intangible heritage adapted for persons with disabilities. This adaptation was created and implemented by REDEA. Another relevant project that integrated solutions for PWD is the ATTRACTOUR project co-financed in the scope of Interreg V-A Hungary-Croatia CBC</p> |                              |



### B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution

Programme 2014-2020. The needs of people with disabilities are implemented in each project proposal prepared by REDEA.

REDEA does not perform any economic activities on the market.

#### What is the role and involvement (contribution and main activities) of your organisation in the project?

REDEA will actively participate in all 3 WP and will be responsible for connecting persons with disabilities, local and regional public authorities and tourism stakeholders in joint planning and development of solutions for better territorial tourism planning and implementation in pilot areas, mainly in SI-HR-HU pilot area. They will work with TZMZ to achieve project objectives:

- in WP1: participate in all in capacity building activities, cooperating in SI-HR-HU pilot area activities and leading HR mapping activities, participating in developing WAV, participation and implementation of regional workshops and capacity building events;
- in WP2: participate in trainings and workshops on capacity building for accessible tourism supporting tools and services; participation in partner exchange and pilot evaluation; coordinating SI-HR-HU pilot area in HR, participate in HR activities in developing solutions, co-organising workshops for stakeholders;
- in WP3: development of Action Plan in HR for SI-HR-HU area, cooperating in developing the Strategy (O3.1), specifically - participate in capacity building activities and in trainings for accessible tourism supporting tools and services for tourism developers and other stakeholders.

REDEA will through cooperation with AP MZ also be able to mainstream the developed solutions and action plan into regional development policies and strategies. They will also engage PWD to work on the pilot action mapping and solutions development to ensure their participation in the process, ensuring participatory co-creation of solutions for PWD by PWD in Croatia.

If you are the project lead partner, please describe here your organisation's capacity and experience in managing and coordinating EU co-financed projects or other international projects. If you are the project partner that will coordinate communication (i.e. taking over the role of project communication manager), please describe here what are your organisation's relevant communication competences and experiences.

### B.1.7 Budget

| Partner budget options | Percentage |
|------------------------|------------|
| Other costs Flat Rate  | 40%        |

The partner budgets overview table can be separately exported as an Excel file

### B.1.8 Cofinancing

| Source                        | Amount     | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|
| ERDF                          | 136,080.00 | 80.00 %    |
| Partner contribution          | 34,020.00  | 20.00 %    |
| Partner total eligible budget | 170,100.00 | 100.00 %   |

| <b>Origin of partner contribution</b>  |              |           |                           |
|--|--------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Source of contribution   | Legal status | Amount    | % of total partner budget |
| REDEA  | Public       | 34,020.00 | 20.00 %                   |
| <b>Contribution</b>  |              |           |                           |
| Sub-total public contribution  |              | 34,020.00 | 20.00 %                   |
| Sub-total automatic public contribution  |              | 0.00      | 0.00 %                    |
| Total  |              | 0.00      | 0.00 %                    |
| Total eligible budget  |              | 34,020.00 | 20.00 %                   |
| <b>State Aid</b>   |              |           |                           |
| <b>B.1.9 State Aid information (Partner self-check)</b>  |              |           |                           |
| A. Is the partner involved in economic activities within the project?  |              |           |                           |
| 1. Will the partner implement activities and/or offer goods/services for which a market exists?  | No           |           |                           |
| 2. Are there activities/goods/services that could have been undertaken by an operator with the view of making profit (even if this is not the partner's intention)?  | No           |           |                           |
| B. Does the partner and/or any third party receive a selective advantage within the project?   |              |           |                           |
| 1. Does the partner gain any benefits (or is relieved of any costs) from the economic activities mentioned under section A, which it would not have received in the normal course of business, i.e. in the absence of funding granted through the project? | No           |           |                           |
| 2. Does any economic operator (e.g. SMEs) that is outside the partnership (i.e. not listed as partner in the application form) receive an advantage through activities carried out by the partner within the project?                                      | No           |           |                           |
| C. State aid relevant activities (select from drop-down menu based on C.4 entries)   |              |           |                           |
| D. Direct State aid regime as in Subsidy Contract (to be filled in ONLY after project selection)   |              |           |                           |

**B.1 Project partner 8**

| <b>B.1.1 Partner Identity</b>                                  |   |
|--|---|
| Partner number   | 8   |
| Partner role   | PP  |
| Name of the organisation in original language                  | Česká informační agentura životního prostředí |
| Name of the organisation in English                            | Czech Environmental Information Agency        |
| Abbreviated name of organisation                               | CENIA   |
| Department / unit / division                                   | Unit of geoinformatics                        |
| <b>B.1.2 Partner main address</b>                              |   |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Česko (CZ)                                    |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Praha (CZ01)                                  |
| NUTS 3   | Hlavní město Praha (CZ010)                    |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Moskevská 1523/63<br>101 00 Praha             |
| Homepage   | www.cenia.cz                                  |
| <b>Address of department / unit / division (if applicable)</b> |   |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Česko (CZ)                                    |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Praha (CZ01)                                  |
| NUTS 3   | Hlavní město Praha (CZ010)                    |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Moskevská 1523/63<br>101 00 Praha             |
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>                   |   |
| Type of partner  | Sectoral agency                               |
| Subtype of partner   |   |
| Legal status   | Public  |
| Sector of activity at NACE group level                         | 0   |
| Co-financing rate (%)  | 80  |
| VAT number (if applicable)                                     | CZ45249130                                    |

|   |                       |
|---|-----------------------|
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>  |                       |
| Other identifier number (if VAT number is not available, some other organisation identifier should be used)   |                       |
| Other identifier description (specification of the type of identifier)  |                       |
| PIC (from EC Participant Register), if available  | 998954113             |
| <b>B.1.4 Legal Representative</b>   |                       |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)   | Mr. Miroslav Havránek |
| <b>B.1.5 Contact person</b>   |                       |
| Contact person  | Ms. Jitka Faugnerová  |
|   |                       |
| <b>B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution</b>   |                       |
| <p>Please describe the organisation's thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project. Please also describe what is the main business of the organisation and if the organisation is normally performing economic activities on the market.</p>   |                       |
| <p>CENIA is a public institution, the leading agency of the Czech Republic responsible for the management of the entire information and data flows in the environmental sector. CENIA is responsible for development, management and operation of an integrated environmental information system including primary data validation and information syntheses. CENIA has a valuable experience in coordination of stakeholders from various fields of expertise. This can be used in getting together the communities for participatory-creation processes in pilot areas. CENIA has good cooperation with regional and local authorities through application of INSPIRE principles and communication within the Local Agenda 21. Organisation employs experienced GIS experts and has vast experience in development and making available spatial data via map services. This position is proven by the fact that CENIA is also responsible for the administration of the Czech National INSPIRE Geo-portal. CENIA integrates the following processes that have been fragmented so far into:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- development of a managerial information system which would allow for the monitoring of economic, social and natural entities in the environment,</li> <li>- inter-disciplinary and inter-sectoral assessment and interpretations of information on the environment in the context of social and economic data and sustainable development.</li> </ul> <p>CENIA is also responsible for the formation and production of National Environmental Report (once a year) and for Regional Environmental Reports (since 2015 once a year). CENIA coordinates the cities in the umbrella initiative Local Agenda 21. All the topics described above are regularly presented at workshops or at national conference organised also by CENIA. Their scope of activities include international, national, regional and local level.</p> <p>CENIA is also a member of relevant networks like EONET and Plan4All.</p> <p>CENIA does not carry out economic activities on the market.</p> |                       |

## B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution

### What is the role and involvement (contribution and main activities) of your organisation in the project?

CENIA will have multiple roles in the project:

- experienced in communication, it will appoint the communication manager and lead communication activities; it will set the overall communication strategy and lead CZ pilot area communication, as well as support pilot area partners and pilot area communication coordinators WVT, TZMZ; as CM it will manage the project website and be responsible for preparation of common communication materials and tools;
- as expert in geo and environmental data, it will participate also as a knowledge partner in development of WAV, as well as in supporting the development of tools and services in WP2;
- it will also be leading the pilot activities in CZ and coordinate CZ communication and participatory process, as well as cooperate in all other project activities.

CENIA will also be responsible for capacity building events and pilot area workshops and awareness raising activities, as well as for the coordination of the preparation of the Action plan for CZ for the AT-CZ Action plan in WP3. With its strong relations with national, regional and local authorities, it will also be responsible for mainstreaming of project outputs and ensuring the uptake of the project results.

As CM, CENIA will also be part of the Project Management Board. With GIS, BOKU, ZALA, and EDF, CENIA represents the core project team that will set the working methodology and coordinate thematic work of the partnerships.

CENIA has participated in many projects oriented on GIS systems, GIS data production and publication, application of INSPIRE principles etc. The project CE-Spaces4All offers a unique possibility to apply the theory in practice when working with regional and local touristic organizations together with forums of PWD, contributing to quality services for the target groups. In developing solutions it will therefore focus on geo information services in support of enabling conditions for accessibility of tourism regions in the AT-CZ cross-border functional area.

### If you are the project lead partner, please describe here your organisation's capacity and experience in managing and coordinating EU co-financed projects or other international projects. If you are the project partner that will coordinate communication (i.e. taking over the role of project communication manager), please describe here what are your organisation's relevant communication competences and experiences.

CENIA has vast experience in designing and leading communication campaigns and stakeholder engagement processes.

As the responsible authority for the INSPIRE directive, it manages the INSPIRE portal and has for the last 12 years has been organising the INSPIRE conference with 100 participants. From 2012 the conference is being organised together with colleagues from Slovakia as a Czech-Slovak conference, often with English speaking participants. Last year of the conference was organised successfully as a "hybrid" event, by which CENIA gained valuable experience in modern conference forms.

CENIA has a team of experts for organisation of events – website administration, management of registrations, experienced moderators, and speakers, catering management; among others, they create content of national INSPIRE website and the National INSPIRE geoportal. They also regularly prepare manuals and tutorials for INSPIRE obligations, and printed publications.

CENIA is also an experienced Communication Manager in EU projects. It was the leader of the communication work package in the Interreg Transnational Programme project ATTRACTIVE DANUBE, where GIS was the LP. Their role was designing the overall communication strategy, management of the project website, facebook, twitter, YouTube and LinkedIn channels, preparation of newsletters, posters, leaflets, printed publications, organisation of kick-off conference. The project won third place for best project communication of the Danube Programme in 2017.

**B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution**

High quality of work and excellent working relationship between GIS and CENIA is a basis for selecting CENIA as communication manager. In its work as CM, it will be supported by EDF in tailoring communication for PWD, and by WVT and TZMZ for tourism stakeholders.

**B.1.7 Budget****Partner budget options** **Percentage**

|                       |     |
|-----------------------|-----|
| Other costs Flat Rate | 40% |
|-----------------------|-----|

**The partner budgets overview table can be separately exported as an Excel file**

**B.1.8 Cofinancing**

| Source                        | Amount     | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|
| ERDF                          | 179,928.00 | 80.00 %    |
| Partner contribution          | 44,982.00  | 20.00 %    |
| Partner total eligible budget | 224,910.00 | 100.00 %   |

**Origin of partner contribution**

| Source of contribution      | Legal status | Amount    | % of total partner budget |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| CENIA                       | Public       | 0.00      | 0.00 %                    |
| Ministry of the Environment | Public       | 44,982.00 | 20.00 %                   |

**Contribution**

|   |                  |                |
|---|------------------|----------------|
| Sub-total public contribution           | 44,982.00        | 20.00 %        |
| Sub-total automatic public contribution | 0.00             | 0.00 %         |
| <b>Total</b>                            | <b>0.00</b>      | <b>0.00 %</b>  |
| <b>Total eligible budget</b>            | <b>44,982.00</b> | <b>20.00 %</b> |

**State Aid****B.1.9 State Aid information (Partner self-check)**

A. Is the partner involved in economic activities within the project?

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 1. Will the partner implement activities and/or offer goods/services for which a market exists? | No |
|---|----|

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 2. Are there activities/goods/services that | No |
|---|----|

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <b>B.1.9 State Aid information (Partner self-check)</b>  |    |
| A. Is the partner involved in economic activities within the project?  |    |
| could have been undertaken by an operator with the view of making profit (even if this is not the partner's intention)?  |    |
| B. Does the partner and/or any third party receive a selective advantage within the project?   |    |
| 1. Does the partner gain any benefits (or is relieved of any costs) from the economic activities mentioned under section A, which it would not have received in the normal course of business, i.e. in the absence of funding granted through the project? | No |
| 2. Does any economic operator (e.g. SMEs) that is outside the partnership (i.e. not listed as partner in the application form) receive an advantage through activities carried out by the partner within the project?                                      | No |
| C. State aid relevant activities (select from drop-down menu based on C.4 entries)   |    |
| D. Direct State aid regime as in Subsidy Contract (to be filled in ONLY after project selection)   |    |

**B.1 Project partner 9**

| <b>B.1.1 Partner Identity</b>                                  |                                 |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Partner number   | 9                               |
| Partner role   | PP                              |
| Name of the organisation in original language                  | Česká asociace paraplegiků      |
| Name of the organisation in English                            | Czech paraplegic association    |
| Abbreviated name of organisation                               | CZEPA                           |
| Department / unit / division                                   | Unit of disabled people         |
| <b>B.1.2 Partner main address</b>                              |                                 |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Česko (CZ)                      |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Praha (CZ01)                    |
| NUTS 3   | Hlavní město Praha (CZ010)      |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Dygrýnova 816/8<br>198 00 Praha |
| Homepage   | www.czepa.cz                    |
| <b>Address of department / unit / division (if applicable)</b> |                                 |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Česko (CZ)                      |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Praha (CZ01)                    |
| NUTS 3   | Hlavní město Praha (CZ010)      |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Dygrýnova 816/8<br>198 00 Praha |
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>                   |                                 |
| Type of partner  | Interest groups including NGOs  |
| Subtype of partner   |                                 |
| Legal status   | Private                         |
| Sector of activity at NACE group level                         | S                               |
| Co-financing rate (%)  | 80                              |
| VAT number (if applicable)                                     | CZ00473146                      |



|   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>  |                     |
| Other identifier number (if VAT number is not available, some other organisation identifier should be used)   |                     |
| Other identifier description (specification of the type of identifier)  |                     |
| PIC (from EC Participant Register), if available  |                     |
| <b>B.1.4 Legal Representative</b>   |                     |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)   | Ms. Alena Jančíková |
| <b>B.1.5 Contact person</b>   |                     |
| Contact person  | Ms. Alena Jančíková |
| <b>B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution</b>   |                     |
| <p>Please describe the organisation's thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project. Please also describe what is the main business of the organisation and if the organisation is normally performing economic activities on the market.</p>   |                     |
| <p>CZEPA is a non-profit organisation that has been operating since 1990 and defends the interests of people on wheelchairs with spinal cord injuries. CZEPA strives to create conditions for their fulfilled life and for their integration into society. CZEPA has participated in the creation of a health care system for patients with spinal cord injuries in the Czech Republic. CZEPA is an expert in mapping all public objects from the point of view of wheelchair users according to the current legislation in the Czech Republic. CZEPA uploads barrier-free objects into their VozejkMap application and shares these objects further via social networks and the community portal for wheelchair users. They encourage Czech wheelchair users to visit these places, not to worry about barriers, to go out and integrate. Their activities are recognized on a national level, by providing services for all the citizens in the Czech Republic and on international level as a member of European Spinal Cord Injury Federation (ESCIF). Services include advocacy and participation in legislative processes to improve social and health care, professional social consulting, rehabilitation, community portal, VozejkMap, employment training and rental of cars and compensatory aids.</p> <p>CZEPA has experience in participation in EU projects (ESIF funds – ESF fund) – as the project leader as well as project partner. Recent projects relevant for CE-Spaces4All are: Peer mentoring - Activation program for wheelchair users with spinal cord injury and their carers; Star bazar - training employment for wheelchair users; CZEPA - Professional social care consultancy services and peer mentoring. Dissemination of the above listed projects is done by CZEPA website, two web applications by social media of the association.</p> <p>CZEPA is also performing economic activities on the market, running two social enterprises, renting premises and invoicing services.</p> |                     |

### B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution

#### What is the role and involvement (contribution and main activities) of your organisation in the project?

As the manager of the VojzekMap, CZEPA will be an important knowledge partner in developing and testing the WAV in WP1 and services in tools in WP2 in cooperation with GIS and other WP leaders. Besides that, the main activity of CZEPA in the project is planned in actions in the CZ -AU pilot area.

The role of CZEPA will be:

- in WP1: participate all in capacity building activities, leading CZ field mapping actions in the AT-CZ pilot area, participating in developing WAV, participation and co-implementation of regional workshops and capacity building events;
- in WP2: participate in trainings and workshops on capacity building for accessible tourism supporting tools and services; participation in partner exchange and pilot evaluation; participating in communication activities in AT-CZ pilot area, co-developing solutions, co-organising workshops for stakeholders;
- in WP3: cooperating on the development Action Plan in CZ for AT-CZ area, cooperating in developing the Strategy (O3.1), specifically in developing and mainstreaming the Accessibility Consultants and promoting the European Disability Card, participation at workshops, participation in all other project activities, mainstream outputs through capacity building events on regional, national and EU levels and through partnership networks, particularly cooperating with Znojmo and RETZ in uptake of solutions in the CZ tourism area.

The project will enable CZEPA to further develop its services in accessibility support for PWD in CZ and gain experience in cross-border and transnational cooperation. Moreover, project will extension of data in the VojzekMap app, data outside Czech Republic and new information for Czech citizens with disabilities. Project results can be used for the policy makers on national level and will give CZEPA possibility to influence policy making process and new legislation/ regulations, strengthening the role of PWD in policy planning processes on territorial development and accessible tourism.

If you are the project lead partner, please describe here your organisation's capacity and experience in managing and coordinating EU co-financed projects or other international projects. If you are the project partner that will coordinate communication (i.e. taking over the role of project communication manager), please describe here what are your organisation's relevant communication competences and experiences.

### B.1.7 Budget

| Partner budget options | Percentage |
|------------------------|------------|
| Other costs Flat Rate  | 40%        |

The partner budgets overview table can be separately exported as an Excel file

### B.1.8 Cofinancing

| Source                        | Amount     | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|
| ERDF                          | 101,606.40 | 80.00 %    |
| Partner contribution          | 25,401.60  | 20.00 %    |
| Partner total eligible budget | 127,008.00 | 100.00 %   |

| <b>Origin of partner contribution</b>  |              |           |                           |
|--|--------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Source of contribution   | Legal status | Amount    | % of total partner budget |
| CZEPA  | Private      | 25,401.60 | 20.00 %                   |
| <b>Contribution</b>  |              |           |                           |
| Sub-total public contribution  |              | 0.00      | 0.00 %                    |
| Sub-total automatic public contribution  |              | 0.00      | 0.00 %                    |
| Total  |              | 25,401.60 | 20.00 %                   |
| Total eligible budget  |              | 25,401.60 | 20.00 %                   |
| <b>State Aid</b>   |              |           |                           |
| <b>B.1.9 State Aid information (Partner self-check)</b>  |              |           |                           |
| A. Is the partner involved in economic activities within the project?  |              |           |                           |
| 1. Will the partner implement activities and/or offer goods/services for which a market exists?  | No           |           |                           |
| 2. Are there activities/goods/services that could have been undertaken by an operator with the view of making profit (even if this is not the partner's intention)?  | No           |           |                           |
| B. Does the partner and/or any third party receive a selective advantage within the project?   |              |           |                           |
| 1. Does the partner gain any benefits (or is relieved of any costs) from the economic activities mentioned under section A, which it would not have received in the normal course of business, i.e. in the absence of funding granted through the project? | No           |           |                           |
| 2. Does any economic operator (e.g. SMEs) that is outside the partnership (i.e. not listed as partner in the application form) receive an advantage through activities carried out by the partner within the project?                                      | No           |           |                           |
| C. State aid relevant activities (select from drop-down menu based on C.4 entries)   |              |           |                           |
| D. Direct State aid regime as in Subsidy Contract (to be filled in ONLY after project)   |              |           |                           |

|            |  |
|------------|--|
| selection) |  |
|------------|--|

**B.1 Project partner 10**

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>B.1.1 Partner Identity</b>                                  |  |
| Partner number   | 10                                     |
| Partner role   | PP                                     |
| Name of the organisation in original language                  | Zala Vármegye Önkormányzata            |
| Name of the organisation in English                            | Zala County Self-Government            |
| Abbreviated name of organisation                               | ZALA                                   |
| Department / unit / division                                   |  |
| <b>B.1.2 Partner main address</b>                              |  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Magyarország (HU)                      |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Nyugat-Dunántúl (HU22)                 |
| NUTS 3   | Zala (HU223)                           |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Kosztolányi u. 10<br>8900 Zalaegerszeg |
| Homepage   | www.zala.hu                            |
| <b>Address of department / unit / division (if applicable)</b> |  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   |  |
| Region (NUTS 2)  |  |
| NUTS 3   |  |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        |  |
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>                   |  |
| Type of partner  | Regional public authority              |
| Subtype of partner   |  |
| Legal status   | Public                                 |
| Sector of activity at NACE group level                         | 0.84.11                                |
| Co-financing rate (%)  | 80                                     |
| VAT number (if applicable)                                     | HU15734305                             |
| Other identifier number (if VAT number is not                  |  |

|   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>  |                      |
| available, some other organisation identifier should be used)   |                      |
| Other identifier description (specification of the type of identifier)  |                      |
| PIC (from EC Participant Register), if available  | 933733641            |
| <b>B.1.4 Legal Representative</b>   |                      |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)   | Dr. Attila Pál       |
| <b>B.1.5 Contact person</b>   |                      |
| Contact person  | Ms. Veronika Kárpáti |
| <b>B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution</b>   |                      |
| <p>Please describe the organisation's thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project. Please also describe what is the main business of the organisation and if the organisation is normally performing economic activities on the market.</p>   |                      |
| <p>Zala County Self-Government is a county level (regional) public authority. ZALA primarily performs regional development tasks, which includes coordination of tourism development processes and projects. The organization's primary field of work is regional and rural development, spatial planning and coordination. According to the territorial planning system in Hungary, counties have a substantial role in the planning and implementation of territorial development programmes, and in defining the key EU-funded development projects. Through the participation in the National Association of County Local Governments, ZALA is able to influence policy making of fellow county governments through this platform. The most important task of the association is to keep contact with governmental bodies, to give opinions on the regional development ideas of the government and to coordinate the developments of the counties. As the responsible authority, ZALA takes the lead in the coordination of local developments in the county. ZALA elaborated on the territorial development program of Zala county for the 2021-2027; one of aims sustainable, quality development of tourism, by expansion of tourist attractions, development of quality services and the development of tourism without harming the natural environment.</p> <p>ZALA is experienced partner and leader of EU-funded projects: cross-border (AT-HU, HU-HR-, SI-HR), transnational (Interreg Danube, Central Europe), Horizon2020 and COSME co-operations. Most relevant: IronCurtain Cycling 8LP), Guide2Visit, INSIGHTS.</p> <p>ZALA has ZALA is in close contact with the local municipalities, educational and higher educational institutions and other non-profit organizations of the county, having worked together with them for a long time. ZALA possesses the necessary financial and operational capacities, experience in project management and professional skills for implementing the project.</p> <p>ZALA does not carry out economic activities on the market.</p> |                      |

### B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution

#### What is the role and involvement (contribution and main activities) of your organisation in the project?

Due to their experiences in EU projects and competences as a regional public authority, ZALA will be one of the core management team of the project. Due to good knowledge and understanding of policy processes, it will lead WP3. As WP lead, it will be part of the Project Management Board, steering thematic management of the project. In this respect, it will cooperate in all project activities and support other WP leaders in managing their work packages.

Concretely, the role is:

- in WP1: participate all in capacity building activities, leading the HR actions in the SI-HR-HU pilot area, leading HR communication activities, participating in developing WAV, participation and implementation of regional workshops and capacity building events;
- in WP2: participate in trainings and workshops on capacity building for accessible tourism supporting tools and services; participation in partner exchange and pilot evaluation; leading the HU activities in SI-HR-HU pilot area, developing solutions, organising workshops for stakeholders;
- in WP3: leading the WP, leading the preparation of the Strategy (O3.1); preparing the methodology and assisting partners in Action Plan preparation and leading the development of Action Plan in HU for SI-HR-HU area, organisation of workshops, leading the preparation and coordination of A3.3 mainstreaming activities in cooperation with CENIA, EDF and other WP leaders, mainstream outputs through capacity building events on regional, national and EU levels and through partnership networks. In its national and regional activities, ZALA will cooperate with associated partners WPRED, MOESZ, AFÖK who will ensure uptake.

The main benefit of the project participation for ZALA is the improvement of the quality of tourism services and improving the governance for territorial planning of accessible tourism. ZALA will take up the outputs and integrate Strategy and SI-HR-HU Action Plan into regional policies, mainstreaming it to other HU counties.

If you are the project lead partner, please describe here your organisation's capacity and experience in managing and coordinating EU co-financed projects or other international projects. If you are the project partner that will coordinate communication (i.e. taking over the role of project communication manager), please describe here what are your organisation's relevant communication competences and experiences.

### B.1.7 Budget

| Partner budget options | Percentage |
|------------------------|------------|
| Other costs Flat Rate  | 40%        |

The partner budgets overview table can be separately exported as an Excel file

### B.1.8 Cofinancing

| Source                        | Amount     | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|
| ERDF                          | 187,488.00 | 80.00 %    |
| Partner contribution          | 46,872.00  | 20.00 %    |
| Partner total eligible budget | 234,360.00 | 100.00 %   |

| <b>Origin of partner contribution</b>  |              |           |                           |
|--|--------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Source of contribution   | Legal status | Amount    | % of total partner budget |
| ZALA   | Public       | 11,718.00 | 5.00 %                    |
| Government of Hungary  | Public       | 35,154.00 | 15.00 %                   |
| <b>Contribution</b>  |              |           |                           |
| <b>Sub-total public contribution</b>   |              | 46,872.00 | 20.00 %                   |
| <b>Sub-total automatic public contribution</b>   |              | 0.00      | 0.00 %                    |
| <b>Total</b>   |              | 0.00      | 0.00 %                    |
| <b>Total eligible budget</b>   |              | 46,872.00 | 20.00 %                   |
| <b>State Aid</b>   |              |           |                           |
| <b>B.1.9 State Aid information (Partner self-check)</b>  |              |           |                           |
| A. Is the partner involved in economic activities within the project?  |              |           |                           |
| 1. Will the partner implement activities and/or offer goods/services for which a market exists?  | No           |           |                           |
| 2. Are there activities/goods/services that could have been undertaken by an operator with the view of making profit (even if this is not the partner's intention)?  | No           |           |                           |
| B. Does the partner and/or any third party receive a selective advantage within the project?   |              |           |                           |
| 1. Does the partner gain any benefits (or is relieved of any costs) from the economic activities mentioned under section A, which it would not have received in the normal course of business, i.e. in the absence of funding granted through the project? | No           |           |                           |
| 2. Does any economic operator (e.g. SMEs) that is outside the partnership (i.e. not listed as partner in the application form) receive an advantage through activities carried out by the partner within the project?                                      | No           |           |                           |
| C. State aid relevant activities (select from drop-down menu based on C.4 entries)   |              |           |                           |



|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>D. Direct State aid regime as in Subsidy Contract (to be filled in ONLY after project selection)</b> |  |
|---|--|

**B.1 Project partner 11**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>B.1.1 Partner Identity</b>                                  |   |
| Partner number   | 11  |
| Partner role   | PP  |
| Name of the organisation in original language                  | Rzeszowska Agencja Rozwoju Regionalnego                       |
| Name of the organisation in English                            | Rzeszow Regional Development Agency                           |
| Abbreviated name of organisation                               | RRDA  |
| Department / unit / division                                   | National and International Programmes and Projects Department |
| <b>B.1.2 Partner main address</b>                              |   |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Polska (PL)   |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Podkarpackie (PL82)   |
| NUTS 3   | Rzeszowski (PL823)  |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Chopina Street 51<br>35-959 Rzeszow                           |
| Homepage   | www.rarr.rzeszow.pl   |
| <b>Address of department / unit / division (if applicable)</b> |   |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Polska (PL)   |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Podkarpackie (PL82)   |
| NUTS 3   | Rzeszowski (PL823)  |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Chopina Street 51<br>35-959 Rzeszow                           |
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>                   |   |
| Type of partner  | Regional public authority                                     |
| Subtype of partner   |   |
| Legal status   | Public  |
| Sector of activity at NACE group level                         | 0.84.1  |
| Co-financing rate (%)  | 80  |
| VAT number (if applicable)                                     | PL8130010538  |

|  |                     |
|--|---------------------|
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>   |                     |
| Other identifier number (if VAT number is not available, some other organisation identifier should be used)  |                     |
| Other identifier description (specification of the type of identifier)   |                     |
| PIC (from EC Participant Register), if available   | 999767361           |
| <b>B.1.4 Legal Representative</b>  |                     |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)  | Mr. Mariusz Bednarz |
| <b>B.1.5 Contact person</b>  |                     |
| Contact person   | Mr. Marek Duda      |
| <b>B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution</b>  |                     |
| <p>Please describe the organisation's thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project. Please also describe what is the main business of the organisation and if the organisation is normally performing economic activities on the market.</p>  |                     |
| <p>RRDA is a public institution established in 1993 by Self-government of Podkarpackie Region in Poland. RRDA is a one of the key regional institutions supporting social, economic and technology growth of the Podkarpackie Region, its objective is to support the development of the Podkarpackie Region as a modern, innovative and economically developed region. RRDA is the institution responsible for accessibility of the Podkarpackie region. Our structure includes an Accessibility Office, which audit the accessibility of public and private places and allocate grants to improve accessibility to various institutions. RRDA has auditors for accessibility of disabled persons certified by Ministry of Funds and Regional Policy in Warsaw. Moreover, RRDA runs Social Economy Support Centre which supports social economy entities and social enterprises, maintaining close relations with PWD organisations RRDA is a member of two clusters in the field of tourism: Touristic Cluster of micro-region "The valley of Strug river" and Carpathian Touristic Cluster. Moreover, RRDA is a member of Partnership for Accessibility run by the Ministry of Funds and Regional Policy in Warsaw. Partnership consists of over 230 signatories guided by the idea of accessibility and equal treatment. RRDA cooperates with regional businesses, social economy entities, NGOs and universities, including University of Rzeszów, All the mentioned networks will allow to disseminate project results. Through cooperation with associated partner UMWP, uptake of outputs and policy mainstreaming will be ensured.</p> <p>RRDA participated in over 350 projects EU co-financed, mainly as a partner but also as a lead partner, including CE projects HICAPS and SENTINEL; other relevant projects include PL project Accessible School and CZ-PL CBC programme project Green Film Tourism.</p> <p>RRDA is performing economic activities in the field of organization of events, workshops, trainings, conducting business advisory and conference room rental.</p> |                     |

### B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution

#### What is the role and involvement (contribution and main activities) of your organisation in the project?

The most important role of RRDA will be in leading the activities in the Podkarpackie pilot rural tourism area. It will also participate in all joint project activities. Concretely, the role will be:

- in WP1: participate all in capacity building activities, leading the actions in the PL pilot area, leading PL communication activities, participating in developing WAV, participation and implementation of regional workshops and capacity building events;
- in WP2: participate in trainings and workshops on capacity building for accessible tourism supporting tools and services; participation in partner exchange and pilot evaluation; leading the activities in PL pilot area, coordinating communication and awareness raising in PL pilot area, developing solutions, organising workshops for stakeholders;
- in WP3: participating in Strategy development; they will especially contribute with their experience of setting up and running the Accessibility Department and will give valuable input into the Accessibility Consultants concept development; leading development of Action Plan in HU for PL area, coordinating communication activities in PL pilot area, organisation of workshops, mainstream outputs through capacity building events on regional, national and EU levels and through partnership networks.

The main benefit for the RRDA from the implementation of the project is to develop an innovative solution in the field of territorial planning for accessibility of touristic sites in the Podkarpackie Region. It will help strengthen the role and importance of the Accessibility Department, which will be promoted to wider stakeholders in CE and beyond.

In its national and regional activities, RRDA will cooperate with associated partners UMWP and ADD who will ensure uptake. Most concretely, the ned tourism strategy which will be developed during project lifetime will be able to be directly impacted by the project.

If you are the project lead partner, please describe here your organisation's capacity and experience in managing and coordinating EU co-financed projects or other international projects. If you are the project partner that will coordinate communication (i.e. taking over the role of project communication manager), please describe here what are your organisation's relevant communication competences and experiences.

### B.1.7 Budget

| Partner budget options | Percentage |
|------------------------|------------|
|------------------------|------------|

|                       |     |
|-----------------------|-----|
| Other costs Flat Rate | 40% |
|-----------------------|-----|

The partner budgets overview table can be separately exported as an Excel file

### B.1.8 Cofinancing

| Source                        | Amount     | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|
| ERDF                          | 169,344.00 | 80.00 %    |
| Partner contribution          | 42,336.00  | 20.00 %    |
| Partner total eligible budget | 211,680.00 | 100.00 %   |

| <b>Origin of partner contribution</b>  |                     |               |                                  |
|--|---------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>Source of contribution</b>  | <b>Legal status</b> | <b>Amount</b> | <b>% of total partner budget</b> |
| RRDA   | Public              | 10,584.00     | 5.00 %                           |
| Ministry of Finance through Ministry of Development Funds and Regional Policy  | Public              | 31,752.00     | 15.00 %                          |
| <b>Contribution</b>  |                     |               |                                  |
| <b>Sub-total public contribution</b>   |                     | 42,336.00     | 20.00 %                          |
| <b>Sub-total automatic public contribution</b>   |                     | 0.00          | 0.00 %                           |
| <b>Total</b>   |                     | 0.00          | 0.00 %                           |
| <b>Total eligible budget</b>   |                     | 42,336.00     | 20.00 %                          |
| <b>State Aid</b>   |                     |               |                                  |
| <b>B.1.9 State Aid information (Partner self-check)</b>  |                     |               |                                  |
| A. Is the partner involved in economic activities within the project?  |                     |               |                                  |
| 1. Will the partner implement activities and/or offer goods/services for which a market exists?  | No                  |               |                                  |
| 2. Are there activities/goods/services that could have been undertaken by an operator with the view of making profit (even if this is not the partner's intention)?  | No                  |               |                                  |
| B. Does the partner and/or any third party receive a selective advantage within the project?   |                     |               |                                  |
| 1. Does the partner gain any benefits (or is relieved of any costs) from the economic activities mentioned under section A, which it would not have received in the normal course of business, i.e. in the absence of funding granted through the project? | No                  |               |                                  |
| 2. Does any economic operator (e.g. SMEs) that is outside the partnership (i.e. not listed as partner in the application form) receive an advantage through activities carried out by the partner within the project?                                      | No                  |               |                                  |
| C. State aid relevant activities (select from  |                     |               |                                  |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>drop-down menu based on C.4 entries)</b>   |  |
| <b>D. Direct State aid regime as in Subsidy Contract (to be filled in ONLY after project selection)</b> |  |

**B.1 Project partner 12**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>B.1.1 Partner Identity</b>                                  |   |
| Partner number   | 12  |
| Partner role   | PP  |
| Name of the organisation in original language                  | European Disability Forum   |
| Name of the organisation in English                            | European Disability Forum   |
| Abbreviated name of organisation                               | EDF   |
| Department / unit / division                                   |   |
| <b>B.1.2 Partner main address</b>                              |   |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Belgique/België (BE)  |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Région de Bruxelles-Capitale/ Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest (BE10) |
| NUTS 3   | Arr. de Bruxelles-Capitale/Arr. Brussel-Hoofdstad (BE100)           |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Avenue des Arts 7-8<br>1210 Brussels                                |
| Homepage   | <a href="http://www.edf-feph.org">www.edf-feph.org</a>              |
| <b>Address of department / unit / division (if applicable)</b> |   |
| Country (NUTS 0)   |   |
| Region (NUTS 2)  |   |
| NUTS 3   |   |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        |   |
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>                   |   |
| Type of partner  | Interest groups including NGOs                                      |
| Subtype of partner   |   |
| Legal status   | Private   |
| Sector of activity at NACE group level                         | S   |
| Co-financing rate (%)  | 80  |
| VAT number (if applicable)                                     | BE0459641626  |

|  |                         |
|--|-------------------------|
| <b>B.1.3 Legal and financial information</b>   |                         |
| Other identifier number (if VAT number is not available, some other organisation identifier should be used)  |                         |
| Other identifier description (specification of the type of identifier)   |                         |
| PIC (from EC Participant Register), if available   | 999486837               |
| <b>B.1.4 Legal Representative</b>  |                         |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)  | Ms. Catherine Naughton  |
| <b>B.1.5 Contact person</b>  |                         |
| Contact person   | Ms. Magdalena Verseckas |
|  |                         |
| <b>B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution</b>  |                         |
| <p>Please describe the organisation's thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project. Please also describe what is the main business of the organisation and if the organisation is normally performing economic activities on the market.</p>  |                         |
| <p>EDF is an umbrella organisation of persons with disabilities that defends the interests of over 100 million PWD in Europe. EDF is an NGO, run by PWD and their families and are a strong united voice of PWD in EU with 54 full members: 29 national councils of PWD, representing all EU Member States, Norway and Iceland, 25 European non-profit organisations representing PWD, and 10 Ordinary and 34 Associate Members and others. It acts across Europe, and partially in Africa and Asia. Main activities are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Advocacy: EDF coordinates and leads EU advocacy on the rights of PWD for improved legislation at the EU level, and the transposition of EU legislation to the national level. For specific thematic policies, EDF ensures having input from all disability groups and regions of EU. EDF also responds to and actively disseminates important EU consultations. They are also members of the EU Disability Forum established by EC in 2021 to support implementation of EU Disability Strategy;</li> <li>2. Capacity building: This is a key activity of EDF, implemented through (online) trainings, mentoring, workshops and seminars, and EU-level conferences on all key priority areas bringing together our members, EU and national policy makers, industry and partners.</li> </ol> <p>Accessibility, including accessible tourism is one of key areas of work. EDF is also partner of the New European Bauhaus initiative, advocating for accessibility of sustainable living spaces.</p> <p>EDF has been representing persons with disabilities in European project for last 15 years. They are involved mainly in accessibility related projects for example: WAI-COOP supports implementation of the EU web accessibility directive, EUUni4All, ACCESSIBILITECH, Inclusive Mobility Alliance 2.0 (IMA), projects on youth or digital skills etc.</p> |                         |



### B.1.6 Partner motivation, expertise and contribution

EDF also has vast communication; they news through their well-visited website, social media ,external and internal newsletter, press, media and events.

EDF do not carry economic activities on the market.

#### What is the role and involvement (contribution and main activities) of your organisation in the project?

EDF is a key strategic project partner and of key importance for EU-wide mainstreaming and uptake of outputs. In their role as a partner of the European Commission in the policy and legislation planning, they will be able to use the project results to showcase effective solutions for improving governance for territorial planning for accessible tourism and use them for impacting future policies and projects both at EU level and by transferring them to other EU countries through their national members.

As a strategic partner for advocacy, policy and awareness-raising on accessibility for PWD, EDF will have a horizontal role in the project. It will have 3 main roles:

- taking on the role of a Quality Assurance Manager, ensuring that the project itself, its communication and the developed solutions are in line with accessibility standards for PWD. They will be a member of the Project Management Board, supervising and evaluation project approaches and progress, and reviewing the final outputs; in their role of quality assurance they will also cooperate with NSIOS and CZEPA;
- cooperating with CENIA in planning and implementing communication activities, focusing on preparing communication materials and other activities in line with standards and approaches supporting accessibility for PWD;
- offering support and consulting the partners in their pilot area activities to ensure proper approach to accessibility;
- co-leading the policy and mainstreaming activities in WP3: co-lead the Strategy development, and especially focusing on the concept of Accessibility Consultants; supporting promotion of European Disability Card; representing the project in EU level events policy and decision makers.

EDF strongly supports the project as it sees the potential of the project becoming a best practice example of multi-level governance cooperation for improving territorial planning for accessible tourism, an EU-level flagship project promoting accessibility for PWD.

If you are the project lead partner, please describe here your organisation's capacity and experience in managing and coordinating EU co-financed projects or other international projects. If you are the project partner that will coordinate communication (i.e. taking over the role of project communication manager), please describe here what are your organisation's relevant communication competences and experiences.

### B.1.7 Budget

| Partner budget options | Percentage |
|------------------------|------------|
| Other costs Flat Rate  | 40%        |

The partner budgets overview table can be separately exported as an Excel file

### B.1.8 Cofinancing

| Source | Amount     | Percentage |
|--------|------------|------------|
| ERDF   | 145,152.00 | 80.00 %    |

| <b>B.1.8 Cofinancing</b>   |                     |                  |                                  |
|--|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>Source</b>  |                     | <b>Amount</b>    | <b>Percentage</b>                |
| Partner contribution   |                     | 36,288.00        | 20.00 %                          |
| Partner total eligible budget  |                     | 181,440.00       | 100.00 %                         |
| <b>Origin of partner contribution</b>  |                     |                  |                                  |
| <b>Source of contribution</b>  | <b>Legal status</b> | <b>Amount</b>    | <b>% of total partner budget</b> |
| EDF  | Private             | 36,288.00        | 20.00 %                          |
| <b>Contribution</b>  |                     |                  |                                  |
| Sub-total public contribution  |                     | 0.00             | 0.00 %                           |
| Sub-total automatic public contribution  |                     | 0.00             | 0.00 %                           |
| <b>Total</b>   |                     | <b>36,288.00</b> | <b>20.00 %</b>                   |
| <b>Total eligible budget</b>   |                     | <b>36,288.00</b> | <b>20.00 %</b>                   |
| <b>State Aid</b>   |                     |                  |                                  |
| <b>B.1.9 State Aid information (Partner self-check)</b>  |                     |                  |                                  |
| A. Is the partner involved in economic activities within the project?  |                     |                  |                                  |
| 1. Will the partner implement activities and/or offer goods/services for which a market exists?  | No                  |                  |                                  |
| 2. Are there activities/goods/services that could have been undertaken by an operator with the view of making profit (even if this is not the partner's intention)?  | No                  |                  |                                  |
| B. Does the partner and/or any third party receive a selective advantage within the project?   |                     |                  |                                  |
| 1. Does the partner gain any benefits (or is relieved of any costs) from the economic activities mentioned under section A, which it would not have received in the normal course of business, i.e. in the absence of funding granted through the project? | No                  |                  |                                  |
| 2. Does any economic operator (e.g. SMEs) that is outside the partnership (i.e. not listed as partner in the application form) receive an advantage through activities carried out by the partner within the project?                                      | No                  |                  |                                  |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>C. State aid relevant activities (select from drop-down menu based on C.4 entries)</b>               |  |
| <b>D. Direct State aid regime as in Subsidy Contract (to be filled in ONLY after project selection)</b> |  |

## B.2 Associated partners

| Associated partner number | Status | Name of the organisation in original language                                      | Associated to project partner |
|---------------------------|--------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1                         | Active | Ministrstvo za infrastrukturo  | GIS                           |
| 2                         | Active | Ministrstvo za delo, družino, socialne zadeve in enake možnosti                    | NSIOS                         |
| 3                         | Active | Slovenska turistična organizacija  | RCMS                          |
| 4                         | Active | Hilfsgemeinschaft der Blinden und Sehschwachen Österreichs                         | BOKU                          |
| 5                         | Active | Österreichischer Behindertenrat  | WVT                           |
| 6                         | Active | Stadtgemeinde Retz   | WVT                           |
| 7                         | Active | Društvo osoba s tjelesnim invaliditetom Međimurske županije                        | TZMZ                          |
| 8                         | Active | Međimurska županija  | TZMZ                          |
| 9                         | Active | Hrvatska turistička zajednica  | TZMZ                          |
| 10                        | Active | Pálava a Lednicko-valtický areál, z.s.   | CENIA                         |
| 11                        | Active | Město Znojmo   | CENIA                         |
| 12                        | Active | Nyugat-Pannon Terület- és Gazdaságfejlesztési Szolgáltató Közhasznú Nonprofit Kft. | ZALA                          |
| 13                        | Active | Mozgáskorlátozottak Egyesületeinek Országos Szövetsége                             | ZALA                          |
| 14                        | Active | Aktív- és Ökoturisztikai Fejlesztési Központ Nonprofit Kft.                        | ZALA                          |
| 15                        | Active | Stowarzyszenie "Dobry Dom"   | RRDA                          |
| 16                        | Active | Urząd Marszałkowski Województwa Podkarpackiego                                     | RRDA                          |

### Ministrstvo za infrastrukturo AO1

|   |                                  |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Partner number                                | LP1                              |
| Name of the organisation in original language | Ministrstvo za infrastrukturo    |
| Name of the organisation in English           | Ministry of infrastructure (MZI) |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>Ministrstvo za infrastrukturo AO1</b>                           |   |
| <b>Country (NUTS 0)</b>  | Slovenija (SI)  |
| <b>Region (NUTS 2)</b>   | Zahodna Slovenija (SI04)  |
| <b>NUTS 3</b>  | Osrednjeslovenska (SI041)   |
| <b>Street, House number, Postal code, City</b>                     | Langusova 4<br>1000 Ljubljana   |
| <b>Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)</b> | Mr. Jernej Vrtovec  |
|  |   |
| <b>Partner role</b>  | <p>The Ministry of Infrastructure (MZI) is the Slovenian national authority, regulator of transport and energy system. It charges of legislation, maintenance, improvement in the field of road, air, rail cableway, and maritime transport as well as inland waterway transport. The Ministry is responsible for transport policies, infrastructure, sustainable mobility and transport and efficient energy. At the national public authority, the Ministry continuously strives for improving transport and mobility for all citizens. In Slovenia, is 8,5 % of population are people living with disabilities. Therefore, ensuring accessibility is one of key missions of the Ministry. The Ministry has been conducting activities of the project named Mobility of vulnerable groups for 5 years and for 1 year the project named: Spatial data support for public passenger transport management for persons with disabilities. The finance, legislative, and promotional support is provided by ministry. The CE-Spaces4All project is of great interest to the Ministry. We we want to gain transnational knowledge on up-to-date practices on ensuring territorial planning for ensuring development of accessibility for tourism development and in general. We will also benefit greatly from any developed new solutions that can be integrated into the future legislative work. Cooperation with our traditional partners GIS and NSIOS will also be strengthened. We will participate as stakeholders in the multi-level cross-sectoral stakeholder participatory co-design process in the SI-HR-HU pilot area, by providing our inputs and gaining capacities</p> |

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Ministrstvo za infrastrukturo A01 |   |
|                                   | <p>for better governance through exchange with transborder stakeholders. We also aim to strengthen the cooperation with local and regional authorities in SI and abroad to better coordinate transborder mobility. We will also aim to integrate the solutions into future policy development for more accessible transport in support of regional tourism development in the Pomurje region.</p> |

| Ministrstvo za delo, družino, socialne zadeve in enake možnosti AO2 |   |
|---|---|
| Partner number  | PP2   |
| Name of the organisation in original language                       | Ministrstvo za delo, družino, socialne zadeve in enake možnosti   |
| Name of the organisation in English                                 | Ministry of Labour, Family, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities (MDDSZ)  |
| Country (NUTS 0)  | Slovenija (SI)  |
| Region (NUTS 2)   | Zahodna Slovenija (SI04)  |
| NUTS 3  | Osrednjeslovenska (SI041)   |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                             | Štukljeva ulica 44<br>1000 Ljubljana  |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)         | Mr. Janez Cigler Kralj  |
|   |   |
| Partner role  | MDDSZ is a national public authority - governmental institution and government legislator in the field of labour, family, social affairs and equal opportunities. Among others, it is responsible for the status, rights and obligations of PWD, drafting laws and regulations that have a major impact on their status, monitoring the implementation of national programmes of disability protection, social assistance, employment, etc. It produces analyses, reports, instructions, expert opinions and other materials associated with disability. Among other things, the Directorate carries out the expert and administrative tasks for the Council for Persons with Disabilities of the Republic of Slovenia, a tri-partite consulting body for new legislation affecting PWD, connecting government, non-governmental organisations and expert institutions. Members of NSIOS are represented in the Council. MDDSZ is also involved in EU-level legislator processes, participating in European Commission's working groups on EU policy development and coordination. Project member is also the ministry's representative in the EU Disability Platform, a policy development |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Ministrstvo za delo, družino, socialne zadeve in enake možnosti AO2 |   |
|   | <p>consultation body established by DG Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion; 14 civil society organisations and representatives of all EU countries participate in coordinating the implementation of the 2021 EU Disability Strategy. MMDSZ as responsible for employment and social welfare of PWD is highly interested in the project and has recognized it as a potential flagship project to demonstrate practical solutions for improving accessibility for persons with disabilities. It will participate in the participatory processes in the SI-HR-HU pilot area and in the transnational knowledge exchange to gain capacities on cross-sectoral solutions for accessibility improvement. It will also particularly participate in Accessibility Consultant development concept, looking into ways of developing and integrating the profile into public services.</p> |



|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>Slovenska turistična organizacija A03</b>                       |   |
| <b>Partner number</b>  | PP3   |
| <b>Name of the organisation in original language</b>               | Slovenska turistična organizacija   |
| <b>Name of the organisation in English</b>                         | Slovenian Tourist Board (STO)   |
| <b>Country (NUTS 0)</b>  | Slovenija (SI)  |
| <b>Region (NUTS 2)</b>   | Zahodna Slovenija (SI04)  |
| <b>NUTS 3</b>  | Osrednjeslovenska (SI041)   |
| <b>Street, House number, Postal code, City</b>                     | Dimičeva ulica 13<br>1000 Ljubljana   |
| <b>Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)</b> | Mrs Ilona Stermecki   |
|  |   |
| <b>Partner role</b>  | <p>Slovenian Tourist Board (STB) is the central national agency for the promotion of tourism. Our main tasks are systematic planning, development and promotion activities and a modern approach to the placement of Slovenia on the global tourist map to promote the tourist offer of Slovenia in foreign markets. We are committed to the sustainable development of Slovenian tourism and are working on it systematically, mainly through the Green Scheme of Slovenian Tourism. We are addressing the three pillars of sustainability (economic, environmental and social) through 100 criteria for destinations, and questions concerning accessible tourism are among them. However, we are always trying to do more in this area and projects like this one give us the possibility to do so. Participation in this project would help us to position ourselves further as a sustainable destination, welcoming every type of tourist. We will participate as stakeholders in the transnational capacity building activities and in the participatory co-design process in SI-HR-HU pilot area. One of the most important benefits would be the improvement of the quality of life of local residents, which is also in the centre of sustainable mindset – improve life for the locals to</p> |

|                                       |   |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Slovenska turistična organizacija A03 |   |
|                                       | <p>improve experience for the tourist. The outputs would also be extremely helpful in our communication activities, they would help us to give better advice and directions to people with disabilities on our website and in other media, enabling them to prepare their trip better and have a better experience in Slovenia. STB is connected with destination marketing and management organisation across the country, as well as economic interest groups from the field of tourism and the Ministry of Economic Development and technology. Therefore, we would be able to disseminate the results across the country on local, as well as national level.</p> |

| Hilfsgemeinschaft der Blinden und Sehschwachen Österreichs AO4 |   |
|--|---|
| Partner number   | PP4   |
| Name of the organisation in original language                  | Hilfsgemeinschaft der Blinden und Sehschwachen Österreichs  |
| Name of the organisation in English                            | Austrian Association supporting the blind and visually impaired (HGBS)  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Österreich (AT)   |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Wien (AT13)   |
| NUTS 3   | Wien (AT130)  |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                        | Jaegerstrasse 36<br>1200 Vienna   |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)    | Mr. Klaus Hoeckner  |
|  |   |
| Partner role   | <p>The Hilfsgemeinschaft – the Austrian Association in support of the blind and visually impaired is an independent non-profit-organisation. With more than 6500 members, it is Austria’s largest self-help organisation to improve the conditions and standards of living of its members and clients. Our services for blind and visually impaired members include counselling in social and legal affairs, particularly social legislation (attendance and nursing allowance, certificate of disability, etc.), low vision counselling and low vision aids, leisure and recreation opportunities and holiday, residence and care in our retirement home. The HGBS is a member of numerous bodies and committees in which it represents the interests of its blind and visually impaired members including Österreichischer Behindertenrat (formerly ÖAR), ASI – Austrian Standards Institute (e.g. working group for accessible built environment – design principles, working group for technical aids for blind, visually and mobility impaired persons, etc.), platform “football4all”, EDF – European Disability Forum, EASPD - European Association of Service Providers</p> |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Hilfsgemeinschaft der Blinden und Sehschwachen Österreichs AO4 |  |
|  | <p>for PwD etc. We will be involved in every step of the project, mostly developing and testing the project innovative approach in the AT-CZ pilot area, as well as advocating for the solutions to be taken up. By our vast network we are able so spread knowledge and make effective dissemination on a national, European and international level.</p> |

| Österreichischer Behindertenrat A05                         |   |
|---|---|
| Partner number  | PP5   |
| Name of the organisation in original language               | Österreichischer Behindertenrat   |
| Name of the organisation in English                         | Austrian Disability Council (ÖBR)   |
| Country (NUTS 0)  | Österreich (AT)   |
| Region (NUTS 2)   | Wien (AT13)   |
| NUTS 3  | Wien (AT130)  |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                     | Favoritenstraße 111/11<br>1100 Vienna   |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in) | Mag. Michael Svoboda  |
|   |   |
| Partner role  | <p>The Austrian Disability Council (Der Österreichische Behindertenrat ÖBR) as an umbrella organization representing 87 member associations and 1.4 million persons with disabilities in Austria. The Austrian Disability Council operates locally, nationally and internationally. Through our member organisations we could offer a broad expertise in the field of accessible mobility. As an advocacy organisation, we are highly interested in accessible and free movement of persons with disabilities. In line with the principle "nothing about the disabled without the disabled" we will participate in assessing the status and needs for accessibility of touristic sites in the pilot area and in collecting the data for accessibility in the field. The Austrian Disability Council can spread the projects results through its contacts with political decision-makers and through its member organizations and thus contribute to their implementation. Moreover, the Austrian Disability Council will enhance mainstreaming of project solutions into policies and strategies at national level in Austria, utilise them in further work and with this guarantee the durability and ensuring the leverage effect of the project. Furthermore, it will provide support in disseminating</p> |

|                                     |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Österreichischer Behindertenrat A05 |  |
|                                     | and promoting project results and materials across relevant national and international stakeholders network and support the visibility of the project. |

| Stadtgemeinde Retz A06                                      |  |
|---|--|
| Partner number  | PP5  |
| Name of the organisation in original language               | Stadtgemeinde Retz   |
| Name of the organisation in English                         | Municipal of Retz (RETZ)   |
| Country (NUTS 0)  | Österreich (AT)  |
| Region (NUTS 2)   | Niederösterreich (AT12)  |
| NUTS 3  | Weinviertel (AT125)  |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                     | Hauptplatz 30<br>2070 Retz   |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in) | Mr. Stefan Lang  |
|   |  |
| Partner role  | <p>The Retz municipality is a local public authority in the Weinviertel tourism region in the Lower Austria Region, in the AT-CZ project pilot area. The municipality manages 6 localities. We are subject to Austrian law. Retz is a public service provider, subject to Austrian public law. We are regionally and locally active. We are in charge of local policy drafting and implementation and have set ourselves the goal of being as barrier-free as possible for residents and guests. The Municipality will participate in the project as a stakeholder in the participatory co-creation process in the AT-CZ pilot area. We will monitor overall project implementation and participate in project workshops and other project events according to its abilities, as well as participate in the capacity building activities to improve our skills and competences of territorial planning for accessibility. Retz will enhance mainstreaming of project solutions into policies and strategies at local and regional level in Austria, utilise them in further work and with this guarantee the durability and ensuring the leverage effect of the project. Furthermore, it will provide support in disseminating and promoting project results and materials across relevant local, regional, national</p> |

|                        |  |
|------------------------|--|
| Stadtgemeinde Retz A06 |  |
|                        | <p>and international stakeholders network and support the visibility of the project. Project proposal is relevant for the country, region and target groups because it links together stakeholders in order to increase capacities and knowledge in the field of accessible tourism, while also providing benefits improving the quality of life of our residents.</p> |



| Društvo osoba s tjelesnim invaliditetom Međimurske županije A07 |   |
|---|---|
| Partner number  | PP6   |
| Name of the organisation in original language                   | Društvo osoba s tjelesnim invaliditetom Međimurske županije   |
| Name of the organisation in English                             | Medjimurje county association of people with physical disabilities (DOSTI MZ)   |
| Country (NUTS 0)  | Hrvatska (HR)   |
| Region (NUTS 2)   | Sjeverna Hrvatska (HR06)  |
| NUTS 3  | Međimurska županija (HR061)   |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                         | Dr. Ante Starčevića 1<br>40 000 Čakovec   |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)     | Ms. Miljenka Radović  |
|   |   |
| Partner role  | <p>Medjimurje county association of people with physical disabilities has been active since 1986 with the aim of developing human relations, reciprocity and solidarity in resolving issues of social care, protection and rehabilitation of persons with disabilities and creating conditions for their direct participation in social work and life in the area of Međimurje County, but also beyond. We offer various social services to our members through various projects and programmes. Benefit from participating in project will result in greater satisfaction of our members through participating in project activities. The organisation will benefit with this type of new project which will give us new experience and opportunity for growth. This will allow us continuity in service delivery and organisation development through new experience and collaboration. Role of our members, involved in every step of the project, will be mpping the barriers in the Meximurje county, participating in developing and testing the project solutions, as well as advocating for the solutions to be taken up. Project activities will improve quality of life for people with</p> |

| Društvo osoba s tjelesnim invaliditetom Međimurske županije A07 |  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>disabilities, prevent premature institutionalization of users and increase degree of social inclusion. It will be benefit for people with disabilities and their families through expanding possibilities for their social lives and providing them new experience through wider range of activities. We are members and active in many organizations that promote the rights of people with disabilities on national level (SOIH – Croatian Union of associations of persons with disabilities, HSUTI – Croatian alliance of physically disabled persons associations, HSUCDP - The Croatian cerebral palsy association). Also, we are contributing and participating in policy making with advisory role to policy makers. Based on that our role in project will be also in mainstreaming and policy uptake.</p> |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>Međimurska županija A08</b>                                     |   |
| <b>Partner number</b>  | PP6   |
| <b>Name of the organisation in original language</b>               | Međimurska županija   |
| <b>Name of the organisation in English</b>                         | Medjimurje County (MZ)  |
| <b>Country (NUTS 0)</b>  | Hrvatska (HR)   |
| <b>Region (NUTS 2)</b>   | Sjeverna Hrvatska (HR06)  |
| <b>NUTS 3</b>  | Međimurska županija (HR061)   |
| <b>Street, House number, Postal code, City</b>                     | Ruđera Boškovića 2<br>40000 Čakovec   |
| <b>Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)</b> | Mr. Matija Posavec  |
|  |   |
| <b>Partner role</b>  | <p>The Međimurje County is a regional public authority. In its self-governing scope it performs tasks of regional importance, especially tasks related to education, health, spatial and urban planning, economic development, tourism development, traffic and traffic infrastructure, maintenance of public roads, planning and development of the network of educational, health, social and cultural institutions, issuing decisions on construction conditions, building decisions, other acts related to construction and adoption and implementation of spatial planning documents, other activities in accordance with special laws. We cooperate with REDEA and TZMZ in developing regional tourism. Medjimurje County is actively involved in projects important for the quality of life of all citizens and the development of the environment as a desirable place to live. In this project we will contribute to specific objectives by co-developing the services and tools for improving the accessibility of tourism for PWD for independent tourism travel and ensuring their uptake. We will participate in the capacity building and awareness-raising activities to improve our capacities and skills on territorial development for accessible tourism and for developing services</p> |

|                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| Međimurska županija A08 |  |
|                         | <p>and tools for PWD. The benefit is also collaboration with multiple partners from different countries, and strengthening the cooperation with our counterparts across the border in SI and HU. We will also benefit from the experience in the project as associated partner. The benefit to our region will be finalised action plans for improving accessibility of tourism for PWD, which will at the same time improve accessibility for our own residents as well, boosting economic development, contributing to our vision of developing into an inclusive and welcoming destination for visiting and for living.</p> |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Hrvatska turistička zajednica A09</b>                           |  |
| <b>Partner number</b>  | PP6  |
| <b>Name of the organisation in original language</b>               | Hrvatska turistička zajednica  |
| <b>Name of the organisation in English</b>                         | Croatian National Tourist Board (CNTB)   |
| <b>Country (NUTS 0)</b>  | Hrvatska (HR)  |
| <b>Region (NUTS 2)</b>   | Grad Zagreb (HR05)   |
| <b>NUTS 3</b>  | Grad Zagreb (HR050)  |
| <b>Street, House number, Postal code, City</b>                     | Iblerov trg 10/IV<br>10000 Zagreb  |
| <b>Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)</b> | Mr. Kristjan Staničić  |
|  |  |
| <b>Partner role</b>  | <p>The Croatian National Tourist Board (CNTB) is a national tourist organization founded with the aim of creating and promoting the identity and reputation of Croatian tourism domestically and internationally. The CNTB implements its activities on the national and international level. CNTB activities include both planning and implementing the promotional strategy, as well as proposing and implementing promotional activities that are of common interest to all entities in tourism and raising the level of quality of the entire Croatian tourist offer. The most significant tasks include the organization, implementation and supervision of all activities related to the development, branding and promotion of the tourist product of the Republic of Croatia, unification of the overall Croatian tourist offer, implementation of operational market research for the promotion of Croatian tourism, evaluation of the implemented promotional activities and others. It collaborates with the whole Croatian tourist board network, including all regional and local tourist boards, as well with the other NTO's and relevant international institutions such as European Travel Commission. As an associated partner in this project, CNTB will assist to the project partners</p> |

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Hrvatska turistička zajednica AO9 |  |
|                                   | <p>through know-how exchange, provide inputs and data related to the project objectives and disseminate project results and outputs within the scope of its work and competences and in line with respective legal framework. Also, CNTB representatives will participate in project meetings according to the availability, and participate in the HR pilot area capacity building and participatory co-creation process.</p> |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Pálava a Lednicko-valtický areál, z.s. AO10</b>                 |  |
| <b>Partner number</b>  | PP8  |
| <b>Name of the organisation in original language</b>               | Pálava a Lednicko-valtický areál, z.s.   |
| <b>Name of the organisation in English</b>                         | Pálava and Lednice-Valtice Area (PALAVA)   |
| <b>Country (NUTS 0)</b>  | Česko (CZ)   |
| <b>Region (NUTS 2)</b>   | Jihovýchod (CZ06)  |
| <b>NUTS 3</b>  | Jihomoravský kraj (CZ064)  |
| <b>Street, House number, Postal code, City</b>                     | Brněnská 42<br>69201 Mikulov   |
| <b>Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)</b> | Mr. Radek Galousek   |
|  |  |
| <b>Partner role</b>  | <p>Pálava and the Lednice-Valtice area is the destination management organisation active in the southeast area of the South Moravia Region Republic in the AT-CZ project pilot area in Czech Republic. There are other four DMOs in the South Moravia Region. The association was established in 2016. The purpose of the association is to support the all-round and sustainable development of the Pálava region and the Lednice-Valtice area within its wider boundaries. The scope of the activities of the association is in the region, the association works also cross border. The association is responsible for the implementation of destination management and marketing in the area. Members are municipalities, tourist destinations, business sector, various NGOs. In addition to preparing tourism development strategies for the Pálava and LVA tourist areas, the association also influences the preparation of regional Tourism Strategies, in specific the most recent ones: the Tourism Development Strategy of the South Moravian Region for the years 2021-2027, the Destination Management and Marketing Strategy of the Tourism Centre - South Moravia 2022-2027. Pálava is a member of the Association of Tourist Areas of the Czech Republic, Association</p> |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Pálava a Lednicko-valtický areál, z.s. A010 |   |
|   | <p>of Tourism of South Moravia, and partner of the Partnership Foundation. Pálava will cooperate in the participatory process to develop services and tools for accessible tourism for PWD and in the capacity building activities. It will benefit from the project by further increasing capacities and experience in planning for accessible tourism and strengthen cooperation with regional and cross-border authorities for territorial planning that will enable the development of accessible tourism. Pálava will promote the project results and transfer knowledge from the project to its relevant regional and local stakeholder networks and clusters who will use project results and materials and knowledge gained in developing accessible tourism in their daily work.</p> |



|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Město Znojmo A011</b>   |  |
| <b>Partner number</b>  | PP8  |
| <b>Name of the organisation in original language</b>               | Město Znojmo   |
| <b>Name of the organisation in English</b>                         | The city of Znojmo (ZNOJMO)  |
| <b>Country (NUTS 0)</b>  | Česko (CZ)   |
| <b>Region (NUTS 2)</b>   | Jihovýchod (CZ06)  |
| <b>NUTS 3</b>  | Jihomoravský kraj (CZ064)  |
| <b>Street, House number, Postal code, City</b>                     | Obroková 1/12<br>669 02 Znojmo   |
| <b>Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)</b> | Mr. Jakub Malačka  |
|  |  |
| <b>Partner role</b>  | <p>The City of Znojmo is a local public authority in South Moravia region in the Czech Republic in the AT-CZ project pilot area. Znojmo carries out all types of self-government responsibilities and jurisdictions according to the law. Related to this project Znojmo is responsible for application of accessible solutions within the reconstructions of public spaces. Experts of city of Znojmo have a good level of knowledge of regulations regarding public spaces accessibility for the people with disabilities. By monitoring of the project progress, Znojmo will have access to the results that will lead to improved planning of accessibility solutions and their implementation. Project results could contribute to the overall accessibility and attractiveness of the public spaces in Znojmo for people with disabilities. Znojmo will enhance mainstreaming of project solutions into policies and strategies at local level, utilise them in further work and with this guarantee the durability and ensuring the leverage effect of the project. Znojmo can also use the following networks to disseminate the project results: Union of Towns and Municipalities of the Czech Republic, ZnojmoRegion network, Czech Caritas and the Dobra mesta Partnership for urban</p> |

|                   |   |
|-------------------|---|
| Město Znojmo A011 |   |
|                   | <p>mobility. Znojmo will participate in the participatory co-creation process in the project in all three WPs and benefit from the capacity building activities. It will also benefit from new or strengthened networks both in the region, cross-border and transnationally.</p> |

| Nyugat-Pannon Terület- és Gazdaságfejlesztési Szolgáltató Közhasznú Nonprofit Kft. A012 |  |
|---|--|
| Partner number  | PP10   |
| Name of the organisation in original language   | Nyugat-Pannon Terület- és Gazdaságfejlesztési Szolgáltató Közhasznú Nonprofit Kft.   |
| Name of the organisation in English   | West Pannon Regional and Economic Development Public Nonprofit Ltd. (WPRED)  |
| Country (NUTS 0)  | Magyarország (HU)  |
| Region (NUTS 2)   | Nyugat-Dunántúl (HU22)   |
| NUTS 3  | Vas (HU222)  |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City   | Horváth Boldizsár krt. 9<br>9700 Szombathely   |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)                             | Ms. Eszter Varga   |
|   |  |
| Partner role  | <p>West Pannon Nonprofit Ltd (WPRED) is a regional development agency, active in the Westpannonian region in HU, its activities also cover the SI-HR-HU pilot area. It has participated in several transnational projects funded by the European Union, which allowed to develop a high number of successful co-operations with relevant domestic and international stakeholders (railway companies, NGOs, cycling and tourism organizations, service provider SMEs). With our projects we have aimed to involve local public and private actors, including SMEs into European partnerships for mutual transnational learning and, based on that, to develop innovative and sustainable services and products based on co-operation. WPRED has the adequate competences and partnership networks which makes it able to participate in upcoming projects. The main fields covered by WPRED are reinforcing transnational and cross border cooperation, European cooperation, local, regional development, incubation, acceleration and scaling-up of SMEs &amp; business support, capacity building activities, digitalization, innovation, environmentally responsible tourism, and climate</p> |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Nyugat-Pannon Terület- és Gazdaságfejlesztési Szolgáltató Közhasznú Nonprofit Kft. A012 |   |
|   | <p>friendly transport and energy. By taking part in this project as an associated partner the WPRED will gain, based on pilot action, knowledge concerning the needs of people with disabilities in the field of tourism. Gathered knowledge could be included in their activities, projects and also in strategies and programmes they provide on regional level, impacting also local and national level. Taking part in this project will also help WPRED to disseminate the projects results, as well as provide synergies with the Innovative ICTr Cycling project. WPRED will participate as a stakeholder in the SI-HR-HU pilot area in all WPs, contributing and learning from the development of solutions and action planning for improving territorial planning for accessible tourism development. They will also participate in the capacity building activities .</p> |

| Mozgáskorlátozottak Egyesületeinek Országos Szövetsége A013 |  |
|---|--|
| Partner number  | PP10   |
| Name of the organisation in original language               | Mozgáskorlátozottak Egyesületeinek Országos Szövetsége   |
| Name of the organisation in English                         | National Federation of Organisations of People with a Physical Disability (MEOSZ)  |
| Country (NUTS 0)  | Magyarország (HU)  |
| Region (NUTS 2)   | Budapest (HU11)  |
| NUTS 3  | Budapest (HU110)   |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                     | San Marco u. 76<br>1032 Budapest   |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in) | Ms. Ágnes Kovács   |
|   |  |
| Partner role  | <p>The National Federation of Organisations of People with a Physical Disability (MEOSZ) is the largest organisation representing people with physical disabilities in Hungary. MEOSZ is run and controlled by disabled people and currently has nearly 100 member organisations across Hungary. MEOSZ, as the national umbrella of local and regional organisations, aims to represent its members and advocate for them on the national level. We are actively and proactively involved in disability policy-making to ensure that the views of people with disabilities are taken into account in all aspects of social life. Besides the representation of interests, the organisation carries out the following activities: maintenance and operation of institutions, provision of services: support service; network of counselling services for fellow citizens; legal and administrative advice and assistance; information for disabled people; labour market services; expert advice on accessibility; lending of assistive devices; organisation of leisure, cultural and community programmes; assistance for recreation; sensitisation, social awareness-raising. By our</p> |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Mozgáskorlátozottak Egyesületeinek Országos Szövetsége A013 |   |
|   | <p>network we will be able so spread knowledge and make effective dissemination on all levels Our aim and role in the project are to protect the interests of people with reduced mobility and their families by identifying, articulating, representing and promoting the specific interests of people with reduced mobility. Our aim is to identify and remove barriers in the environment to ensure full participation and inclusion. We will be most active in SI-HR-HU pilot area where we will carry out the mapping of barriers and participate in the stakeholder process of developing services and tools for PWD for accessible tourism, ensuring that they are indeed responding to the needs of PWD for independent tourism travel.</p> |

| Aktív- és Ökoturisztikai Fejlesztési Központ Nonprofit Kft. A014 |  |
|--|--|
| Partner number   | PP10   |
| Name of the organisation in original language                    | Aktív- és Ökoturisztikai Fejlesztési Központ Nonprofit Kft.  |
| Name of the organisation in English                              | Centre for Development of Active and Ecotourism Nonprofit Ltd. (AÖFK)  |
| Country (NUTS 0)   | Magyarország (HU)  |
| Region (NUTS 2)  | Budapest (HU11)  |
| NUTS 3   | Budapest (HU110)   |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                          | Szépüvölgyi út 39<br>1037 Budapest   |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in)      | Mr. Gergely Baráth   |
|  |  |
| Partner role   | <p>The key role of the Centre for Development of Active and Ecotourism (AFÖK) is a national governmental body responsible for the development of Hungarian active and eco-tourism. It works to bring together all relevant investments, developments and the efforts of public, municipality, NGO and business stakeholders focusing on such tourism. The resulting network can open up the development of new tourism packages and products. The Centre shapes the image of active and ecotourism in Hungary and promotes the resulting developments both at home and abroad. The most important task of the organisation is to bring together active and ecotourism investments and developments in Hungary and the work of state and local actors, civil society and business actors involved in these developments. The organisation's aim is to increase the number of people who spend their free time actively in nature. Their aim is to make it easier to choose a destination and to offer complex tourist packages. AÖFK prepares comprehensive national, regional and sectoral active tourism strategy documents in several areas. The aim of the strategy</p> |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Aktív- és Ökoturisztikai Fejlesztési Központ | Nonprofit Kft. A014   |
|  | <p>is to ensure that the sector's development directions are implemented in a planned and interrelated manner in the medium and long term. By being ASP AÖFK expects an improvement of its knowledge and human capacities in developing active and eco-tourism products for PWD. It also expects to influence project results to be fully in line with relevant national policies and other national level accessible tourism initiatives and programmes. AÖFK intends to take steps for mainstreaming project results and utilising them at further work after project completion. The colleagues of the AÖFK will participate on the project meetings, workshops and capacity building events and disseminate the project on national level in Hungary.</p> |



| Stowarzyszenie "Dobry Dom" A015                             |   |
|---|---|
| Partner number  | PP11  |
| Name of the organisation in original language               | Stowarzyszenie "Dobry Dom"  |
| Name of the organisation in English                         | Association "Good House" (ADD)  |
| Country (NUTS 0)  | Polska (PL)   |
| Region (NUTS 2)   | Podkarpackie (PL82)   |
| NUTS 3  | Tarnobrzeski (PL824)  |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                     | Wola Zarczycka 129A<br>37-311 Wola Zarczycka  |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in) | Mr. Marek Piechuta  |
|   |   |
| Partner role  | <p>Dobry Dom Association (ADD) is a non-profit organisation that has been working for the benefit of disabled people and people in difficult life situations for 21 years in the Podkarpackie Voivodeship. The main goal of the association is to create conditions conducive to equalizing life chances for people with disabilities by developing independent life, professional and social activity, developing creative abilities and possibilities, and building social facilities in the form of training and sheltered housing. The activities carried out in relation to the disabled are aimed at increasing their self-esteem and creative thinking, implementing innovative system solutions that improve the life situation of PWD. Our role of dissemination and mainstreaming of project results will be important because the representatives of the Association participate in the Monitoring Committee of the Regional Operational Programme of the Podkarpackie Voivodeship, in the Regional Social Disability Council at the Marshall's Office of the Podkarpackie Voivodeship and in the Powiat Social Council for the disabled people in Leżajsk. The Association works closely with public and non-governmental entities operating in the broadly understood social welfare sector in the</p> |

|                                 |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Stowarzyszenie "Dobry Dom" A015 |   |
|                                 | <p>Podkarpackie Province. In line with the principle "nothing about the disabled without the disabled" we will participate in assessing the status and needs for accessibility of touristic sites in the pilot area and in collecting the data for accessibility in the field, as well as in developing the services and tools and action plan. The participation of the Dobry Dom Association in the project will allow to intensify and strengthen the activities for the benefit of disabled people. The results of the project might be used in the future by the Association not only in tourism but also in the other areas where the disabled people need support.</p> |

| Urząd Marszałkowski Województwa Podkarpackiego A016         |  |
|---|--|
| Partner number  | PP11   |
| Name of the organisation in original language               | Urząd Marszałkowski Województwa Podkarpackiego   |
| Name of the organisation in English                         | The Marshall's Office of the Podkarpackie Region (UMWP)  |
| Country (NUTS 0)  | Polska (PL)  |
| Region (NUTS 2)   | Podkarpackie (PL82)  |
| NUTS 3  | Rzeszowski (PL823)   |
| Street, House number, Postal code, City                     | Al. Łukasza Cieplińskiego 4<br>35-010 Rzeszów  |
| Legal representative (not applicable - not to be filled in) | Mr. Władysław Ortyl  |
|   |  |
| Partner role  | <p>The Marshal's Office of the Podkarpackie Region is a regional public authority, an auxiliary unit of the Regional Board, which, with the help of the Marshal's Office, performs the resolutions of the Regional Council and the tasks of the Region specified by law. The head of the Marshal's Office is the Regional Marshal. The Offices area of operation is the whole Podkarpackie Region. The main tasks of the Regional self-government include: public education, including higher education, health promotion and protection as well as social assistance and pro-family policy, culture and protection of its goods, modernization of rural areas and environmental protection and water management, including flood protection, zoning, public transport and public roads, physical culture and tourism, protection of consumer rights, public security and defence and counteracting unemployment and activating the local labour market. By taking part in this project as an associated partner the representatives of the Marshall's Office will gain knowledge concerning the needs of disabled people in the field of tourism and territorial planning needed to support accessible tourism. It will participate as stakeholder in the</p> |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Urząd Marszałkowski Województwa Podkarpackiego A016 |   |
|   | <p>workshops and capacity building activities in the PL pilot area. The new solutions making tourism more accessible for disabled people can be integrated into regional Strategies and Programmes adopted by the Regional Board. The Marshall's Office is the member of "The Convention of the Marshals of the Regions of the Republic of Poland". It consists of marshals of all regions in Poland (16 regions). This forum's role is to act for the effective implementation of development and social policy in the regions. The Convention analyses and gives opinions on proposed legislative changes and discusses the current problems of regional self-governments. Taking part in this network will help the Marshall's Office to disseminate the projects results.</p> |

## C - Project description

### C.1 Project overall objective

Programme priority specific objective (as selected in section A.1.).

S04.1: Strengthening governance for integrated territorial development in central Europe

#### Project overall objective

Please define the overall objective of the project.

- Make sure that it clearly contributes to the selected programme specific objective.
- The overall objective should provide the general context for what your project aims to achieve.
- It should describe the broader goal of the project for the benefit of its target group(s) and should point to the results (change) to be achieved by the project.

Overall project objective is to improve governance and cooperation of multi-level cross-sectoral stakeholders for better territorial planning and implementation of accessible tourism for persons with disabilities (PWD) in rural border regions in Central Europe. It will be achieved by a participatory co-creation and capacities raising process, connecting PWD, public authorities and tourism stakeholders in joint planning and development of solutions for improving accessibility of tourism for PWD.

## C.2 Project relevance and context

### C.2.1 What are the territorial challenge(s) that will be tackled by your project?

Please describe which specific challenges and needs are addressed by your project and why they are relevant for the overall programme area, (please refer to chapter 1 and 2 of the Interreg CENTRAL EUROPE Programme document).

Border regions in Central Europe, especially along the former Iron Curtain, are mostly remote rural areas, often poorly connected to larger urban centres, with less economic opportunities. However, rich in natural and cultural heritage, they offer escape from busy urban lives, which is an opportunity for developing sustainable tourism, boosting local economies and reducing economic and social disparities between CE regions.

Rural and nature tourism is on the rise, especially with Covid-19 pandemic, as people are looking for safe and healthy travel away from crowds. As one of hardest pandemic-hit sectors, tourism recovery will be long; discovering new markets will be key to success.

Accessible tourism is a big potential. However, persons with disabilities (PWD) are a relatively neglected group of potential travellers, even though there are cca. 87 million PWD in EU; more than 70% have financial and physical capabilities to travel. Globally, potential market is almost 1 billion (UNWTO).

Accessible tourism travel of PWD is challenged by lack of proper regional territorial planning which would take into account the needs of PWD to move and travel independently, especially in poorly connected rural regions lacking in transport, health, social and other services.

The 3 project pilot regions (SI-HR-HU, AT-CZ, PL) recognise the potential of accessible tourism. Good governance can create conditions to utilise it, improving accessibility of regions not only for visiting PWDs, but also for residents. Thus, the following obstacles to good governance for accessible tourism will be addressed:

- lack of awareness, capacities and cooperation of multi-level cross-sectoral stakeholders for territorial planning of accessible tourism for PWD;
- lack of involvement of PWD in planning processes;
- lack of reliable, up-to-date and standardised data for evidence-based development of accessible tourism and development strategies;
- lack of reliable, up-to-date information and services for PWD for independent tourism travel.

### **C.2.2 How does the project tackle identified challenges and needs and what is new about the approach of your project?**

Please describe the project approach chosen to address the challenges and needs described above. Please also explain how the approach goes beyond existing practice in the sector/programme area /participating countries demonstrating the innovativeness of the approach.

Challenges will be addressed by a participatory co-creation and capacities raising process, connecting PWD, public authorities and tourism planners in joint planning and development of solutions for improving accessibility of tourism for PWD. Stakeholders will work together in 3 pilot tourism areas in CE border regions: SI-HR-HU transborder area, AT-CZ cross-border area and PL border area in the Podkarpackie region.

In WP1, we will firstly address the challenge of lack of data for evidence-based territorial planning for accessible tourism. Capacity building will be a basis for development of the Web Accessibility Viewer (WAV) – online database and tool for collecting and visualising barriers to accessibility. An existing tool by GIS will be upgraded through pilot application and testing in the three pilot regions. The final WAV will be used for evidence-based development of territorial tourism strategies, as well as services and tools for PWD.

Based on WAV, in WP2 we will develop concrete up-to-date services and tools for independent tourism travel of PWD. Accessibility is not only about barrier-free buildings, it is also about mobility, adequate information, digital support, as well as social services available on sites. In each pilot region, we will develop a set of comprehensive public tools and services to enable independent tourism travel of PWD, based on specific aspects of accessibility.

Finally, in WP3 we will work on sustainability of cross-sectoral stakeholders' cooperation and participation of PWD in accessible tourism territorial planning processes. All solutions and lessons learned will be gathered into a Joint Strategy on Territorial Planning for Accessible Tourism, which will also propose steps to set up Accessibility Consultants and mainstream European Disability Card. Next, action plans for implementing the strategy will be developed in all 3 project regions. Capacity building and mainstreaming events will promote project outputs and results to wider national and EU audience.

Developed solutions and strategies and action plans will be taken up by local and regional authorities (PPs, APs) and integrated into policies and strategies on all levels. The project will thus improve governance and cooperation of cross-sectoral stakeholders for better territorial planning and implementation of accessible tourism PWD in CE rural border regions.

Innovativeness lies in the approach: 1) PWD are drivers of change, involved in every step of policy planning, strengthening inclusive participatory democracy; 2) accessibility of tourism is addressed in an integrated way, addressing cross-sectoral territorial development planning, not merely tourism as a sector; 3) By focusing on tourism as an economic sector which can leverage further investments into accessibility infrastructure; by showing added value of accessible tourism we can encourage further private and public investments into improving accessibility not only for visitors, but inhabitants, too.

### C.2.3 Why is transnational cooperation needed to achieve the project objectives and results?

Please explain why the project objectives cannot be efficiently reached acting only on a national/regional /local level and describe what is the added value for the partnership and the project area in taking a transnational cooperation approach.

Overcoming regional disparities and achieving territorial cohesion in Central Europe requires transnational cooperation of multilevel and cross-sectoral stakeholders. With general lack of capacities and awareness on territorial planning for accessible tourism for PWD, the strategically built partnership gathers available knowledge on the topic. Through transnational exchange of knowledge, practices and participatory co-development of solutions, the project will help improve governance for strategic territorial development for accessible tourism in rural border regions of Central Europe.

SI-HR-HU pilot area connects the border regions of Pomurje, Međimurje and Zala county. Linked by common history and culture, as well as rivers Mura and Drava, the area is also part of emerging tourism destination in 5-country UNESCO biosphere reserve Mura-Drava-Danube. AT-CZ pilot area connects Lower Austria and Southern Moravia. Historically divided by the Iron Curtain, it is developing into a popular cross-border wine and cycling destination with the Iron Curtain Cycling Trail as its backbone. The Podkarpackie region is one of the remotest border regions in PL and among regions most at risk of poverty and social exclusion in CE. Accessible tourism provides a development opportunity.

With a common approach to collecting and visualising accessibility data for planning or improving territorial tourism strategies, to developing services for PWD and to capacity building and policy integration, the experience from different governance systems will be shared between all countries and the most sufficient solutions will be able to be identified and mainstreamed through capacity building in 6 CE countries. Transnational events and partners' networks will disseminate project achievements to other countries, with EDF ensuring EU-level policy integration.

Common approach will result in raised capacities of policy planners and more efficient public administrations, improving governance for better territorial planning for accessible tourism in CE and wider EU.

### C.2.4 Who will benefit from your project outputs and results?

Please select the target groups from the drop-down list, which are relevant for your project. For each of them please provide a more detailed specification and explain how they will benefit from your project outputs and results. Please ensure consistency with the target groups defined in the work plan (section C4).



| Target group                                 | Specification   |
|--|---|
| Local public authority                       | Municipalities in pilot regions will be actively engaged in the participatory process to map barriers in WP1, develop solutions in WP2 and prepare and take up action plans developed in WP3. They and other municipalities will also be engaged in the capacity building and mainstreaming activities in all WPs. They will gain capacities and knowledge on territorial planning for accessible tourism, as well as strategic guidelines on concrete actions to reduce the barriers to accessibility. Target: 200                   |
| Regional public authority                    | Counties and regional authorities. In pilot areas they will participate in the participatory process to map barriers (WP1), develop solutions (WP2) and prepare and take up action plans (WP3). They and other regional authorities will also be engaged in the capacity building and mainstreaming activities in all WPs. They will gain capacities and knowledge on territorial planning for accessible tourism, as well as strategic guidelines on concrete actions to reduce the barriers to accessibility. Target: 15            |
| National public authority                    | Ministries and governmental bodies in charge of territorial planning, tourism, social affairs (PWD), public infrastructure and transport. Associated Partners will be engaged in the participatory processes in pilot areas in all 3 WPs. Others will be engaged via capacity building and mainstreaming activities. They will take up outputs, gain capacities and knowledge on territorial planning for accessible tourism, as well as strategic guidelines on concrete actions to reduce the barriers to accessibility. Target: 15 |
| Sectoral agency                              | Regional development agencies, territorial and spatial planning agencies, tourism organisations. In pilot areas: participate in the process to map barriers (WP1), develop solutions (WP2) and prepare and take up action plans (WP3). They and others will also be engaged in capacity building and mainstreaming activities. They will take up outputs, gain capacities and knowledge on territorial planning for accessible tourism, and strategic guidelines on actions to reduce barriers to accessibility. Target: 60           |
| Interest groups including NGOs               | Organisations representing PWD, tourism associations, local action groups; PWD: participation in all phases of participatory process (WP1-WP3) gaining capacities and knowledge on accessibility planning to become future Accessibility Consultants; others: participation in participatory co-design process for developing solutions (WP2) and action plans (WP3); All will strengthen cooperation with public authorities and their participation in decision-making and take up relevant solutions. Target: 200                  |
| Infrastructure and (public) service provider | Other accessible tourism-relevant stakeholders: transport, social and health services providers. They will be engaged in the participatory process for developing solutions in WP2 – tools and services for PWD. They will gain knowledge on how to improve services in support of accessible tourism in the region and take up relevant solutions to improve public services for persons with disabilities. They will strengthen cooperation with public authorities and tourism stakeholders. Target: 60                            |

### C.2.5 How does the project contribute to wider strategies and policies?

Please indicate to which strategies and policies your project will contribute and briefly describe in what way.

| Strategy                                   | Contribution  |
|--|---|
| European Green Deal Strategy               | Transport services providers will be included in WP2 planning for better accessibility the project regions, clean and healthy transport options will be encouraged. Thus, the project will help facilitate the achievement of EGD targets and transition to climate neutrality by 2050. Smart infrastructure, digital technologies and shared data are key to achieving climate goals, supporting the circular economy, preserving ecosystems and biodiversity, and using energy efficiently.   |
| Territorial Agenda 2030 Strategy           | Both TA and our project underline the importance of addressing growing inequalities between places and between people by integrating the three dimensions of sustainable development - economic, social and environmental in a balanced way. Concerted actions at all spatial and governance levels are vital to ensure positive future perspectives for all. Our integrated multilevel governance approach will lead to cooperation on responses to local and global societal challenges and improving living, working and business conditions for all in CE functional regions. |
| EU Strategy for the Danube Region Strategy | By strengthening capacities for better territorial governance, we will directly contribute to PA10, while also contributing to PA1B, PA3, PA8, PA9, PA6. The project is also addressing priorities of inclusion for vulnerable groups. Project activities are set in way of improving the quality of public services for all. Project will also aim to promote sustainable forms of mobility and information services, improving the connectivity and accessibility of and between touristic points but also within destinations.   |
| Other Strategy                             | Strategy for the Rights of Persons with Disabilities 2021-2030: The project is fully in line and directly contributes to the strategy. Fostering full participation in society and inclusion of PWD in art and culture, sport, leisure, recreational activities, and tourism are the key elements of the Strategy. Through project activities we plan to promote the development of accessible tourism, to strengthen awareness-raising and ensure their representation in relevant political processes.  |
| Other Strategy                             | UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities: Both CRPD and our project underline the importance to enable PWD to live independently, participate fully in all aspects of life and to have impact on legislation and policies. It is needed to take appropriate actions to ensure to PWD access, on an equal basis with others, to the physical environment, to transportation, to information and communications, including information and communications technologies and systems, both in urban and rural areas.  |
| Other Strategy                             | European Pillar of Social Rights: As EPSR our project will foster access to life-long learning opportunities and access to essential services for people with disabilities. Project activities will support services that enable people with disabilities to participate in a society and which improve the quality of life. Mainly we will concentrate on independent travel and access to leisure activities – especially tourism, arts and culture, and sports and recreation.   |

| Strategy       | Contribution   |
|----------------|--|
| Other Strategy | European Accessibility Act: CE-Spaces4All will contribute to concept of EAA, to prevent and eliminate the obstacles that pose problems for PWD in using products, services and infrastructures. Same as our project the EAA is a step forward in reducing barriers for PWD within the EU. Project activities will ensure better accessibility of products and services that citizens use every day, such as phones, transport, etc. and will help people with disabilities to be able to fully participate in society on an equal basis with others. |
| Other Strategy | Tourism development strategies in PP countries and regions: Project contributes to the implementation of national and regional tourism strategies in SI, AT, HR, HU, CZ, PL. They place the local communities at the heart of sustainable tourism development, promoting tourism development in rural regions, in a way which also improves lives of locals, not only visitors. This will be achieved with bottom-up participatory approach to WP2 solutions for accessibility for PWD which means accessibility for all.                            |
| Other Strategy | The Podkarpazkie Regional programme for equalizing opportunities for PWD and counteracting their social exclusion for the years 2021-2030: In order to provide an equal opportunity of disabled persons in the province, the Regional Board (UMWP) issued the programme. By strengthening the role of PWD in the society and democratic processes and by developing new services for PWD, the project will directly contribute to the implementation of the programme.   |

### C.2.6 How will your project make use of synergies with EU and other projects or initiatives?

| Project or initiative (including funding instrument, if applicable) | Synergies foreseen  |
|---|---|
| Upcoming regional strategies in the Pomurje region (SI)             | RCMS will coordinate the process of preparation of new regional tourism development strategy of Pomurje (planned 2024), and the 2024-planned revision of the Regional Development Programme 2021-2027. Project outputs and results will be integrated into the programmes, integrating the aspect of accessible tourism. Action plan (O3.2) will also be integrated into the strategies of local development prepared and implemented by the Local Action Groups. |
| Upcoming tourism strategy of the Međimurje region (HR)              | Project will contribute to the upcoming new tourism strategy that will be developed by MZ in cooperation with REDEA and TZMZ; the action plan for accessibility of tourism for persons with disabilities that will be developed in WP3 (O3.2) will be integrated into the new tourism development strategy for the region.  |

| Project or initiative (including funding instrument, if applicable)                   | Synergies foreseen   |
|---|--|
| Implementation of the Territorial Development Programme of Zala County 2021-2027 (HU) | The programme, prepared and managed by ZALA, included among its goals quality development of tourism, strengthening the tourism potential of Zala county through the expansion of tourist attractions, the development of quality services and the development of tourism purposes without harming the natural environment. Project will contribute to it and when evaluated and updated during implementation, some actions Action plan (O3.2) will be able to be integrated into it.               |
| Upcoming tourism strategies in the Weinviertel region/Lower Austria (AT)              | The implementation of the Lower Austrian Tourism Strategy 2025 will end in 2025. During the project lifetime, a new strategy for the next period will be prepared. WVT and RETZ are participants in the process and will ensure integration of Action plan (O3.3) into the new Lower Austria tourism strategy for beyond 2025.   |
| Upcoming tourism strategies in the South Moravia region (CZ)                          | Project outputs and action plan (O3.3) will be able to be integrated into the interim updating of the Tourism Development Strategy of the South Moravian Region for the years 2021-2027, as well the Destination Management and Marketing Strategy of the Tourism Centre-South Moravia 2022-2027. Contribution will be ensured by associated partner Znojmo and PALAVA.  |
| New tourism strategy of Podkarpackie region for period of 2026-2030 (PL)              | Marshall's Office (UMWP) prepared and issued the Strategy of tourism development and marketing communication in Podkarpackie Voivodship for the years 2020-2025. This document tackles the most important matters concerning tourism in the region. During the project, the preparation of new strategy for 2026-2030 will start. The Action plan (O3.4) developed in the project will give direct inputs and will be integrated into the new strategy, ensured by UMWP and RRDA.                    |
| The Danube Local Actors Platform (D-LAP)  | GIS is member of the D-LAP that involves local actors, local, regional, urban administrations, social partners, civil society and academia in the implementation of the EU Strategy for the Danube Area. Main focus is on policy recommendations to all levels of the governance system of the EUSDR. Gained knowledge regarding governance system will be used during the project implementation, while project outputs and results will be mainstreamed through the platform.                      |
| New European Bauhaus Initiative   | NEB is an EC creative initiative that aims to combine sustainability, inclusion and aesthetics of living spaces. Partner EDF is a partner of this initiative and teams up with the EU Commission, inspiring networks, associations, and organisations that are committed to act as promoters and key interlocutors throughout the New European Bauhaus initiative striving to ensure accessibility as part of future sustainable places. Project results will be mainstreamed through this platform. |

| Project or initiative (including funding instrument, if applicable)      | Synergies foreseen   |
|--|--|
| Planned Interreg Central Europe project Innovative ICTr Cycling (SO 1.1) | ASP WPRED is Lead applicant of the project, submitted to the CE 1st call of proposals. It focuses on improving innovation capacities of the tourism sector along the Iron Curtain Trail. Project pilot regions SI-HR-HU and AT-CZ partially overlap with the ICTr. Projects have strong synergies and will utilize stakeholder networks and capitalize on mutual promotion and awareness-raising activities, ensuring coordinated tourism development actions. |
| Planned Interreg Central Europe project SuMoPA (SO 2.5)                  | The project is tackling the challenge of sustainable mobility in protected areas in peripheral regions of CE by developing low-carbon, flexible, intermodal, and demand-responsive mobility services. RCMS is the lead partner in this project and will be in charge of synergies when developing solutions in SI-HR-HU pilot action for barrier free tourism mobility of PwD.   |
| European Disability Card:  | To help people with disabilities travel more easily between EU countries, the EU is developing a voluntary system of mutual recognition of disability status and associated benefits. Based on pilot project where NSIOS and MDDSZ participated, it will be mainstreamed throughout EU as of 2023. Project will promote the EDC uptake in pilot regions in order to include the pilot destinations in the European Disability Card benefits system.            |

### C.2.7 How does your project build on available knowledge?

Please describe the experiences/lessons learned that your project draws on, and other available knowledge your project capitalises on. If relevant, please specify the projects to be capitalised and which project partner(s) have been involved.

The main project approach follows the principle of capitalising on available knowledge and tools, integrating them into solutions that work for the addressed challenges.

For developing WAV (O1.3), the basis will be the web accessibility tool developed in the national project Multimodal Mobility for PWD by GIS and MZI. In upgrading the tool and developing tools for PWD in WP2, we will capitalise on or directly use the knowledge and technology of the app VozejkMap, developed and managed by CZEPA, as well as the Accessible Map project by BOKU. Another important source will be the wheelmap.org app, developed by the German NGO Sozialhelden in cooperation with Heidelberg Institute of Geoinformation Technology, based on FP7 project CAP4ACCESS. GIS has established contacts with both organisations to ensure knowledge transfer. When developing solutions in WP2, we will also draw from CEIT project Bus Stop 3.0 on the future of public transportation stops serving as barrier-free multi-functional centres for innovative urban and regional development, and from ÖBR project SEN-TOUR, focusing on barrier-free access for elderly and handicapped people in national parks. BOKU participated in both projects.

Another important source of knowledge and basis for WP2 solutions will be the Interreg Central Europe project COME-IN; the guidelines and practical solutions for barrier-free museums will be used. BOKU has set up a cooperation with AT partner in the project Museum of the Working World; they will ensure knowledge exchange and cooperate in capacity building activities in WP2. For WP2 we will also use results of Interreg Central Europe project HICAPS, connection is ensured via RRDA, partner in HICAPS, and GIS which cooperates with SI partners of HICAPS in their regular work as the national public body for geoinformatics and spatial planning.

The project will also capitalise on lessons learned through cooperation in Interreg Danube projects ATTRACTIVE DANUBE (GIS, CENIA) and ISTER (GIS, BOKU), as well as on the vast network that partners participate in.

## C.3 Project partnership

What is the rationale of the partnership composition and how are partners complementary to each other? Please describe the structure of your partnership and why the involved partners are needed to implement the project and to achieve the project objectives.

Partnership includes 12 partners and 16 associated partners from 6 CE countries and 1 EU-level organisation. It is composed in a strategic way to enable achievement of project objectives for which following profiles and competences are needed:

- public bodies responsible for spatial and territorial development, cooperating in the development of different national, regional and local policies by setting up and moderating policy coordination processes (GIS, CENIA, RCMS, REDEA, ZALA, RRDA, MZI, RETZ, ZNOJMO, MZ, WPRED, AÖFK, UMWP, STO, CNTB);
- tourism development and promotion organisations (RCMS, TZMZ, ZALA, WVT, RRDA, AFÖK, STO, CNTB, WVT, PALAVA);
- organisations representing persons with disabilities in EU, national and regional policy development processes (EDF, NSIOS, CZEPA, MDDSZ, ÖBR, HGBS, DOSTI MZ, MOESZ, ADD);
- organisations with technical knowledge to undertake data processing for development of Web Accessibility Viewer, services and tools for PWD, as well as knowledge in planning for accessible territorial development (GIS, BOKU, CENIA, CZEPA);
- organisations experienced in communication and awareness raising campaigns (CENIA, EDF, CENIA, WVT, TZMZ, STO, CNTB, AFÖK, PALAVA).

All have relevant experience in transnational cooperation projects, including Interreg Central Europe, as well as sufficient technical capacities for efficient implementation. Most partners have worked together before in different projects and know each other well. GIS, CENIA, BOKU, ZALA all have extensive experience in managing transnational Interreg projects and will thus lead WPs /Communication. GIS, CENIA and BOKU have worked in these roles together before, ensuring smooth management and implementation of the project.

Partnership represents the main target groups and covers the quadruple helix model: public administration, research, business representatives, civil society. This will allow project to take up developed solutions, influencing change in the policy systems in their countries, as well as valuable contribution to EU-level policies.

## C.4 Project work plan

| WP number | Work package name   |
|-----------|---|
| WP1       | Developing a joint tool for evidence-based territorial planning for accessible tourism    |
| WP2       | Developing tools and services for independent tourism travel of persons with disabilities |
| WP3       | Mainstreaming and policy uptake   |



## C.4.1 Work package 1

### Workpackage number

WP1

### Work package title

Developing a joint tool for evidence-based territorial planning for accessible tourism

### Objectives

Please define one project specific objective that will be achieved by your project through the implementation of the work package. The specific objective should be:

- realistically achievable during the project lifetime;
- specific;
- be verifiable and measurable.

### Project specific objective

To develop and test a tool for gathering and visualising accessibility data; policy and tourism planners will use it for evidence-based territorial planning for accessible tourism and for developing quality accessible tourism services and tools.

In addition, please define one or more communication objective(s) that will contribute to the achievement of the specific objective and include reference to the relevant target group(s). Communication objectives aim at changes in a target audience's awareness and behaviour.

### Communication objective(s) and target audience

To raise awareness of target groups on barriers that persons with disabilities face when trying to travel independently, and to sensitize them on the needs for integrating accessibility into all levels of territorial planning in order to enable conditions for accessible tourism development. Participatory field mapping of barriers with PWD will give policy and decision makers first-hand experience with obstacles faced by PWD, leading to change in awareness and approach to policy planning.

### Activities

Please describe the activities foreseen in order to achieve the above project specific objective and related communication objective(s) considering also the involvement of the relevant target groups as identified in section C2.4.

| Activity 1.1 |  |
|--------------|--|
| Title        | Capacity building for territorial planning of accessible tourism |

| <b>Activity 1.1</b> |  |
|---------------------|--|
| <b>Start period</b> | Period 1, 1 - 6  |
| <b>End period</b>   | Period 2, 7 - 12   |
| <b>Description</b>  | <p>The aim of the activity is to build a solid knowledge basis for the development of solutions for accessible tourism throughout the project. The partners, associated partners and key external stakeholders will take part in mutual best practices exchange and trainings. General training on accessibility (EDF), on accessible territorial planning (BOKU) and accessibility data (GIS) will be implemented. Study visit to location with good practice in accessible tourism planning will be done inside the programme area. Trainings will take place online or during in-person partner meetings and workshops. First communication and awareness raising activities will be done: project website on CE programme site will be established, pilot area /national and EU level media relations for all target groups will take place. The activity will be led by BOKU and co-led by EDF and GIS, all partners participate. External costs are planned for communication activities, meetings, workshops.</p> |

| <b>Deliverables 1.1</b>   |  |   |                        |
|---------------------------|--|---|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b>   | <b>Deliverable description</b>  | <b>Delivery period</b> |
| D.1.1.1                   | Compendium on capacity building on territorial planning for accessible tourism | It will summarise key learnings and best practices from implemented trainings and study visit: a) Part 1 (internal): summary report on implemented capacity building activities; b) Part 2: key knowledge on planning for accessible tourism to be integrated into the Strategy in Act.3.1. | Period 1 , 1 - 6       |

| <b>Activity 1.2</b> |   |
|---------------------|---|
| <b>Title</b>        | Preparation for upgrade and joint pilot testing of the Web Accessibility Viewer (WAV) for mapping of accessibility data |
| <b>Start period</b> | Period 1, 1 - 6   |
| <b>End period</b>   | Period 2, 7 - 12  |

| <b>Activity 1.2</b> |   |
|---------------------|---|
| <b>Description</b>  | <p>The aim of activity is to prepare for joint pilot testing and upgrade of the WAV. This will be the main joint tool for gathering and visualising accessibility data. WAV is an online tool and database that enables users free-of-charge view and download of data on accessibility for different disabilities. Existing tool developed in a national project by GIS will be taken as basis and upgraded. The activity aims to prepare the tool for joint pilot testing in 3 project pilot areas (SI-HR-HU, CZ-AT, PL). Pilot implementation plan will be prepared which will define the areas of mapping, scope of mapping (barriers), mapping teams, and accompanying awareness raising and capacity building activities in pilot areas. Training on how to use WAV for mapping will be done by GIS for PPs, APs and key local stakeholders. This will be the start of the joint participatory co-design process that will run in pilot areas through the whole project. Activity lead: GIS, all PPs participate.</p> |

| <b>Deliverables 1.2</b>   |  |  |                        |
|---------------------------|--|--|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b>   | <b>Deliverable description</b>   | <b>Delivery period</b> |
| D.1.2.1                   | Plan of joint pilot testing of the Web Accessibility Viewer (WAV)          | The pilot implementation plan will define the steps and approach for joint testing the WAV in 3 project pilot areas (SI-HR-HU, AT-CZ, PL): mapping area, scope, teams, and awareness raising activities in pilot areas. It will serve also as tool for monitoring the progress of pilot testing in Act. 1.3. | Period 2, 7 - 12       |
| D.1.2.2                   | Report on training for partners on mapping accessibility data with the WAV | The training will aim at preparing the pilot area teams (PWD, local policy and tourism planners) for mapping of accessibility barriers. The report will gather key guidance points and technical instructions and will be updated after pilot testing and integrated into D.1.4.1.                           | Period 2, 7 - 12       |

| <b>Activity 1.3</b> |  |
|---------------------|--|
| <b>Title</b>        | Joint pilot testing of the Web Accessibility Viewer in project pilot regions |
| <b>Start period</b> | Period 2, 7 - 12   |

| <b>Activity 1.3</b> |   |
|---------------------|---|
| <b>End period</b>   | Period 3, 13 - 18   |
| <b>Description</b>  | <p>The main aim of the activity is to jointly carry out the field work of mapping the barriers to accessibility. PWD will work in the tourism locations defined in A. 1.2 and map the barriers using WAV. They will be accompanied by territorial development and tourism planners (+ workshops and meetings). Barriers in towns and barriers of movement will be mapped. The mapping will be accompanied by an awareness-raising campaign to sensitise the target groups on the realities of travelling with disabilities, public mapping events with policy and decision makers will be held as part of joint mapping and awareness raising campaign. The activity will result in a unified joint catalogue of barriers to accessibility for the selected project pilot areas. Lead: GIS, BOKU, CENIA, EDF support coordination. Pilot area PPs and APs participate in joint mapping, External costs will be used for events and communication; tablets for field data collection purchased by PPs where needed (2-4 per mapping team).</p> |

| <b>Deliverables 1.3</b>   |   |  |                        |
|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b>  | <b>Deliverable description</b>   | <b>Delivery period</b> |
| D.1.3.1                   | Joint catalogue of barriers to accessibility prepared using the WAV (for 3 pilot areas) | Joint catalogue of barriers will include data on barriers to accessibility for different types of disabilities (physical, visual, hearing) for 3 project pilot areas. Data will be visualised at WAV in a unified way and will serve as basis for development of solutions in WP2 and action plans in WP3. | Period 3 , 13 - 18     |
| D.1.3.2                   | Report on jointly developed and implemented pilot action to test and develop WAV        | Joint report will summarise the pilot process, evaluation and learnings and how it can be further deployed and mainstreamed. It will be used for finalising WAV (O1.3), for planning WP2 approach, and as basis for strategy and action planning in WP3.   | Period 3 , 13 - 18     |

| <b>Activity 1.4</b> |   |
|---------------------|---|
| <b>Title</b>        | Developing the final Web Accessibility Viewer ready for uptake by users |

| <b>Activity 1.4</b> |  |
|---------------------|--|
| <b>Start period</b> | Period 2, 7 - 12   |
| <b>End period</b>   | Period 3, 13 - 18  |
| <b>Description</b>  | <p>Activity aims at developing final WAV (O 1.3) ready for use by target groups. Data and feedback from joint pilot testing in Act. 1.3 will be collected, analysed and visualized at WAW that will be upgraded and translated to all project languages by PPs. It will be accessible online free of charge (on an existing domain), linked through partner websites. A simple user guide will be prepared in all project languages. WAV will be taken up by PPs and APs and will be used both as basis for WP2 development of solutions, and for future territorial and tourism development planning in PP organisations. Dissemination workshops will be organised in pilot areas to present the WAV usability and to promote phase 2 of participatory process for development of solutions in WP2. WAV will be promoted through project communication channels. GIS will lead, BOKU and EDF supporting, all partners participate in joint evaluation and finalisation of WAV. External costs planned for workshops and communication.</p> |

| <b>Deliverables 1.4</b>   |   |  |                        |
|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b>  | <b>Deliverable description</b>   | <b>Delivery period</b> |
| D.1.4.1                   | Web Accessibility Viewer (WAV) with User Guide                          | Final WAV, an online tool(O1.3), available free of charge online at an existing domain. Report presenting WAV will include actions to be taken up. Simple user guide will be made in all project languages and will be disseminated to all users in partner organisations, as well as to wider stakeholders. | Period 3 , 13 - 18     |
| D.1.4.2                   | Report on workshops for public authorities in pilot areas for usability | Report will summarise implemented activities in pilot areas aimed at disseminating WAV to local and regional public authorities to showcase the usability of WAV for local and regional territorial planning in support of wider WAV uptake.   | Period 3 , 13 - 18     |

## Outputs

Please define the outputs which will be realised through the activities foreseen in this work package and link them to the related programme output indicators.

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Output number 1.1</b>          |  |
| <b>Output title</b>               | Organisations cooperating across borders: the CE-Spaces4All project partnership  |
| <b>Programme output indicator</b> | RCO87_4.1: Organisations cooperating across borders  |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | organisations  |
| <b>Output target value</b>        | 28.00  |
| <b>Delivery period</b>            | Period 1, 1 - 6  |
| <b>Output description</b>         | The output relates to the partners and associated partners cooperating in the CE-Spaces4All project, in line with the Application Form. The project includes 12 financing partners and 16 associated partners.   |
| <b>Output number 1.2</b>          |  |
| <b>Output title</b>               | Joint pilot testing of the Web Accessibility Viewer  |
| <b>Programme output indicator</b> | RCO84_4.1: Pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects   |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | pilot actions  |
| <b>Output target value</b>        | 1.00   |
| <b>Delivery period</b>            | Period 3, 13 - 18  |
| <b>Output description</b>         | The pilot action focuses on the joint pilot testing of the Web Accessibility Viewer – a unified tool for gathering and visualising accessibility data. The draft tool, based on an existing tool previously developed by GIS, will be upgraded through use in 3 project pilot areas where barriers to accessibility will be mapped: transborder tourism area SI-HR-HU, cross-border tourism area 2 AT-CZ; rural tourism area PL. Based on testing, final WAV will be developed (output). All partners cooperate. |
| <b>Output number 1.3</b>          |  |
| <b>Output title</b>               | Web Accessibility Viewer   |
| <b>Programme output indicator</b> | RCO116_4.1: Jointly developed solutions  |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | solutions  |
| <b>Output target value</b>        | 1.00   |

| <b>Output number 1.3</b>  |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| <b>Delivery period</b>    | Period 3, 13 - 18  |
| <b>Output description</b> | <p>WAV will be a joint online tool and database enabling users free-of-charge view, visualisation and download of spatial data on mobility and accessibility for PWD with different disabilities. It is aimed at local, regional or national public authorities, tourism and regional development planners involved in planning the participation of PWD in tourism. It offers evidence-based basis for developing accessible tourism strategies, tools and services. It will be taken up by project partners and APs.</p> |

## **Investments**

## C.4.1 Work package 2

### Workpackage number

WP2

### Work package title

Developing tools and services for independent tourism travel of persons with disabilities

### Objectives

Please define one project specific objective that will be achieved by your project through the implementation of the work package. The specific objective should be:

- realistically achievable during the project lifetime;
- specific;
- be verifiable and measurable.

### Project specific objective

To develop concrete solutions for persons with disabilities in support of their independent travel in pilot areas tourism destinations; co-designed by cross-sectoral stakeholders, they will improve public services in support of accessible tourism.

In addition, please define one or more communication objective(s) that will contribute to the achievement of the specific objective and include reference to the relevant target group(s). Communication objectives aim at changes in a target audience's awareness and behaviour.

### Communication objective(s) and target audience

To raise awareness on the tools and services that PWD need in order to independently plan and carry out tourism travel, and to promote jointly developed solutions as examples of how a destination can become truly accessible. Pilot areas will be promoted as accessibility-conscious destinations, promoting opportunities for tourism and regional development stemming from improved accessibility for PWD, serving as example for other destinations, encouraging them to become accessible spaces for all.

### Activities

Please describe the activities foreseen in order to achieve the above project specific objective and related communication objective(s) considering also the involvement of the relevant target groups as identified in section C2.4.

| Activity 2.1 |   |
|--------------|---|
| Title        | Capacity building for developing accessible tourism |
|              |   |



|                     |   |
|---------------------|---|
| <b>Activity 2.1</b> |   |
|                     | tools and services for persons with disabilities  |
| <b>Start period</b> | Period 2, 7 - 12  |
| <b>End period</b>   | Period 5, 25 - 30   |
| <b>Description</b>  | <p>This is a horizontal activity aimed at joint planning, monitoring and ongoing evaluation of pilot actions in Act. 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4. It aims at building capacities of partners and key stakeholders for developing accessible tourism tools and services. Activity will start with training on accessible tourism services and tools planning and development (based on current trends). Partners will also exchange knowledge and experience in regular partners workshops and field visits to view good practices (during partner meetings). Pilot implementation plans for each of the pilot action will be prepared based on Catalogue of barriers, defining:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) concrete locations for which solutions will be developed</li> <li>b) pilot action teams</li> <li>c) definition of barriers for which solutions will be developed (based on WAV)</li> <li>d) communication and awareness raising activities, workshops for co-design with stakeholders. BOKU leads, EDF supports, all PPs participate. External costs for partner workshops and trainings.</li> </ul> |

| <b>Deliverables 2.1</b>   |   |  |                        |
|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b>  | <b>Deliverable description</b>   | <b>Delivery period</b> |
| D.2.1.1                   | Pilot implementation plans  | For each of the 3 pilot actions (SI-HR-HU, CZ-AT, PL) pilot implementation plans will be developed, defining the scope, locations, teams, barriers for which solutions will be developed, and stakeholder and communication activities. They will be also used for monitoring progress of each pilot action. | Period 3 , 13 - 18     |
| D.2.1.2                   | Compendium on capacity building on developing services and tools for accessible tourism | It will summarise key learnings and best practices from implemented trainings: a) Part 1 (internal): report on implemented capacity building activities; b) Part 2: key knowledge on developing services and tools for PWD for accessible tourism; (integrated into the Strategy in Act. 3.1.)               | Period 5 , 25 - 30     |

| <b>Activity 2.2</b> |   |
|---------------------|---|
| <b>Title</b>        | Joint participatory development and testing of tools and services in the pilot transborder tourism area Slovenia-Croatia-Hungary (SI-HR-HU)   |
| <b>Start period</b> | Period 2, 7 - 12  |
| <b>End period</b>   | Period 5, 25 - 30   |
| <b>Description</b>  | Pilot (O2.1) in transnational tourism area aims to improve mobility of PWD for independent tourism travel. Based on WP1 and A.2.1, we will jointly develop concept (mobility) for PWD for independent tourism travel. Jointly tested in pilot area it will lead to developed services and tools for PWD as a final O2.4. Based on learnings of pilot, toolbox on developed services and tools for transborder area will be developed jointly by all PPs. It will mainstream developed solutions. Key work method: Joint field work, participatory co-design workshops and individual meetings with PWDs and other stakeholders. Promotion and communication activities will support Act., utilising partner communication channels, including websites of tourism promoters. Developed solution (O2.4) will be tested by focus groups (PWD), accompanied by media campaign. Promo events and materials will be produced. BOKU, GIS, EDF will support pilot region partners, RCMS, NSIOS, TZMZ, REDEA, ZALA, relevant APs participate. |

| <b>Deliverables 2.2</b>   |   |  |                        |
|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b>  | <b>Deliverable description</b>   | <b>Delivery period</b> |
| D.2.2.1                   | Concept for improving mobility of PWD for independent tourism travel in transborder areas | Based on identified barriers (Act.1.3), we will jointly with participating partners develop a concept for improving mobility of PWD for independent tourism travel in transborder areas that will be tested in a SI-HR-HU pilot area. Concept is the basis for development of model (O 2.4). | Period 2 , 7 - 12      |
| D.2.2.2                   | Toolbox on developed services and tools for   | Model (O2.4) jointly developed through concept testing in SI-HR-HU pilot, presented as a Toolbox will include description of developed tools and services, their usability, implementation, transferability. Used for  | Period 5 , 25 - 30     |

| <b>Deliverables 2.2</b>   |   |  |                        |
|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b>  | <b>Deliverable description</b>   | <b>Delivery period</b> |
|                           | transborder mobility of PWD in SI-HR-HU pilot area                | promotion and mainstreaming of developed solutions, integrated into Strategy (O3.1).   |                        |
| D.2.2.3                   | Report on jointly implemented pilot action in SI-HR-HU pilot area | Joint report on implemented pilot action on finding solutions for improving mobility of PWD for independent tourism travel in SI-HR-HU pilot, based on joint concept testing. Will also include the summary of stakeholder workshops etc. Will be used for finalisation of O2.4 and for planning uptake(WP3) | Period 5 , 25 - 30     |

| <b>Activity 2.3</b> |   |
|---------------------|---|
| <b>Title</b>        | Joint participatory development and testing of tools and services in pilot cross-border tourism area Austria-Czech Republic (AT-CZ)   |
| <b>Start period</b> | Period 2, 7 - 12  |
| <b>End period</b>   | Period 5, 25 - 30   |
| <b>Description</b>  | Pilot (O2.2) in crossborder tourism area aims to improve services and tools for independent tourism travel of blind and paraplegic persons. Based on WP1 and A.2.1, we will jointly develop concept for improving services and tools for independent tourism travel (blind, paraplegic). Jointly tested in pilot area it will lead to developed services and tools for PWD (O2.5). Based on learnings of pilot, toolbox on developed services and tools for tourism travel of blind and paraplegic persons in crossborder area will be prepared. Toolbox will mainstream solutions. Key method of work: Joint field work, participatory co-design WS, individual meetings with PWD and other key stakeholders. Promotion act. will support work, utilising partner communication channels, including websites of tourism promoters. Developed solution (O2.5) will be tested by focus groups (PWD), + media campaign. Promo events and materials will be produced. BOKU, WVT, CENIA, CZEPA, regional APs participate, GIS, EDF support. |

| <b>Deliverables 2.3</b>   |   |   |                        |
|---------------------------|---|---|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b>  | <b>Deliverable description</b>  | <b>Delivery period</b> |
| D.2.3.1                   | Concept for improving services & tools for independent tourism travel of blind & paraplegic persons | Based on identified barriers (Act.1.3), we will jointly with participating partners develop a concept for improving services and tools for independent tourism travel of blind and paraplegic persons in crossborder area, tested in AT-CZ pilot area. Concept is basis for development of model (O 2.5).   | Period 2 , 7 - 12      |
| D.2.3.2                   | Toolbox on developed services and tools in the cross-border AT-CZ pilot area                        | Model (O2.5) jointly developed through concept testing in AT-CZ pilot area, presented as a toolbox will include description of developed tools and services, their usability implementation, transferability. Used for promotion and mainstreaming of developed solutions-integrated into Strategy (O3.1).  | Period 5 , 25 - 30     |
| D.2.3.3                   | Report on jointly implemented pilot action in AT-CZ pilot area                                      | Joint report on implemented pilot action on finding solutions for improving accessibility for paraplegic and blind people in crossborder region in AT-CZ pilot, based on joint concept testing. Will include summary of stakeholder workshops. Used for finalisation of O2.5 and for planning uptake (WP3). | Period 5 , 25 - 30     |

| <b>Activity 2.4</b> |   |
|---------------------|---|
| <b>Title</b>        | Participatory development and testing of tools and services in the rural pilot region Podkarpackie in Poland (PL)   |
| <b>Start period</b> | Period 2, 7 - 12  |
| <b>End period</b>   | Period 5, 25 - 30   |
| <b>Description</b>  | Pilot (O2.3) in the rural border region aims to improve services and tools for PWD for accessible rural tourism. Based on WP1 and A.2.1, we will jointly develop concept for improving services and tools for PWD(accessible rural tourism). Jointly tested in pilot area it will lead to developed services and tools for PWD as the final O2.6. Based on learnings of pilot, toolbox on developed services and tools for PWD for accessible rural tourism in area will be developed. Toolbox will mainstream developed solutions. Work methods: Joint field work, participatory co-design WS and individual |

|                     |  |
|---------------------|--|
| <b>Activity 2.4</b> |  |
|                     | meetings with PWD and other stakeholders. Promotion and communication activities will support act., utilising partner communication channels, including websites of regional/local tourism promoters. Developed solutions (O2.6) will be tested by focus groups (PWD), accompanied by media campaign. Promo events and materials will be produced. REDEA leads, regional APs participate, BOKU, GIS,EDF cooperate. |

| <b>Deliverables 2.4</b>   |  |  |                        |
|---------------------------|--|--|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b>   | <b>Deliverable description</b>   | <b>Delivery period</b> |
| D.2.4.1                   | Concept for improving services and tools for PWD for accessible rural tourism              | Based on identified barriers (Act.1.3), we will jointly with participating partners develop a concept for accessible rural tourism, that will be tested in Poland pilot area. Concept is the basis for development of model (O 2.6).   | Period 2 , 7 - 12      |
| D.2.4.2                   | Toolbox on developed services and tools for accessible nature tourism in the PL pilot area | Model (O2.6) developed through by testing in PL pilot (REDEA, GIS, BOKU), presented as a toolbox will include description of developed tools and services, their usability, implementation, transferability. Used for promotion and mainstreaming of developed solutions. integrated into Strategy (O3.1). | Period 5 , 25 - 30     |
| D.2.4.3                   | Report on jointly implemented pilot action in PL pilot area                                | Joint report on implemented pilot action on finding solutions for developing rural tourism for PWD in PL pilot (REDEA, GIS, BOKU), based on concept testing. It will also include the summary of stakeholder workshops etc. Will be used for finalisation of O2.6 and for planning uptake in WP3.          | Period 5 , 25 - 30     |

## Outputs

Please define the outputs which will be realised through the activities foreseen in this work package and link them to the related programme output indicators.

| <b>Output number 2.1</b>          |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Output title</b>               | Pilot action to jointly develop and test solutions for accessibility of tourism in the transborder tourism region Slovenia-Croatia-Hungary (SI-HR-HU) |
| <b>Programme output indicator</b> | RC084_4.1: Pilot actions developed jointly and  |

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Output number 2.1</b>          |  |
|                                   | implemented in projects  |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | pilot actions  |
| <b>Output target value</b>        | 1.00   |
| <b>Delivery period</b>            | Period 5, 25 - 30  |
| <b>Output description</b>         | Regions of Pomurje, Međimurje and Zala County share rich common history, culture and nature, connected by developing tourism destination of UNESCO Mura-Drava-Danube 5-country biosphere reserve (Amazon of Europe). Regions recognise potential of accessible tourism and will based on concept testing work to improve mobility between tourist sites for PWD. PPs, APs, public authorities and other stakeholders will cooperate in a participatory process to develop and test solutions and raise capacities. |
| <b>Output number 2.2</b>          |  |
| <b>Output title</b>               | Pilot action to jointly develop and test solutions for accessibility of tourism in the cross-border region Austria-Czech Republic (AT-CZ)  |
| <b>Programme output indicator</b> | RC084_4.1: Pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects   |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | pilot actions  |
| <b>Output target value</b>        | 1.00   |
| <b>Delivery period</b>            | Period 5, 25 - 30  |
| <b>Output description</b>         | Border regions Weinviertel (AT) and Southern Moravia (CZ) with main centres in Retz (AT) and Znojmo (CZ) share a history of cooperation, bridging the divide of former Iron Curtain. Improving accessibility of tourism is a common vision. Pilot action will based on concept testing look for integrating solutions for blind and paraplegic persons. PPs, APs, public authorities and key stakeholders will cooperate in a participatory process to develop and test solutions and raise their capacities.      |
| <b>Output number 2.3</b>          |  |
| <b>Output title</b>               | Pilot action to jointly develop and test solutions for accessibility of tourism in the Podkarpackie pilot  |

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Output number 2.3</b>          |  |
|                                   | area in Poland   |
| <b>Programme output indicator</b> | RC084_4.1: Pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects   |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | pilot actions  |
| <b>Output target value</b>        | 1.00   |
| <b>Delivery period</b>            | Period 5, 25 - 30  |
| <b>Output description</b>         | Podkarpackie Voivodeship is a region in SE Poland, bordering SK and UA. It is one of ecologically cleanest regions with 45% of area covered in protected areas, developing nature and outdoor tourism with accessibility at the heart of development. Pilot action will be based on concept testing focus on finding solutions for accessible rural tourism. PPs, APs, public authorities and key stakeholders will cooperate in a participatory process to develop and test solutions and raise their capacities. |
| <b>Output number 2.4</b>          |  |
| <b>Output title</b>               | Model for improving mobility of PWD for independent tourism travel in the transborder tourism area SI-HR-HU  |
| <b>Programme output indicator</b> | RC0116_4.1: Jointly developed solutions  |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | solutions  |
| <b>Output target value</b>        | 1.00   |
| <b>Delivery period</b>            | Period 5, 25 - 30  |
| <b>Output description</b>         | Based on identified barriers (Act.1.3) and joint testing of concept (Act. 2.2), model for improving mobility of PWD for independent tourism travel in the transborder tourism area will be made. Tools like pre-journey plans will be made, maps and travel itineraries will be improved or newly developed and integrated into municipal and regional tourism sites; solutions for improving, better connecting and promoting existing accessible transport options between sites will be done.                   |
| <b>Output number 2.5</b>          |  |
| <b>Output title</b>               | Model for improving services and tools for   |

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Output number 2.5</b>          |  |
|                                   | independent tourism travel of blind and paraplegic persons in the AT-CZ cross-border tourism area  |
| <b>Programme output indicator</b> | RCO116_4.1: Jointly developed solutions  |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | solutions  |
| <b>Output target value</b>        | 1.00   |
| <b>Delivery period</b>            | Period 5, 25 - 30  |
| <b>Output description</b>         | Based on identified barriers (A.1.3) and joint testing of concept (A. 2.3), model for improving services and tools for independent tourism travel of blind and paraplegic persons will be made. Solutions for blind differ from solutions for persons in wheelchairs, requiring specific social services and sensory-based solutions, therefore integrated solutions will be addressed. Information (digital, on-site) as well as travel support services will be adjusted and improved to increase accessibility. |
| <b>Output number 2.6</b>          |  |
| <b>Output title</b>               | Model for improving services and tools for PWD for accessible rural tourism in the Podkarpackie pilot area in Poland   |
| <b>Programme output indicator</b> | RCO116_4.1: Jointly developed solutions  |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | solutions  |
| <b>Output target value</b>        | 1.00   |
| <b>Delivery period</b>            | Period 5, 25 - 30  |
| <b>Output description</b>         | In post-Covid times, safe and healthy travel is on a rise, also among PWD considered most vulnerable travelers. With rural areas in general being poorly connected, this is even bigger challenge for PWD. Solutions based on identified barriers (Act.1.3) and testing of concept (Act. 2.4) focus on improving services on tourism locations and on information and services support needed for travelling: digital services and tools, as well as in-person support on sites to enable outdoor activities.      |

## Investments



## C.4.1 Work package 3

### Workpackage number

WP3

### Work package title

Mainstreaming and policy uptake

### Objectives

Please define one project specific objective that will be achieved by your project through the implementation of the work package. The specific objective should be:

- realistically achievable during the project lifetime;
- specific;
- be verifiable and measurable.

### Project specific objective

To develop joint action plans for sustainability of integrating accessibility into territorial planning of tourism; long-term stakeholder cooperation for better governance will be ensured by uptake and mainstreaming of jointly developed solutions.

In addition, please define one or more communication objective(s) that will contribute to the achievement of the specific objective and include reference to the relevant target group(s). Communication objectives aim at changes in a target audience's awareness and behaviour.

### Communication objective(s) and target audience

To promote the developed solutions and guidelines for improving accessibility of tourism for PWD to policy and decision makers on wider national and EU levels, to promote and ensure uptake of the developed strategies and action plans, and to raise awareness on the importance of active participation of PWD in policy and decision-making processes in order to develop solutions that work in practice and lead to true accessibility of tourism for PWD.

### Activities

Please describe the activities foreseen in order to achieve the above project specific objective and related communication objective(s) considering also the involvement of the relevant target groups as identified in section C2.4.

| Activity 3.1 |  |
|--------------|--|
| Title        | Joint strategy for improving territorial planning for accessible tourism in Central Europe |

| <b>Activity 3.1</b> |  |
|---------------------|--|
| <b>Start period</b> | Period 5, 25 - 30  |
| <b>End period</b>   | Period 5, 25 - 30  |
| <b>Description</b>  | <p>Activity will summarise lessons learned, developed solutions and future actions into a common Strategy (O 3.1) for improving territorial planning for accessible tourism development in rural regions of CE. The Strategy will include: 1) Guidelines for policy planners and decision makers on planning and implementing territorial accessible tourism strategies, use of WAV, services and tools for PWD, mainstreaming the European Disability Card, proposal for developing profile of Accessibility Consultants – PWD skilled in supporting authorities in territorial planning for accessible tourism; 2) Mainstreaming and uptake plan outlining the future joint transnational actions to promote and implement the strategy.</p> <p>PPs and APs will take up the strategy by signing a Memorandum of Understanding for long-term cooperation on improving accessibility to tourism for PWF in CE and beyond. ZALA leads, GIS, EDF, BOKU support, all PPs and APs participate. Strategy is basis for Act.3.2 and 3.3.</p> |

| <b>Deliverables 3.1</b>   |   |  |                        |
|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b>  | <b>Deliverable description</b>   | <b>Delivery period</b> |
| D.3.1.1                   | Territorial Planning for Accessible Tourism in Rural Regions of Central Europe – A Joint Strategy | Joint Strategy O3.1: lessons learned, guidelines, services & tools, Accessibility Consultant, actions to promote and implement strategy, European Disability Card, taking up and promoting, mainstreaming outputs and results. Aimed at policy & decision makers, tourism & regional development planners. | Period 5 , 25 - 30     |
| D.3.1.2                   | Memorandum of Understanding   | Written commitment by the partners and APs to continue cooperating for further mainstreaming and promotion of accessibility in tourism and in general. It will be signed by all PPs and selected APs.  | Period 5 , 25 - 30     |

| <b>Activity 3.2</b> |   |
|---------------------|---|
| <b>Title</b>        | Participatory development of action plans for |

|                     |  |
|---------------------|--|
| <b>Activity 3.2</b> |  |
|                     | improving the accessibility of tourism in the 3 project pilot tourism areas  |
| <b>Start period</b> | Period 5, 25 - 30  |
| <b>End period</b>   | Period 6, 31 - 36  |
| <b>Description</b>  | <p>Through the participatory process, started in WP 1 and continued in WP2, action plans for implementation of the Strategy (O 3.1) will be prepared in each of the 3 pilot areas. Based on catalogue of barriers (D 1.3.1) the action plans will define concrete actions to overcome the barriers through local, national and transborder actions; key responsible organisation and concrete projects will be defined and public and private funding possibilities for their implementation will be identified for further improving and mainstreaming accessibility in the regions. The aim of the activity is to build future new partnerships and prepare follow-up projects for long-term cooperation of cross-sectoral stakeholders in the 3 project regions for further joint development of accessible tourism. Workshops, promo and media events support the activities. All pilot area partners cooperate, ZALA coordinates, supported by GIS, BOKU and EDF. External costs for workshops and communication.</p> |

| <b>Deliverables 3.2</b>   |   |  |                        |
|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b>  | <b>Deliverable description</b>   | <b>Delivery period</b> |
| D.3.2.1                   | Action Plan for Improving Accessibility of Tourism in the Transborder Tourism Region (SI, HR, HU) | Jointly developed Action plan for improving accessibility of Tourism in the Transborder Tourism Region of Pomurje (SI)- Međimurje (HR) and Zala County (HU). In order to further reduce the obstacles to accessible tourism in the region, it will outline additional steps, actions, and funding sources. | Period 6 , 31 - 36     |
| D.3.2.2                   | Action Plan for Improving Accessibility of Tourism in the Cross-Border Tourism Region (AT, CZ)    | Jointly developed Action Plan for improving accessibility of tourism in the Cross-Border Tourism Region of Lower Austria Weinviertel (AT)-Southern Moravia (CZ). In order to further reduce obstacles to accessible tourism in the region, it will outline additional steps, actions, and funding sources. | Period 6 , 31 - 36     |

| <b>Deliverables 3.2</b>   |   |   |                        |
|---------------------------|---|---|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b>  | <b>Deliverable description</b>  | <b>Delivery period</b> |
| D.3.2.3                   | Action Plan for Improving the Accessibility of Rural Tourism (PL) | Jointly developed Action Plan for improving the accessibility of nature tourism in the Podkarpackie Region in Poland. In order to further reduce the obstacles to accessible tourism in the region, it will outline additional steps, actions, and funding sources. | Period 6 , 31 - 36     |

| <b>Activity 3.3</b> |  |
|---------------------|--|
| <b>Title</b>        | Capacity building and mainstreaming events   |
| <b>Start period</b> | Period 6, 31 - 36  |
| <b>End period</b>   | Period 6, 31 - 36  |
| <b>Description</b>  | <p>Capacity building and training events for mainstreaming of Joint Strategy (O 3.1) will be held raise capacities of policy and decision makers, tourism and regional development stakeholders. Events will be held at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- transnational/EU level: final conference, attending key EU-level events by EDF, presentation at international conferences attended by partners;</li> <li>- national capacity building seminars in each country;</li> <li>- local/regional events for municipalities and regional authorities in the pilot regions.</li> </ul> <p>The types of events will be adjusted to the circumstances at the time. Where possible, hybrid (live and online) events will be held to reach wider audiences and enable PWD easier access. Online tutorials will be made and will be freely available on project and partners' websites. ZALA will coordinate, EDF will lead EU-level policy mainstreaming, GIS, BOKU, CENIA support, all partners participate. External costs for workshops and communication.</p> |

| <b>Deliverables 3.3</b>   |  |   |                        |
|---------------------------|--|---|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b>                         | <b>Deliverable description</b>  | <b>Delivery period</b> |
| D.3.3.1                   | Report on implemented capacity and mainstreaming | Joint report on implemented capacity and mainstreaming events to promote and mainstream project outputs and results. It will document the EU-level, national, regional and local events and include content materials. Materials, | Period 6 , 31 - 36     |

| <b>Deliverables 3.3</b>   |                          |  |                        |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|------------------------|
| <b>Deliverable Number</b> | <b>Deliverable title</b> | <b>Deliverable description</b>   | <b>Delivery period</b> |
|                           | learning events          | recordings of trainings and online tutorials will be publicly available. |                        |

## Outputs

Please define the outputs which will be realised through the activities foreseen in this work package and link them to the related programme output indicators.

| <b>Output number 3.1</b>          |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Output title</b>               | Territorial Planning for Accessible Tourism in Rural Regions of Central Europe – A Joint Strategy  |
| <b>Programme output indicator</b> | RCO83_4.1: Strategies and action plans jointly developed   |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | strategy/action plan   |
| <b>Output target value</b>        | 1.00   |
| <b>Delivery period</b>            | Period 5, 25 - 30  |
| <b>Output description</b>         | The Strategy will be developed jointly by all PPs with inputs from APs and cross-sectoral stakeholders participating in co-design process through all 3 work packages. It will provide guidelines for policy and decision makers, tourism and regional development stakeholders on territorial planning for accessible tourism. A tool for mainstreaming project outputs and results, it will be taken up by PPs and APs by signed Memorandum of Understanding and immediately partially implemented in Act 3.2. |
| <b>Output number 3.2</b>          |  |
| <b>Output title</b>               | Action Plan for Improving Accessibility of Tourism in the Transborder Tourism Region of Pomurje-Međimurje and Zala County in Slovenia, Croatia and Hungary   |
| <b>Programme output indicator</b> | RCO83_4.1: Strategies and action plans jointly developed   |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | strategy/action plan   |
| <b>Output target value</b>        | 1.00   |
| <b>Delivery period</b>            | Period 6, 31 - 36  |

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Output number 3.2</b>          |   |
| <b>Output description</b>         | Action plan will be developed jointly through a participatory process with cross-sectoral stakeholders in the SI-HR-HU transborder tourism area. RCMS, NSIOS, TZMZ, REDEA, ZALA with support of GIS, BOKU and EDF and with inputs of SI, HR and HU APs. It will define further steps, actions and funding sources for further removal of barriers to accessible tourism in the region. It will be taken up by PPs and APs and integrated into municipal, county and national-level strategic documents. |
| <b>Output number 3.3</b>          |   |
| <b>Output title</b>               | Action Plan for Improving Accessibility of Tourism in the Cross-Border Tourism Region of Lower Austria Weinviertel-Southern Moravia in Austria and the Czech Republic   |
| <b>Programme output indicator</b> | RC083_4.1: Strategies and action plans jointly developed  |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | strategy/action plan  |
| <b>Output target value</b>        | 1.00  |
| <b>Delivery period</b>            | Period 6, 31 - 36   |
| <b>Output description</b>         | Action plan will be developed jointly through a participatory process with cross-sectoral stakeholders in the AT-CZ transborder tourism area. BOKU, WVT, CENIA, CZEPA, of GIS and EDF and with inputs of At and CZ APs. It will define further steps, actions and funding sources for further removal of barriers to accessible tourism in the region. It will be taken up by PPs and APs and integrated into municipal, regional and national-level strategic documents.                               |
| <b>Output number 3.4</b>          |   |
| <b>Output title</b>               | Action Plan for Improving the Accessibility of rural Tourism in the Podkarpackie Region in Poland   |
| <b>Programme output indicator</b> | RC083_4.1: Strategies and action plans jointly developed  |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | strategy/action plan  |
| <b>Output target value</b>        | 1.00  |
| <b>Delivery period</b>            | Period 6, 31 - 36   |

|                           |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| <b>Output number 3.4</b>  |  |
| <b>Output description</b> | Action plan will be developed jointly through a participatory process with cross-sectoral stakeholders in Podkarpackie nature tourism area. RRDA with support of GIS, BOKU, and EDF and with inputs of PL PAs UMWP and ADD will prepare it. It will define further steps, actions and funding sources for further removal of barriers to accessible tourism in the tourism region. It will be taken up by RRDA, UMWP, ADD and integrated into regional and national-level strategic documents. |

**Investments**

## C.5 Project results

Please select and quantify the relevant programme result indicators to which your project will contribute. For each selected result indicator, please briefly describe the contribution of the project and the relevant project results (change) you expect to achieve through the implementation of the foreseen activities and outputs as defined in the work plan. Please also specify the output(s) which are directly related to this result.

| Result 1                          |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Programme result indicator</b> | RCR104_4.1: Solutions taken up or up-scaled by organisations   |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | solutions  |
| <b>Baseline</b>                   | 0.00   |
| <b>Target value</b>               | 4.00   |
| <b>Result description</b>         | Participatory co-design and capacity building process to develop outputs O 1.3, O 2.2, O 2.3, O 2.4 will improve cooperation, capacities and knowledge of multi-level cross-sectoral stakeholders in how to plan territorial development to enable accessibility of tourism, as well as strengthen participatory democracy with PWD engaged in policy processes. Outputs will improve territorial planning for policy makers, as well as improve services for citizens. By overcoming barriers to accessibility, the regions will be more accessible both to visitors, as well as inhabitants, ensuring equal opportunities - not only for economies and high quality of life for visitors and residents, but also for a just transition into more connected and better-balanced territorial development in rural regions of CE, making regions also more resilient in light of demographic changes, where accessibility will become ever more important for higher standard of living of the ageing population in CE and in wider EU. |
| Result 2                          |  |
| <b>Programme result indicator</b> | RCR79_4.1: Joint strategies and action plans taken up by organisations   |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | joint strategy/action plan   |
| <b>Baseline</b>                   | 0.00   |
| <b>Target value</b>               | 4.00   |



|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Result 2</b>                   |   |
| <b>Result description</b>         | The uptake of the developed Strategy (O3.1) and Action Plans (O3.2, O3.3, O3.4) will ensure long-term cooperation and better coordination of multi-level cross-sectoral stakeholders for territorial planning for accessible tourism. This will improve governance for cohesive territorial development in the project regions, removing barriers to transborder (SI-HR-HU), cross-border (At-CZ) and regional cooperation (PL), enabling the regions to utilise the potential of accessible tourism to boost balanced sustainable development in the regions, reducing the disparities between CE border rural regions and their more developed urban centres. Through development of follow-up actions, outputs will also leverage investments into accessibility from both public and private funds, helping further development of regions to become accessible destinations for visiting and living. This can also leverage the creation of new jobs, including jobs for PWD in territorial planning and the tourism sector. |
| <b>Result 3</b>                   |   |
| <b>Programme result indicator</b> | RCR84_4.1: Organisations cooperating across borders after project completion  |
| <b>Measurement unit</b>           | organisations   |
| <b>Baseline</b>                   | 0.00  |
| <b>Target value</b>               | 23.00   |
| <b>Result description</b>         | The intensive 3-year cooperation in participatory capacity building and co-design process will form long-lasting relationships among project partners. Firstly, it will lead to strengthened ties of public authorities in all three pilot regions, cross-border. Improved governance in all regions will enable gradual coordinated territorial development of tourism accessible to PWD. The Strategy (O3.1) and the Action plans (O3.2, O3.3, O3.4) will determine future joint actions on regional, national, cross-border and transnational level and will serve as working programmes for future long-term cooperation in ensuring the participation of PWD in planning territorial development for accessibility not only in tourism, but beyond. Organisations of PWD will strengthen their ties will policy and decision makers, strengthening their voice in participatory  |

|          |   |
|----------|---|
| Result 3 |   |
|          | democracy. PPs and APs will commit to long-term cooperation by signing Memorandum of understanding and taking up the strategy and action plans. |

## C.6 Time plan

|  | Period 1     | Period 2         | Period 3         | Period 4        | Period 5             | Period 6 | After End |
|--|--------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------|-----------|
| <b>WP1 Developing a joint tool for evidence-bas...</b> | [Orange bar] |                  |                  |                 |                      |          |           |
| A1.1 Capacity building for territorial p...            | D1.1.1       | [Orange bar]     |                  |                 |                      |          |           |
| A1.2 Preparation for upgrade and joint p...            | [Orange bar] | D1.2.1<br>D1.2.2 |                  |                 |                      |          |           |
| A1.3 Joint pilot testing of the Web Acce..             |              | [Orange bar]     | D1.3.2<br>D1.3.1 |                 |                      |          |           |
| A1.4 Developing the final Web Accessibil...            |              | [Orange bar]     | D1.4.1<br>D1.4.2 |                 |                      |          |           |
| RCO116_4.1   |              |                  | O1.3             |                 |                      |          |           |
| RCO84_4.1  |              |                  | O1.2             |                 |                      |          |           |
| RCO87_4.1  | O1.1         |                  |                  |                 |                      |          |           |
| <b>WP2 Developing tools and services for indepe...</b> |              | [Dark blue bar]  |                  |                 |                      |          |           |
| A2.1 Capacity building for developing ac...            |              | [Dark blue bar]  | D2.1.1           | [Dark blue bar] | D2.1.2               |          |           |
| A2.2 Joint participatory development and...            |              | D2.2.1           | [Dark blue bar]  | [Dark blue bar] | D2.2.2<br>D2.2.3     |          |           |
| A2.3 Joint participatory development and...            |              | D2.3.1           | [Dark blue bar]  | [Dark blue bar] | D2.3.3<br>D2.3.2     |          |           |
| A2.4 Participatory development and testi...            |              | D2.4.1           | [Dark blue bar]  | [Dark blue bar] | D2.4.2<br>D2.4.3     |          |           |
| RCO116_4.1   |              |                  |                  |                 | O2.4<br>O2.5<br>O2.6 |          |           |

|   |  |  |  |  |        |        |
|---|--|--|--|--|--------|--------|
| RCO84_4.1                                   |  |  |  |  | O2.1   |        |
|   |  |  |  |  | O2.2   |        |
|   |  |  |  |  | O2.3   |        |
| <b>WP3 Mainstreaming and policy uptake</b>  |  |  |  |  |        |        |
| A3.1 Joint strategy for improving territ... |  |  |  |  | D3.1.1 |        |
|   |  |  |  |  | D3.1.2 |        |
| A3.2 Participatory development of action... |  |  |  |  |        | D3.2.3 |
|   |  |  |  |  |        | D3.2.1 |
|   |  |  |  |  |        | D3.2.2 |
| A3.3 Capacity building and mainstreaming... |  |  |  |  |        | D3.3.1 |
| RCO83_4.1                                   |  |  |  |  | O3.1   | O3.2   |
|   |  |  |  |  |        | O3.3   |
|   |  |  |  |  |        | O3.4   |

## C.7 Project management and communication

In addition to the thematic activities as described in the work plan, you need to foresee adequate provisions for project management, coordination and internal communication.

### C.7.1 How will you coordinate and manage your project?

Please describe how the project management on the strategic and operational level will be carried out, including the set-up of management structures, responsibilities and procedures, as well as risk management. Please also explain how the internal communication within the partnership will be organised.

LP GIS will appoint the Project Manager, responsible for overall coordination of the partnership. Thematic project management will be done by Project Management Board (PMB), consisting of WP leaders (WP1-GIS, WP2-BOKU, WP3-ZALA), Communication Manager (CENIA) and Quality Manager (EDF). All are very experienced in transnational projects; GIS and CENIA already cooperated as WP and CM in ATTRACTIVE DANUBE project, while GIS and BOKU efficiently cooperate as WP leaders in ISTER project. PMB will be drafting the project approach, coordinating activities in single WPs, ensuring support to partners in planning and implementation of activities, and coordinating between WPs.

Additionally, for efficient implementation of pilot actions, pilot action leaders will be appointed: RCMS, REDEA, ZALA, BOKU, CENIA and RRDA. They will ensure coordinated work in pilot areas and will be supported by GIS, BOKU and ZALA, as well as EDF as Quality Manager.

The Steering Committee, consisting of 1 representative of each partner, will be the main decision-making body of the partnership, deciding on any changes to content or partnership and on any risk management issues. Generally, risk management has been ensured with careful selection of reliable, known and experienced partners based on past good cooperation in different projects. Skills and experience of partners will ensure efficient management of any unforeseen problems that may arise. Internal communication will be based on online and personal communication. Partners will meet regularly every 6 months; meetings will be combined with thematic workshops and study visits in WPs 1-3 to optimise travel; online working meetings will take place regularly, official communication will be done via e-mails, while commonly available modern communication channels will be used for day-to-day working communication.

### **C.7.2 Which measures will you take to ensure quality in your project?**

Describe the planned approach and processes for quality management, i.e. how the quality of deliverables and outputs will be monitored and ensured, and indicate the responsible partner(s). If you plan to conduct any type of project evaluation, please describe its purpose and scope.

The quality of the project outputs has been ensured on different levels. Firstly, the project partnership consists of experienced and motivated partners, whose quality and reliability has been proven through previous cooperation. Secondly, the development of outputs and deliverables will be led by the Project Management Board which possesses the right knowledge and competences. Thirdly, the adequacy of the project outputs in terms of accessibility and complying with the needs of PWD will be steered, monitored and if necessary corrected by EDF, which will be the Quality Manager of the project, overlooking that the process, as well as the final outputs respect the needs and rights of PWD. EDF will be supported in this by NSIOS and CZEPA. EDF will be a member of the Quality Management Board, contributing to the planning and implementing of the project approach. Evaluation of project progress will be done regularly throughout the project process as part of the participatory co-design and capacity building processes in WP1 and WP2 with monitoring of the planning and implementation of pilot actions. Evaluation will be done at workshops during project meetings and workshops to jointly plan and implement actions. Project Management Board will set the standards of work in order to ensure an inclusive and efficient participatory process and will also lead the evaluation of each step of the processes.

The quality of the outputs will additionally be ensured by APs who will give inputs and review the final outputs, ensuring that they fit the needs of the project target groups.

The general monitoring and evaluation approach, as well as the approach for monitoring and evaluating pilot actions will be part of the Pilot Implementation Plans in A1.2 and A2.1.

### C.7.3 What will be the general approach you will follow to communicate about your project?

Please describe how your project's communication objectives, as outlined in the work plan, will help with achieving your project's main result(s). Why is communication important? Which common tactics, channels and tools will help the partnership to reach out to and involve its target audiences? How will the project communication coordinator ensure that all project partners are involved and contribute to communication?

In order to achieve project objectives, communication and awareness raising will be crucial for raising capacities of target groups, as well as increase visibility of PWD in policy processes. The project participatory co-creation approach is therefore the most important communication channel of the project.

The following tactics, channels and tools will also be engaged:

- the use of existing communication channels of PPs and APs which have well-established communication channels and media relations, as well as good direct connections with target groups; their websites, social media and other channels will be utilised;
- printed promotional material will be limited, we will rely on digital communication channels and where relevant promotion videos; the main information hub will be the project website on ICE site;
- awareness-raising events will be organised in pilot areas during mapping events (WP1) and participatory workshops (WP2); whenever possible, co-design workshops will be held in open public spaces to increase visibility of PWD; accompanying media events will be organised; In-person experience with accessibility and barrier-free movement will be key to sensitise the policy makers on obstacles faced by PWD in tourism travel;
- capacity building events will be another important channel; partners will also present the project to multi-level stakeholders at their regular conferences; joint final conference will be organised to engage a wider international audience for EU-level mainstreaming and dissemination.

CENIA as CM will lead communication activities and prepare joint communication materials. The main focus will be on pilot-area communication, which is why all PPs will cooperate, pilot area communication coordinators will also be appointed (TZMZ, WVT, RRDA). Detailed communication approach will be defined in Communication Plan, prepared by CM CENIA with support of EDF (for PWD-tailored communication) and tourism partners WVT and TZMZ for tourism stakeholders' outreach.

**C.7.4 How do you foresee the reporting procedures for activities and budget (within the partnership)?**

Please describe the reporting processes at the level of partners towards the lead partner.

Internal project reporting procedure prepared by the LP at project start to serve as a tool for assuring smooth reporting procedures. It will be in line with the guidelines of the Interreg CE Programme which will be available by the programme by project start. The procedure will explain all internal reporting protocols.

LP will appoint a financial manager which will monitor the project spending and will offer support to partners in preparing financial reports. As all partners have chosen the simplified budget option, the financial management will be mostly focused on monitoring the project spending, making sure the reported costs are in line with the produced outputs and deliverables. Financial manager will also coordinate any budget changes between partners and JS. All partners will be responsible for their finances and for submitting the financial reports to their national controllers every 6 months in line with the deadlines set by the programme. FM will also gather all PPs costs certificates and submit the joint financial report and request for reimbursement to the JS.

Activity reporting will be managed by Project Manager who will coordinate partners in providing activity reports in line with the programme rules. The quality of the output will be checked by the PMB, while PM will check the partner reports for adequacy and quality before they will be submitted in order to prevent delays with report approvals. Every project partner will appoint the organisation's project manager who will be responsible for timely submission of the activity reports. Meanwhile, the LP will gather the partners' activity reports and prepare the joint activity report and submit it to the JS once per year.

The LP will organise the internal reporting processes based on the efficient approach that was used to successfully manage previous projects, particularly ATTRACT-SEE and ATTRACTIVE DANUBE.



### C.7.5 Cooperation criteria

Please select the cooperation criteria that apply to your project and include a brief explanation. Please note that the joint development, joint implementation and joint financing criteria are mandatory.

| Cooperation criteria |     | Description  |
|----------------------|-----|--|
| Joint development    | Yes | The CE-Spaces4All project was jointly developed by all partners. The preparation of application started in autumn 2021, initiated by GIS and NSIOS. All partners provided input for the project development and contributed to the preparation of project content and budget via regular Zoom meetings and email. Additional national, regional and local stakeholders and PWD joined the project as associated partners. The technical writing was done by GIS (lump sum for project preparation).                  |
| Joint implementation | Yes | During the project all activities will be jointly implemented. Project will be implemented on four levels: local, regional, transnational in CE, and EU level. Participatory planning and implementation of project activities is at the heart of the project, which is why all partners will participate throughout the project. The joint project activities of management, joint partner meetings and joint project communication are also part of the project.   |
| Joint staffing       | Yes | The CE-Spaces4All project is jointly staffed by the project partners through the joint project management structures. The project management activities will be coordinated by the project manager and PMB, while project communication activities will be coordinated by project communication manager. For the implementation of pilot actions in pilot regions, pilot action leaders will be appointed that will facilitate the coordination and implementation of actions.                                       |
| Joint financing      | Yes | Project is jointly financed by all project partners. Project results will be achieved through joint activities of all partners; thus, the distribution of tasks and budget is balanced between partners according to their responsibilities for project outputs and results. The budget calculations were made on the basis of workload per partner and real costs of work force in each country. The budget distribution per partners and the total project budget was jointly prepared and agreed by the partners. |

### C.7.6 Horizontal principles

Please indicate how your project contributes to horizontal principles and provide a short explanation. With regard to environment protection, please also include an explanation how the "environmental sustainability by design" approach has been integrated and provide a brief assessment of possible environmental effects to your project.

| Horizontal principles                              | Type of contribution | Description of the contribution   |
|--|----------------------|---|
| Sustainable development and environment protection | positive effects     | The project will have a positive contribution to sustainable development and environment protection. By improving governance for territorial planning of accessible tourism, we will enable conditions for sustainable development of CE border regions, enabling economic development and thus reducing the disparities between the regions and more urban centres. Development will be based on utilising the local and regional potential, building the solutions bottom-up. Sustainable tourism development guidelines will be observed in all aspects of the work, following the “do no significant harm” principle. By focusing on accessible rural tourism, we are not encouraging mass tourism, but will rather create conditions for safe and healthy tourism destinations.  |
| Equal opportunities and non-discrimination         | positive effects     | The project will have a positive effect on the implementation of the EU horizontal policy on equal opportunities and non-discrimination. The project focuses on strengthening the participation of persons with disabilities in democratic processes, as well as enables conditions for their full participation in society which requires access to services, independent free movement and access to ICT. By creating conditions for development of accessible tourism in the pilot areas, the areas will not only become accessible for visitors, but for residents themselves. Creation of new accessible services will also create conditions for new jobs in the tourism and territorial planning sector. By developing the concept of accessibility consultants, we will strengthen the role of PWD in policy planning processes. The participatory capacity building and co-design process will raise knowledge and capacities of PWD on territorial planning, tourism development and policy planning, improving employability of engaged PWD. The project itself will also directly contract PWD to work on the project, either as employees or contracted workers of partner organisations. Over 10 PWD will be engaged in paid work by the project. The participatory process will also help bridge the gap between PWD and other stakeholders, improving their cooperation and relationships, overcoming prejudice and contributing to a more inclusive society. |
| Equality between men and women                     | positive effects     | All implemented activities will be in line with principle of equality between men and women. We will assure equal treatment of men and women in decision-making, management, project meetings and events. Equal representation of men and women is reflected by the project partnership itself by a balanced representation at project level, as well as in the main project management structure – the Project Management Board. The project activities will be based on professional standards and ethics and will promote the common values and dialogue between all participants regardless of their gender. The emphasis will be on the cross-cultural dialogue, cultural equality and promoting constructive cooperation between all persons. Inclusivity and equality are at the heart of the project which is why it will also be an example of an inclusive, inviting and friendly working environment.  |

## C.8 Long-term effects and durability

Projects should have a long-lasting effect in the territories and for the relevant target groups. Please describe below how this will be ensured.

### C.8.1 Ownership/durability

Please describe who will ensure the financial and institutional support including maintenance for outputs and, if applicable, for most important deliverables developed by your project.

The durability of the project outputs is firstly and most importantly ensured by constitution of the partnerships itself, as partners and associated partners will be the ones carrying on the work and maintaining the outputs and key deliverables which are aimed at ensuring better governance in support of territorial development of accessible tourism. The WAV (O1.3 with D1.4.1) as the key planning tool for territorial accessible tourism development, will be available for public use on the existing domain registered by GIS. By the end of WP1, it will be available in 7 languages (EN, SI, HR, HU, DE, CZ, PL). Overall, it will be managed by GIS, but national partners will have access to further updating of accessibility data and for further use, as well as for further mainstreaming to other institutions and countries. Designed as an open-source web tool, the data base can be further updated and the usability can be spread to other regions, municipalities etc. in support of accessibility planning. The developed solutions (O2.4, O2.5, O2.6) will be integrated into the services and operations of the pilot region PPs and APs (RCMS, WVT, TZMZ, REDEA, MŽ, ZALA, RRDA, PALAVA, RETZ, ZNOJMO). Services and tools for PWD will be freely available online and in person in public and tourism information and management offices in the regions and will be further maintained by the users. The pilot areas action plans will be taken up by pilot regions PPs and APs representing public authorities and tourism management bodies (as above + MŽ, Retz, Znojmo, UMWP) who will integrate the actions into local and regional territorial development plans and tourism strategies. Strategy (O3.1) with guidelines and mainstreaming and uptake plan (D3.1.1), toolbox on solutions (D2.2.2, D2.3.2, D2.4.2), as well as capacity building materials (D1.1.1, D2.1.2, D3.3.1) will be freely available online on project website, maintained by Interreg CE Programme, as well as on the websites on PPs and relevant APs.

### C.8.2 Lasting effects

Outputs and deliverables should be made available and used by relevant target groups (project partners or other stakeholders) after the project's lifetime, in order to have a lasting effect on the territory. Please describe how the outputs and deliverables will stay available and will be taken up or upscaled by the project partners.

In line with the chosen specific priority, the main lasting effects of the project will be improved governance and cooperation of multi-level cross-sectoral stakeholders for more efficient territorial planning for tourism accessible to PWD. Strengthened cooperation and further improvement of policies will be further facilitated by all project outputs and results being openly available free of charge – on one hand on the project website, and on the GIS-owned domain where Web Accessibility Viewer will be openly available.

The partnership has been built strategically in a way that the partners are also key target groups and users of all developed outputs. Pilot areas stakeholders will take up the outputs; solutions will be integrated into tourism and other public services in the regions, and the action plans will be taken up by pilot areas public authorities. Actions will be integrated into regional and local tourism and development strategies which are in the jurisdiction of partners RCMS, TZMZ, REDEA, ZALA, RRDA, Retz, Znojmo, MŽ, UMWP, WVT, PALAVA.

The Strategy, integrating all lessons learned and guidelines for policy makers, will be further mainstreamed through capacity building and mainstreaming activities in WP3. National level stakeholders (GIS, CENIA, MDDSZ, MZI, AFÖK, STO, CTNB) will start the process to integrate it into the national strategies supporting accessibility of tourism both in spatial and territorial planning (GIS, CENIA), tourism planning (STO, CNTB, AFÖK), transport and public infrastructure planning (MZI) and social services – accessibility consultants planning (MDDSZ, also all participating PWD organisations).

Transnational mainstreaming will be ensured by EDF who will mainstream it to national disability councils in all EU countries, as well as use it in policy planning cooperation processes with the European Commission, also as member of the EU Disability Platform, established in 2021 by EC DG Employment, in which all EU countries' public authorities in charge of PWD rights and policies are represented.

### C.8.3 Transferability

Please describe how outputs and deliverables could be adapted or further developed to be used by additional target groups or rolled out in other territories beyond the partnership. How will communication activities ensure that relevant groups are aware of the available outputs and deliverables to be used?

EU has set high goals for managing green, digital and just transition. The latter can only be achieved by ensuring equal opportunities and participation in the society of all citizens. Not only barrier-free space and infrastructure, but access to services, barrier-free transport and mobility, and access to ICT plays a key role in enabling true accessibility and thus active participation of PWD in the society. Their participation in democratic processes, mobility, as well as access to leisure activities (tourism, arts and culture, sports and recreation) are highlighted as goals of EU Disability Strategy. Project outputs are transferrable to contribute to all of these goals.

WAV can be used for gathering and visualising different accessibility data, used for planning accessible public infrastructure, transport, other leisure activities. Developed solutions can be adapted to other accessibility needs. GIS will furthermore promote approaches to achieve territorial accessibility as member of the Danube Local Actors Platform that involves local actors, local, regional, urban administrations, social partners, civil society and academia in the implementation of the EU Strategy for the Danube Area.

EC DG Transport and Mobility is an informal supporter of CE-Spaces4All project and wants to use its outputs as good practice examples for further developing accessible transport and mobility policies on EU level. Further tourism sector mainstreaming will be done through cooperation with the European Network for Accessible Tourism, another informal project supporter and partner of EC and UNWTO; developed solutions will be mainstreamed through the network. WP2-developed solutions can also be transferred to other forms of tourism which will be facilitated by the tourism sector PPs and APs.

Transferability will be supported through strategically-led communication by experienced communication manager CENIA, supported by WVT, TZMZ for tourism sector outreach, and EDF, NSIOS and CZEPA for strengthening the role of PWD in policy and decision making processes on all governance levels.